1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING 4 Case No.: 3:06-cv-00512-LHR-RAM COMMISSION, 5 Plaintiff. Consent Order for Permanent Injunction, Civil 6 vs. Monetary Penalties, and Equitable Relief 7 ALLIANCE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, against Defendants WILLIAM SNYDER, and CHRISTI WILSON, 8 Defendants. 9 10 11 **INTRODUCTION** On September 28, 2006, Plaintiff Commodity Futures Trading Commission (Commission 12 13 or Plaintiff) filed its Complaint for Permanent Injunction, Civil Monetary Penalties, and Other 14 Equitable Relief (Complaint) in the above-captioned action against Alliance Development 15 Company (Alliance), William Snyder (Snyder), and Christi Wilson (Wilson) (collectively, 16 Defendants), in which the Commission sought injunctive and other equitable relief for violations 17 of the Commodity Exchange Act, as amended (the Act), 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. (2002), and the 18 Commission's Regulations (Regulations) promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1.1 et seq. 19 (2007). The Court entered a Statutory Restraining Order on October 3, 2006 and a Consent 20 21 Order of Preliminary Injunction against Defendants on October 27, 2006. 22 I. 23 CONSENTS AND AGREEMENTS 24 To effect settlement of the matters alleged in the Complaint in this action without a trial 25 on the merits or further judicial proceedings: 26

How I

.

1	1. Defendants agree to entry of this Consent Order for Permanent Injunction, Civil
2	Monetary Penalties, and Equitable Relief Against Defendants (Consent Order);
3	2. Defendants affirm that they have agreed to this Consent Order voluntarily and that
4	no promise or threat has been made by the Commission or any member, officer, agent, or
5	representative thereof, or by any other person, to induce consent to this Consent Order, other
6	than as set forth specifically herein;
7 8	3. Defendants acknowledge proper service of the Summonses and Complaint;
9	4. Defendants admit the jurisdiction of this Court over them and the subject matter
10	of this action pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2002);
11	5. Defendants admit that venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c
12	of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2002);
13	6. Defendants waive:
14	a. all claims that they may possess under the Equal Access to Justice Act
15	(EAJA), 5 U.S.C. § 504 (2006) and 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (2006), relating to or arising from
16 17	this action and any right under EAJA to seek costs, fees, and other expenses relating to or
17	arising from this action;
19	b. any claim that they may possess under the Small Business Regulatory
20	Enforcement Fairness Act, 1996 HR 3136, Pub. L. 104-121, §§ 231-232, 110 Stat. 862-
21	63 (Mar. 29, 1996), relating to or arising from this action;
22	c. any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the institution of this
23	proceeding or the entry in this proceeding of any order imposing a civil monetary penalty
24	or any other relief; and
25	d. all rights of appeal from this action;
26	

The

7. Defendants consent to the continued jurisdiction of this Court for the purpose of
 enforcing the terms and conditions of this Consent Order and for any other purposes relevant to
 this case, even if Defendants now or in the future reside outside the District of Nevada;

4 8. Defendants agree that neither Defendants nor any of their agents, employees, 5 contractors, representatives or attorneys shall take any action or make any public statement 6 denying, directly or indirectly, any allegations in the Complaint or Findings of Fact and 7 Conclusions of Law in this Consent Order, or creating or tending to create the impression that 8 the Complaint or this Consent Order are without factual basis; provided, however, that nothing in 9 this provision shall affect Defendants': i) testimonial obligations; or ii) right to take legal 10 positions in other proceedings to which the Commission is not a party. Defendants shall 11 undertake all steps necessary to assure that all their agents, employees, contractors, 12 representatives or attorneys under their authority and/or actual or constructive control understand 13 14 and comply with this agreement:

15 9. In consenting to the entry of this Consent Order, Defendant Wilson neither admits 16 nor denies the allegations of the Complaint or the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law 17 contained in this Consent Order, except as to jurisdiction and venue, which she admits. 18 Defendants Alliance and Snyder admit the allegations of the Complaint and the Findings of Fact 19 and Conclusions of Law contained in this Consent Order. Further, Snyder admits and takes full 20 responsibility for his wrongdoing. Accordingly, pursuant to Section IV of this Consent Order, 21 22 Snyder will pay back all defrauded pool participants and will pay all civil monetary penalties.

