

Maria Alarcon Staff Attorney

FOIA CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT REQUEST

January 14, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC PORTAL

Assistant Secretary of the CFTC for FOIA, Privacy and Sunshine Acts Compliance Three Lafayette Center 1155 21st Street, NW Washington, DC 20581

Re: FOIA Confidential Treatment Request and Detailed Written Justification

ICE Clear Credit LLC Advance Notice of Proposed Rule Change Pursuant to Commission

Regulation 40.10 (the "Submission")

Dear Assistant Secretary:

In conjunction with the notice submitted by ICE Clear Credit LLC ("ICE Clear Credit") today, January 14, 2020, to the Secretary of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Commission Regulation 40.10, ICE Clear Credit submits the attached confidential documents.

Under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 USC Section 552, Section 809(g) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and pursuant to Commission Regulations 40.8(c) and 145.9(d), Federal Reserve Board Regulation 261.15 and any other applicable regulations under or implementing FOIA, ICE Clear Credit hereby respectfully requests that confidential treatment be maintained for the documents which bear Bates numbers 01.14.2020-000001-CFTCFRB1 to 01.14.2020-000182-CFTCFRB1 ("Confidential Material") until further notice. In accordance with Commission Regulation 145.9(d)(4), every page of the Confidential Material bears the designation "Confidential Treatment Requested by ICE Clear Credit LLC." In accordance with Commission Regulations 40.8(c)(2) and (3), the Confidential Material has been segregated from the Submission in an appendix ("Appendix A") and the Submission indicates that the Confidential Material has been segregated from the Submission.

ICE Clear Credit also requests that the Commission or the Board notify the undersigned immediately after receiving any FOIA request for such documents or any other court order, subpoena or summons for the same. Finally, ICE Clear Credit requests that it be notified in the event the Commission or the Board intends to disclose such documents to Congress or to any other governmental agency or unit pursuant to Section 8 of the Commodity Exchange Act, as amended ("CEA") or other applicable law. ICE Clear Credit does not waive its notification rights under Section 8(f) of the CEA or other applicable law with respect to any subpoena or summons for such document(s).

The basis for the request is that disclosure of these document(s) would reveal confidential commercial and financial information of ICE Clear Credit, the disclosure of which could have a material adverse effect on, and cause injury to, the operations and competitive position of ICE Clear Credit. This request is not to be construed as a waiver of any other protection from disclosure or confidential treatment accorded by law, and ICE Clear Credit will rely on and invoke any such confidentiality protection.

In accordance with Commission Regulation 40.8(c)(1), ICE Clear Credit hereby submits its detailed written justification in support of the confidential treatment of the Confidential Material. The Confidential Material is deemed by ICE Clear Credit to be highly confidential in its entirety and confidentiality therefore is being requested under the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. 552, Section 809(g) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and pursuant to Commission Regulations 40.8(c) and 145.9(d), Federal Reserve Board Regulation 261.15, and any other applicable statutes or regulations. Because this Confidential Material constitutes commercial or financial information which is privileged or confidential, it is subject to the exemption from mandatory disclosure found in Section 552(b)(4) of FOIA ("FOIA Exemption 4").

Section 552(b)(4) of the FOIA exempts from the disclosure requirements of the FOIA "trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential". Information has generally been deemed "commercial or financial" if it relates to business or trade. See, e.g., Dow Jones Co. v. FERC, 219 F.R.D. 167, 176 (C.D. Cal. 2002). The Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit has rejected the argument to confine the term "commercial" to records that "reveal basic commercial operations" such as such as sales statistics, profits and losses, and inventories. It has instead held that the terms "commercial" or "financial" should be given their "ordinary meanings" and that records are commercial so long as the submitter has a "commercial interest" in them. Pub. Citizen Health Research Group v. FDA, 704 F.2d 1280, 1290 (D.C. Cir. 1983). Further, the FOIA contains no definition of "privileged" or "confidential". In Gulf & Western Industries, Inc. v. U.S., 615 F.2d 527 (D.C. Cir. 1979), the Court of Appeals concluded that information is confidential for purposes of the FOIA if (i) it is not of the type normally released to the public by the submitter and (ii) the information is of the type that would cause substantial competitive harm if released. There is no requirement that "competitive harm" be established by a showing of actual competitive harm. Rather, "actual competition and the likelihood of substantial competitive injury is all that needs to be shown." Gulf & Western Indus., Inc. v. U.S., 615 F.2d at 530. Thus, in National Parks and Conservation Association v. Kleppe, 547 F.2d 673 (D.C. Cir. 1976), the Court of Appeals concluded that the disclosure of certain financial information, including costs and price-related items, was likely to cause substantial harm to the disclosing party's competitive position. Such disclosure, if required, would provide competitors with valuable information relating to the operational strengths and weaknesses of the disclosing company. Such competitive harm may result from the use of such information either by direct competitors or by persons with whom one is negotiating. See American Airlines, Inc. v. National Mediation Board, 588 F.2d 863, 868 n.13 (2d Cir.1978).

As discussed above, the Confidential Material, which is comprised of commercial or financial information, serves a commercial function. ICE Clear Credit has a commercial interest in the information contained within its Risk Management Model Description ("RMMD"), which describes its risk methodology; Stress Testing Framework ("STF"), which describes its stress testing methodology and practices; Liquidity Risk Management Framework ("LRMF"), which describes its liquidity stress testing methodology and practices; Back Testing Framework ("BTF"), which describes its back-testing approach and procedures; and Risk Parameter Setting and Review Policy ("RPSRP"), which describes its process of setting and reviewing the risk management model core parameters and the performance of sensitivity analyses related to certain parameter settings (together, the "Risk Policies"). The Risk Policies are key to ICE Clear Credit's activities as a clearinghouse as they, among other things, ensure the adequacy of systemic risk protections, support ICE Clear Credit's ability to maintain sufficient margin requirements, or enhance ICE Clear Credit's approach to identifying potential weaknesses in the risk methodology. As such, the Risk Policies hold commercial value in establishing processes, models, methods and techniques related to ICE Clear Credit's risk methodology, stress testing, liquidity stress testing, back testing, and risk parameter setting and review processes, which took significant effort and time to develop. Disclosure of the Risk Policies could be used by competitors of ICE Clear Credit to gain a competitive advantage and should be protected from public disclosure pursuant to this exemption. Disclosure of the Confidential Material could cause significant competitive harm since competitors could utilize the Confidential Material to mimic ICE Clear Credit's business and the opportunities identified by ICE Clear Credit. Disclosure of the commercial or financial information would provide insight and information related to ICE Clear Credit models, methods, techniques, strategies, analyses, policies and procedures, or system designs, which competitors could pursue to ICE Clear Credit's detriment given the level of detail contained within the Confidential Material. Further, the

information set forth in the Confidential Material is not of a type customarily made available by ICE Clear Credit to the public and has not been disclosed to the public. Consequently, the disclosure of the Confidential Material holds the potential for significant competitive harm to ICE Clear Credit as competitors could utilize the commercial or financial information contained therein to construct similar methodologies, systems, and analyses without expending similar efforts on development to disadvantage ICE Clear Credit. For all the foregoing reasons, ICE Clear Credit requests that the Commission determine to protect the confidentiality of the Confidential Material and the information contained therein.

Please direct any questions or requests for information to the attention of the undersigned at maria.alarcon@theice.com or (312) 836-6854.

Sincerely,

Maria Alarcon Staff Attorney

ICE Clear Credit LLC

353 North Clark Street, Suite 3100

win Alwan

Chicago, IL 60654