# Received CFTC Records Section

## 02-13 (61)

### COMMENT

November 07, 2002

Ms. Jean A. Webb Office of the Secretariat Commodity Futures Trading Commission Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street N. W. Washington, D. C. 20581

Re: Amendment to Live Cattle Rule 10102.E. (Proposal to Decrease the spot position limits in live cattle futures.)

Dear Ms. Webb,

Please accept this copy of a petition that was presented to the Board of Directors of The Chicago Mercantile Exchange in response to their vote to reduce spot month position limits in live cattle futures.

The signatories represent a substantial percentage of the floor population involved in trading this product, and are in disagreement with the proposed amendment.

Thank you for your consideration,

The petition signatories

Board of Directors Chicago Mercantile Exchange 30 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

October 25, 2002

#### Gentlemen:

We, the undersigned, as exchange members and/or market participants of the Live Cattle futures contract, would like to register our displeasure and disagreement with the October 18<sup>th</sup> Board of Director's vote to reduce spot month cattle position limits.

This action materially changed the existing rules for market participants in existing contracts. This was not presented as an emergency action and had the potential to directly impact prices in both futures and underlying cash markets due to a perceived immediate change in existing contract rules. It has always been the practice of the CME to inform market participants of impending contract changes in advance and solicit input from all market participants before changes are finalized. This was certainly not the case on October 18, 2002.

Finally, the manner in which people were apprised of the change in Special Executive Report S-3867 was ill-timed and handled in an inappropriate manner, with no explanation as to why these changes were deemed necessary. One week later, an explanation has yet to be provided to the membership or market participants. A decision to take this kind of action demands an explanation and accountability to all involved because of the potential market impact that such a decision may create.

Respectfully,

	Printed Name	Symbol	Division	Share holder	Lessee	A Signature
1	GREG ALCORN	GRAL	CME	X		Angy). Q
2	George Lamason	(2TZ	CME		£	Sychen
, 	Robert Menton	antr	cut	*		Males. Whatev

Share **Printed Name Symbol Division** holder Signature Lessee 6-7x GARY T. LAKE CME Lievanna JUS Michael Kichter CMR LIC Me JSON VELLOS ME ED APEL 10 Jeff April Teh OME EAN TAULOUTS CME\_ DKAUEUTS CMS GAMY MAINICHO Che YORKICK (SOLO) 15 SML CME MICHME L Sing 11 16 (m-DANIEL BOWMON DLR 17 CME SID TONY DRAKE 18 Trans Chil 19 CME WLX Jed Rwalk odd WALKER RM MUSSPY CIVE 21 C ME X

Share **Printed Name** Symbol **Division** holder Lessee Signature CULE CM6 24 <u>w</u> CB LmE 25 ETERT COSTERIO Pecs c ME 26 George Mack CME GEU 27 MIK () ME MIKE SWEERMEIEN Lownie Klein LONI eme 29 111 ME COH CIME Joel Cohen 1065 31  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{C}}$ (hE 32 CHE OVER 33 CHE Steve Klein 34 ALAN PRISS CME  $\overline{V}$ EAC 35 William McLaugh IM WILLIAM KULP KUP CME 37 MEQ Michael Rultrock CME CmE MARIL DOWAS MWD 39 LOM 40 Iom im Miller TAM

Share **Printed Name** holder Lessee **Symbol Division** Signature SSi IOM Pur lom CKK 10 M GEF 10m SHO 10M 47 48 CME 49 ME 51 10P 046 52 53 HARRIS cme 54 IDM DRAGOMIR PROPAROVICH 厂已 CMC C/16/6 56 Tincent Dina CME χ FMOIZ CME W. Wirdred Moore II 59 Herachel C. Minopath WACO CME Waskit Sthur MURIC 127.

Share holder **Printed Name Symbol Division** Lessee Signature 512 CMC 61 Gam 1 62 WIT CME 63 (Mo 64 ALF ALAN FROTE MAN CME 65 WILLIAM F. KENT KENT CME X Pulmer X 67 LAR CME HOK 69 RK KLBICKI OME 70 LINE 71 HNR X CHE GE 73 DHI SME 74 11 75 JAIR CWE 76 Н CHE RREDUCESOS CML 78 X CME