10. Defendants agree and intend that all of the allegations of the Complaint and all of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law made by this Court and contained in Part II of this
 Consent Order shall be taken as true and correct and be given preclusive effect, without further

23

24

25

HAN

proof, in the course of (1) any current or subsequent bankruptcy proceeding filed by, on behalf 1 of, or against any Defendant; (2) a proceeding to enforce this Consent Order; and (3) any 2 proceeding pursuant to Section 8a of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 12a(1), and/or Part 3 of the Regulations, 3 4 17 C.F.R. § 3; and 5 Each Defendant shall provide the Commission with immediate notice of any 11. 6 bankruptcy filed by, on behalf of, or against that Defendant and shall provide immediate notice 7 of any change of address, phone number, or contact information in the manner required by 8 Part V of this Consent Order. 9 П. 10 FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 11 The Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that there is good cause for the entry 12 13 of this Consent Order and that there is no just reason for delay. The Court therefore directs the 14 entry of the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, 15 A. Findings of Fact 16 The Parties 17 12. The Commodity Futures Trading Commission is an independent federal 18 regulatory agency that is charged with responsibility for administering and enforcing the 19 provisions of the Act and the Regulations. 20 13. 21 Alliance Development Company is a Nevada corporation with its principal place 22 of business in Reno, Nevada. Alliance, through several fictitious entities, conducted business as 23 a Commodity Pool Operator (CPO). Alliance has never been registered with the Commission in 24 any capacity. 25 26

Inni

14. William Snyder is an individual with a last known address in Issaquah, 1 Washington. Snyder, Defendant Wilson's father, has been Alliance's president, secretary, 2 treasurer and director since approximately January 2006. Snyder also used the fictitious entities 3 Oceans, Inc. (Oceans) and Verada Wealth Unification (Verada) to conduct business as a CPO. 4 5 Snyder has never been registered with the Commission in any capacity. 6 15. Christi Wilson resides in Reno, Nevada. Wilson, Defendant Snyder's daughter, 7 was Defendant Alliance's president, secretary, and treasurer from 2004 to approximately January 8 2006. Wilson has never been registered with the Commission in any capacity. 9 The Alliance Pools 10 Alliance, through several sham companies including Millennium InterSphere 16. 11 (Millennium), HRC World Fund (HRC) and Titan World 4 Capital (Titan), solicited others to 12 13 invest in several commodity pools (collectively, Alliance Pools). 14 17. From 2004 to 2006 (the relevant period) Alliance, through the Alliance Pools, 15 engaged in a business that was in the nature of a syndicate or investment trust and solicited, 16 accepted and received funds from others for the purpose of trading, among other things, 17 commodity futures contracts. None of the Alliance Pools was incorporated or otherwise 18 organized as legally separate entities from Alliance. Further, there have never been bank 19 accounts in the names of any of the Alliance Pools. 20 21 18. Snyder was associated with Alliance as a partner, officer, employee, consultant, 22 or agent in a capacity that involved the solicitation of funds, securities or property for 23 participation in a commodity pool. As such, Snyder was an Associated Person (AP) of Alliance. 24 25 26

min

19. Snyder used the fictitious entity Millennium to solicit members of the general 1 public to invest in the following Alliance Pools: Millennium Stellar Plan, Millennium Heirarchy 2 Plan, Millennium InterVenture, and Millennium Private Joint Venture. 3

4 5

7

8

9

20. Snyder used the fictitious entity HRC to solicit members of the general public to invest in the following Alliance Pool: HRC Aggressive World Fund.

6 21. Snyder used the fictitious entity Titan to solicit members of the general public to invest in the following Alliance Pools: Titan World-4 Capital Fund and Titan Chase-Titan-2 Global Fund.

