U.S. COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20581

RECEIVED
C.F.T.C.
2006 MAY 17 A 11: 37
OFFICE OF PROCEEDINGS
PROCEEDINGS CLERK

Office of Proceedings

CHEN SHIU PI, Complainant	)	
v.	)	CFTC Docket No. 05-R021
MARIA MARGARITA AMIN, BRICKELL KEY FINANCIAL, L.L.C., MALINI NERSIAN, and RICARDO JORGE PADRON, Respondents	)	Served by Federal Express on all parties

DEFAULT DECISION and REPARATION AWARD

As discussed in the January 12, 2006, Notice of Default, respondents Amin, Brickel, and Nersian are in default and therefore this matter is conducted as a default proceeding pursuant to CFTC Rule 12.22 as to those respondents.

As provided in the rule, respondents' default constitutes an admission of the allegations of the complaint and a waiver of their rights to select a type of proceeding, to file counterclaims, to engage in discovery, or to participate in an oral hearing. Taken as a whole, the complaint is deemed credible in its own right and therefore the allegations of the complaint are deemed true as to the defaulting respondents. Those allegations establish that the defaulting respondents engaged in a systematic pattern of deception resulting in complainant's opening and trading of a commodity futures and options on futures trading account in violation of Sections 4b(a)(2)(C) and 4c(b) of the Commodity Exchange Act. Complainant lost $5,451.36 as a proximate result of the defaulting

respondents' violations. She has received $1,250 in settlement payments from respondent Padron, resulting in the following Reparation Award for the net remaining loss:

Violations having been established, respondents Maria Margarita Amin, Brickell Key Financial, L.L.C., and Malini Nersian are ORDERED TO PAY REPARATIONS to complainant Chen Shiu Pi in the amount of $4,201.36, plus prejudgment interest compounded annually at the rate of 5.01% from December 4, 2002, to the date of payment, as well as $125.00 in costs for the filing fee. ***LIABILITY IS JOINT AND SEVERAL.***

The complaint against respondent Padron is DISMISSED pursuant to the settlement he has reached with complainant. The findings and conclusion reached as to the defaulting respondents shall not be considered in any fashion as having been established as to Padron.

Any request to vacate this Default Decision failing to adhere to the requirements for motions to vacate set forth in CFTC Rule 12.23 will be summarily dismissed.

Dated: May 17, 2006

Joel R. Maillie

JOEL R. MAILLIE
Judgment Officer