Share **Printed Name Symbol Division** holder Lessee Signature LAWRENCE MERM CMF MERMELSTEIN 80 JAMES W. X CME WEST MULKA 81  $\chi$ SWZ CME SCHWART 82 N. CHOLAS FAN LME V FANALE 83 ALAN YOUNG AJY CME + 84 JOE CARAVA X JLAR CME 85 MICHAEL BOYLE MB CME 86 LRSN CME Mered Hr Roarup X 12m TPB Situ BURNS 88 GBI TEPICA Maibbons IOM 89 YSAM JOHN K CIBULA 10 M 90 RSM CME BRIAN J. MUNO  $\times$ 91 JONATOMN MURLAS Kim CME X 92 INILSONV. CROCKA X CME CUKE 94 ONE STAR 95 CME X MUNK UY K 96 V Willy (7th I . 120 177100 Man C. More 1 97 MCH CMG

			Share			
	Printed Name	Symbol	Division	holder	Lessee	Signature
99	JOHN W. FISCHA	JOF	CME			John W. Fischer
100	Howard Worse	MON	CM9			Saward Worser
101	Myal	MPO	Ton			Nuffe
102	1 Rentarion	RFB	JOM			
103	YANLE Yore	Ync	Imm		У	Je Vro
104	Andrew C, Salos	500	Imm	V		Subuch
105	Art Vauls rul	Aur	CME			(Male)
106	IK Pin	P84	195	•		GE Comment
107	MARK KOBILEA	Hm	Cmc	V		Mal Kohlee
108	PERGI PRITARY	RPT	Jon		1	hospite-
109	Soul Man	A	CMF		4	For Coul War
110	Philip L. Stanley	PLS	CME	/		Philos Stanly

5.5

Board of Directors Chicago Mercantile Exchange 30 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

October 25, 2002

#### Gentlemen:

We, the undersigned, as exchange members and/or market participants of the Live Cattle futures contract, would like to register our displeasure and disagreement with the October 18<sup>th</sup> Board of Director's vote to reduce spot month cattle position limits.

This action materially changed the existing rules for market participants in existing contracts. This was not presented as an emergency action and had the potential to directly impact prices in both futures and underlying cash markets due to a perceived immediate change in existing contract rules. It has always been the practice of the CME to inform market participants of impending contract changes in advance and solicit input from all market participants before changes are finalized. This was certainly not the case on October 18, 2002.

Finally, the manner in which people were apprised of the change in Special Executive Report S-3867 was ill-timed and handled in an inappropriate manner, with no explanation as to why these changes were deemed necessary. One week later, an explanation has yet to be provided to the membership or market participants. A decision to take this kind of action demands an explanation and accountability to all involved because of the potential market impact that such a decision may create.

Respectfully,

ROSMATHAL-COLLING

e22:11 20 SZ 320

Board of Directors
Chicago Mercanthe Exchange
30 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL, 60606

October 25, 2002

Gentlemen-

We, the undersigned, as exchange members and/or market participants of the Live Cattle futures contract, would like to register our displeasure and disagreement with the October 18th Board of Director's vote to reduce spot month cattle position limits.

This action materially changed the existing rules for market participants in existing contracts. This was not presented as an emergency action and had the potential to directly impact prices in both futures and underlying cash markets due to a perceived immediate change in existing contract rules. It has always been the practice of the CME to inform market participants of impending contract changes in advance and solicit input from all market participants before changes are finalized. This was certainly not the case on October 18, 2002.

Finally, the manner in which people were apprised of the change in Special Executive Report S-3867 was ill-timed and handled in an inappropriate manner, with no explanation as to why these changes were deemed necessary. One week later, an explanation has yet to be provided to the membership or market participants. A decision to take this kind of action demands an explanation and accountability to all involved because of the potential market impact that such a decision may create.

Respectfully

WHY"

Board of Directors Chicago Mercantile Exchange 30 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

October 25, 2002

#### Gentlemen:

We, the undersigned, as exchange members and/or market participants of the Live Cattle futures contract, would like to register our displeasure and disagreement with the October 18<sup>th</sup> Board of Director's vote to reduce spot month cattle position limits.

This action materially changed the existing rules for market participants in existing contracts. This was not presented as an emergency action and had the potential to directly impact prices in both futures and underlying cash markets due to a perceived immediate change in existing contract rules. It has always been the practice of the CME to inform market participants of impending contract changes in advance and solicit input from all market participants before changes are finalized. This was certainly not the case on October 18, 2002.

Finally, the manner in which people were apprised of the change in Special Executive Report S-3867 was ill-timed and handled in an inappropriate manner, with no explanation as to why these changes were deemed necessary. One week later, an explanation has yet to be provided to the membership or market participants. A decision to take this kind of action demands an explanation and accountability to all involved because of the potential market impact that such a decision may create.

Respectfully