22. Alliance, through Snyder using the aliases Ozzie Butler, Bob Siden, and John 10 Wellmond, solicited over 50 members of the general public to invest in the Alliance Pools. 11

23. Wilson, as the sole officer of Alliance, opened several bank accounts in Alliance's 12 name. Wilson opened a bank account in Alliance's name at U.S. Bank in 2005, was the signatory 13 14 on this account, and made transactions in this account. Wilson also opened a bank account in 15 Alliance's name at Nevada State Bank in 2005, was a signatory on this account, and made 16 transactions in this account. Wilson also opened a bank account in Alliance's name at Wells 17 Fargo Bank, N.A. in 2004, was the signatory on this account, and made transactions in this 18 account. Further, Wilson opened a bank account in Alliance's name at Bank of America N.A. in 19 2004, was the signatory on this account, and made transactions in this account. Wilson wrote 20 checks to herself from these accounts in the amount of at least \$140,000. 21

22 23

24

25

26

The Verada Pools

Snyder, through the sham companies Oceans and Verada, solicited members of 24. the general public to invest in the Verada World V Venture and Verada-Galaxy Venture Fund commodity pools (collectively the Verada Pools).

Am

.

1	25.	During the relevant period Snyder, doing business as Oceans and through the		
2	Verada Pools, engaged in a business that was in the nature of a syndicate or investment trust and			
3	solicited, accepted, and received funds from others for the purpose of trading, among other			
4	things, comm	odity futures contracts.		
5	26.	Snyder used the alias David K. Anthony to solicit over 50 members of the general		
6	public to inve	est in the Verada Pools.		
7	27.	Snyder opened a bank account in Oceans's name at Charles Schwab in 2005 and		
8 9	made transact	tions in this account		
10	28.	None of the Verada Pools was incorporated or otherwise organized as legally		
11	separate entiti	es from Snyder. Further, there have never been bank accounts in the names of any		
12	of the Verada	Pools.		
13	Snyde	er's Material Misrepresentations and Omissions to Pool Participants		
14	29.	Snyder made use of the mails, telephone, and facsimile to solicit members of the		
	general public	to invest in the Alliance Pools and Verada Pools.		
16 17	30.	Snyder created the solicitation and promotional materials for the Alliance Pools		
17 18	and Verada Pools and sent (via facsimile or otherwise) these solicitation materials to others. The			
	solicitation ma	aterials for the Alliance Pools and Verada Pools contained false statements, and		
20	Snyder knew,	at the time he provided the materials to Alliance Pool and Verada Pool participants		
21	and prospectiv	e participants, that the solicitation materials for the Alliance Pools and Verada		
22	Pools containe	ed false statements.		
23	31.	The Alliance Pool and Verada Pool solicitation and promotional materials		
24	represented that	at pool participants would earn monthly returns of 15% or greater. At the time he		
25				
26				

Jan Cu

made this representation, Snyder knew it was false and that he had no basis for representing that 1 pool participants and prospective pool participants would earn this type of return. 2

32. Snyder misrepresented to Alliance Pool and Verada Pool participants that their 3 4 funds would be invested in futures, options, foreign currency or stocks for the benefit of Alliance 5 Pool and Verada Pool participants. In reality, pool participants' funds were never invested in 6 futures, options, foreign currency or stocks but were used instead to fund, among other things, 7 Snyder's extravagant lifestyle.

Snyder omitted to tell Alliance Pool and Verada Pool participants that there is 33. 9 significant risk inherent in trading futures. In addition, Snyder failed to provide Alliance Pool 10 and Verada Pool participants with the pool disclosure documents that are required by the 11 12 Regulations.

13 Snyder believed, at the time that they were made, that the false statements in the 34. 14 solicitation materials for the Alliance Pools and Verada Pools would have been material to Alliance Pool and Verada Pool participants' and prospective participants' decisions to invest.

Snyder Sends False Account Statements

8

15

16

17

25

26

35. Alliance (through Snyder) sent (via facsimile or otherwise) a fake Velocity 18 Futures account statement to at least two Alliance Pool participants in July 2005. Snyder knew, 19 at the time he sent it, that the Velocity Futures account statement was fake and contained false 20 21 trading and profit information.

22 36. Snyder, using the email address naztrader@mutemail.com, e-mailed an Alliance 23 Pool participant an account statement on July 13, 2005 that Snyder knew contained false trading 24 information.

Gin Cu

1	Misa	ppropriation and Commingling of Pool Participants' Funds			
2	37. Snyder directed or caused Alliance Pool and Verada Pool participants to deposit a				
3	combined tota	al of at least \$550,000 into Alliance and Oceans accounts. Wilson received at least			
4	\$220,000 of t	hese funds.			
5	38.	Snyder used most of Alliance Pool and Verada Pool participants' funds to pay			
6	Snyder's and	Wilson's personal expenses.			
7	39.	The accounts into which the Alliance Pool and Verada Pool participants' monies			
8 9	were deposite	d contained moneys from other persons and entities who were not Alliance Pool or			
9 10	Verada Pool p	participants.			
11	40.	No Alliance Pool or Verada Pool Participant has received back any of his or her			
12	investment.				
13	B. <u>Concl</u>	usions of Law			
14	Jurisd	liction and Venue			
15	41.	This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action and the allegations in			
16	the Complaint	pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1.			
17	42.	This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to Section 6c of the			
18	Act, 7 U.S.C.				
19 20	43.	Venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C.			
	§ 13a-1, becau	se during the time of the acts complained of, Defendants resided in and transacted			
		District of Nevada.			
23					
24					
25					
26					
		9			

9hM

Regulatory Background

.

1

2	44.	Section 4b(a)(2) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6c(b), makes it unlawful	
3		for any person, in or in connection with any order to make, or the	
4		making of, any contract of sale of any commodity for future	
		delivery, made, or to be made, for or on behalf of any other person if such contract for future delivery is or may be used for (A)	
5		hedging any transaction in interstate commerce in such commodity	
6		or the products or byproducts thereof, or (B) determining the price basis of any transaction in interstate commerce in such commodity,	
7		or (C) delivering any such commodity sold, shipped, or received in interstate commerce for the fulfillment thereof—(i) to cheat or	
8		defraud or attempt to cheat or defraud such other person; (ii)	
9		willfully to make or cause to be made to such other person any false report or statement thereof, or willfully enter or cause to be	
10		entered for such person any false record thereof; (iii) willfully to	
		deceive or attempt to deceive such other person by any means whatsoever in regard to any such order or contract or disposition or	
11		execution of any such order or contract, or in regard to any act of	
12		agency performed with respect to such order or contract for such	
13		person.	
14	45.	Section 1a(5) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(5), defines a CPO as	
15		any person engaged in a business that is of the nature of an	
16		investment trust, syndicate, or similar form of enterprise, and who, in connection therewith, solicits, accepts, or receives from others,	
		funds, securities, or property for the purpose of trading in any	
17		commodity for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any	
18		contract market or derivatives transaction execution facility.	
19	46.	Section 40(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 60(1), prohibits CPOs and APs of CPOs from	
20	using the mail	s or any other means of interstate commerce to:	
21		(A) employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud any client or participant or	
22		prospective client or participant; or	
23		(B) engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates as a fraud or deceit upon any client or participant or prospective client or participant.	
24		nade of decent upon any enem of participant of prospective cheft of participant.	
25			
26			
20			
		10	(

/m)

;

•

1	47.	Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1), provides that it is unlawful for any			
2	CPO, unless registered under the Act, to make use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of				
3	interstate con	nmerce in connection with his business as a CPO.			
4	48.	Section 4k(2) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6k(2), makes it unlawful			
5		for any person to be associated with a [CPO] as a partner, officer, employee, consultant or agent in any capacity that involves (i)			
6 7		the solicitation of funds, securities or property for participation in a commodity pool or (ii) the supervision of any person or persons so			
, 8		engaged, unless such person is registered with the Commission as an associated person of such [CPO] It shall be unlawful for			
9		a [CPO] to permit such a person to become or remain associated with the [CPO] in any such capacity if the [CPO] knew or should			
10		have known that such person was not so registered			
11	49.	Regulation 4.41(a), 17 C.F.R. § 44.1(a), makes it unlawful for any CPO or			
12	principal of a	CPO to advertise in a manner that:			
13		(1) Employs any device, scheme or artifice to defraud any participant or client or prospective participant or client; or			
14		prospective participant of chent, of			
15 16		(2) Involves any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates as a fraud or deceit upon any participant or client or any prospective participant or client.			
17	50.	Regulations 4.20(a) and (c), 17 C.F.R. §§ 4.20 (a) and (c), requires a CPO to			
18	operate its poo	ol as an entity cognizable as a legal entity separate from that of the pool and			
19	prohibits a CP	O from commingling the property of a pool with the property of any other person.			
20	51.	Regulation 4.21, 17 C.F.R. § 4.21, provides that "each commodity pool operator			
21 22	registered or re	equired to be registered under the Act must deliver or cause to be delivered to a			
23	prospective pa	rticipant in a pool that it operates or intends to operate a Disclosure Document for			
24	the pool prepa	red in accordance with §§ 4.24 and 4.25" of the Regulations.			
25	Defen	dants Violated the Act and Regulations			
26	52.	Alliance was a CPO for the Alliance Pools.			

u : Ghui

22

23

24

25

26

53.

Snyder (doing business as Oceans) was a CPO for the Verada Pools.

- During the relevant period, Alliance and Snyder violated Sections 4b(a)(2)(i)-(iii) 54. 2 of the Act in that, in connection with orders to make, or the making of contracts of sale of 3 commodities for future delivery, Alliance and Snyder misappropriated Alliance Pool and Verada 4 5 Pool participants' funds, made materially false representations and omissions regarding the profits 6 pool participants and prospective pool participants would achieve by trading futures, failed to 7 disclose the risks inherent in such trading, and provided false account statements to pool 8 participants. Alliance and Snyder engaged in this conduct with scienter. 9
- During the relevant period, Alliance and Snyder violated Section 40(1) of the Act 55. 10 in that they employed a device, scheme or artifice to defraud pool participants and prospective 11 pool participants or engaged in a transaction, practice or course of business knowingly which 12 13 operated as a fraud or deceit upon Alliance Pool and Verada Pool participants and prospective 14 pool participants by: 1) misappropriating Alliance Pool and Verada Pool participants' funds; 15 (2) making materially false representations and omissions regarding the profits Alliance Pool and 16 Verada Pool participants and prospective pool participants would achieve by trading futures; and 17 (3) failing to disclose the risks inherent in such trading. 18
- 56. During the relevant period, Alliance and Snyder violated Regulation 4.41(a) in
 that they made material misrepresentations in their solicitation materials, knowingly or which
 operated as a fraud or deceit, while soliciting prospective pool participants.
 - 57. During the relevant period, Alliance and Snyder violated Section 4m(1) of the Act in that they used the mails or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including faxes, in or in connection with their businesses as CPOs, while failing to register as CPOs. During the relevant

KI

period, neither Alliance nor Snyder qualified for a registration exemption under either the Act or 1 Regulations. 2

58. During the relevant period, Snyder violated Section 4k(2) of the Act by soliciting 3 funds for participation in Alliance pools while he was not registered as an AP of Alliance. 4 5 During the same time period, Alliance also violated Section 4k(2) of the Act by permitting 6 Snyder to become and remain associated with Alliance when it knew or should have known that 7 Snyder was not registered as an AP of Alliance.

59. During the relevant period, Alliance and Snyder violated Regulations 4.20(a) and 9 (c) in that they never established separate pool entities or accounts in the names of their various 10 pools and commingled pool property with the property of other persons. 11

8

19

60. During the relevant period, Alliance and Snyder violated Regulation 4.21 in that 12 13 they failed to provide the required pool Disclosure Documents to prospective Alliance Pool and 14 Verada Pool participants.

15 61. The foregoing acts, omissions, and failures of Alliance's employees, including but 16 not limited to Snyder, occurred within the scope of their employment with Alliance; therefore, 17 Alliance is liable for these acts, omissions, and failures pursuant to Section 2(a)(1)(B) of the Act, 18 7 U.S.C. § 2(a)(1)(B).

62. During the relevant period, Snyder directly or indirectly controlled the actions of 20 Alliance and did not act in good faith or knowingly induced, directly or indirectly, the acts 21 22 constituting violations of Sections 4b(a)(2)(i)-(iii), 4o(1), 4m(1), and 4k(2) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. 23 §§ 6b(a)(2)(i)-(iii), 6o(1), 6m(1), 6k(2), and Regulations 4.41, 4.20(a) and (c), and 4.21, 17 24 C.F.R. §§ 4.41, 4.20(a) and (c), and 4.21, described above. Pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Act, 25 7 U.S.C. §13c(b), Snyder is liable for these violations to the same extent as Alliance. 26

and the set

1		III.
2		ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION
3	63.	Based upon and in connection with the foregoing conduct, pursuant to Section 6c
4	of the Act, 7	S.C. § 13a-1, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants are permanently
5	restrained, er	pined, and prohibited from:
6	a)	in connection with any order to make, or the making of, any contract of sale of
7		any commodity for future delivery, made, or to be made, for or on behalf of any
8 9		other persons, where such contract for future delivery was or could be used for
9 10		(A) hedging any transaction in interstate commerce in such commodity or the
11		products thereof, or (B) determining the price basis of any transaction in interstate
12		commerce in such commodity, or (C) delivering any such commodity sold,
13		shipped, or received in interstate commerce for the fulfillment thereof,
14		(i) cheating or defrauding or attempting to cheat or defraud others;
15		(ii) willfully making or causing to be made to such other person any
16		false report or statement thereof; or
17		(iii) willfully deceiving or attempting to deceive any other persons by
18 19	*	any means whatsoever in regard to any such order or contract or
19 20		the disposition or execution of any such order or contract, or in
20		regard to any act of agency performed with respect to such order or
22		contract for such persons
23		·
24	b)	in violation of Sections 4b(a)(2)(i)-(iii) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(2)(i)-(iii);
25	0)	naking use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to
26		employ a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud commodity pool participants or

(hn)

.

1		prospective pool participants, or engaging in transactions, practices or courses of
2		business which operate as a fraud or deceit upon pool participants or prospective
3		pool participants, in violation of Section $4\underline{0}(1)$ of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § $6\underline{0}(1)$;
4	c)	advertising in a manner that employs a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud
5		commodity pool participants or prospective pool participants, or engaging in
6		transactions, practices or courses of business which operate as a fraud or deceit
7 8		upon pool participants or prospective pool participants, in violation of Regulation
9		4.41(a), 17 C.F.R. § 4.41(a);
10	d)	making use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce in
11		connection with a business as a commodity pool operator, unless first registered
12		with the Commission, in violation of Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1);
13	e)	associating with a commodity pool operator as a partner, officer, employee,
14		consultant, or agent, or any person occupying a similar status or performing
15		similar functions, in any capacity that involves (1) the solicitation of funds,
16 17		securities, or property for participation in a commodity pool or (2) the supervision
18		of any person or persons so engaged, unless such person is registered with the
19		Commission as an associated person of such commodity pool operator, or
20		permitting such a person to become or remain associated with the commodity
21		pool operator in any such capacity, in violation of Section 4k(2) of the Act, 7
22		U.S.C. § 6k(2);
23	f)	operating a commodity pool that is not also a cognizable legal entity separate
24		from the pool operator and commingling the property of any pool that it operates
25		
26		

Cu :

1		with the property of any other person in violation of Regulations 4.20(a) and (c),
2		17 C.F.R. §§ 4.20 (a) and (c);
3	g)	operating a commodity pool without delivering proper pool disclosure documents
4		to pool participants, and receiving funds from pool participants without receiving
5		from pool participants acknowledgement that they received the required pool
6		disclosure document in violation of Regulation 4.21, 17 C.F.R. § 4.21.
7	64.	Defendants are permanently prohibited from engaging, directly or indirectly, in
8	any activity re	elated to trading in any commodity, as that term is defined in Section 1a(4) of the
9 10		§ 1a(4) (commodity interest), including, but not limited to, the following:
10	a)	trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, as that term is defined in
12		Section 1a(29) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(29);
13	b)	
14	0)	engaging in, controlling or directing the trading for any commodity interest
15		account for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of
16		attorney or otherwise;
17	c)	soliciting or accepting any funds from any person for use in connection with the
18		purchase or sale of any commodity interest;
19	d)	applying for registration or claiming exemption from registration with the
20		Commission in any capacity, and engaging in any activity requiring such
21		registration or exemption from registration with the Commission, except as
22		provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9), or acting as a
23		principal, agent or any other officer or employee of any person registered,
24		exempted from registration or required to be registered with the Commission,
25		except as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9); and
26		
1		

AN

1	e) engaging in any business activities related to commodity interest trading.
2	IV.
3	ORDER FOR OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
5	Appointment of Monitor
6	65. To effect payment by Defendants and distribution of restitution, the National
7	Futures Association (NFA) is appointed as Monitor (Monitor). The Monitor shall collect
8	restitution and disgorgement payments from Defendants and make distributions as set forth
9	below. Because the Monitor is not being specially compensated for these services, and these
10	
11	services are outside the normal duties of the Monitor, the Monitor shall not be liable for any
	action or inaction arising from its appointment as Monitor, other than actions involving fraud.
13	Restitution and Disgorgement Obligations
14	66. Alliance and Snyder shall pay, jointly and severally, restitution in the amount of
15	\$550,000, plus pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, to the defrauded Alliance Pool and
16	Verada Pool participants identified in Attachment A.
17 18	67. Wilson shall pay disgorgement of \$220,000, plus post-judgment interest.
10	68. Alliance's and Snyder's payment of their joint and several restitution obligation
	shall offset, dollar for dollar, Wilson's disgorgement obligation identified in paragraph 67.
21	Further, Wilson's payment of her disgorgement obligation shall offset, dollar for dollar,
22	Alliance's and Snyder's joint and several restitution obligation identified in paragraph 66.
23	69. Pre-judgment interest shall accrue from January 1, 2004 to the date this Consent
24	Order is entered, and shall be determined by using the underpayment rate established quarterly by
25	he Internal Revenue Service pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 6621.
26	
1	

5

Post-judgment interest shall accrue commencing on the date this Consent Order is
 entered and shall be determined by using the Treasury Bill rate prevailing on the date of entry of
 this Consent Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

- 71. All Defendants' restitution and disgorgement obligations are due and owing. Further, Defendants shall pay initial restitution and disgorgement payments as follows:
- a. Defendants' initial restitution and disgorgement payment shall consist of
 all money in the frozen accounts referenced in paragraph 72. If the amount of funds ultimately
 collected from these frozen accounts is less than \$90,000, Snyder shall pay any shortfall to the
 Monitor within ten (10) days of being notified of such shortfall. All frozen funds collected,
 including any frozen funds collected in excess of \$90,000, shall represent an offset to Alliance's
 and Snyder's joint and several restitution obligation; and
- b. Snyder shall make an additional initial restitution payment of \$130,000,
 which will represent an offset to Alliance's and Snyder's joint and several restitution obligation
 identified in paragraph 66. The funds for this restitution payment shall come from funds
 provided by Snyder to his attorney, Del Hardy, Esq. (Hardy), prior to June 10, 2008. Such funds
 will be deposited into Hardy's client trust account and released, via wire transfer, to an account
 designated by the Monitor within three (3) days of the issuance of this Consent Order.
- 72. Upon issuance of this Consent Order, the Commission shall promptly provide
 each of the financial institutions identified in this paragraph with a copy of this Consent Order.
 Within thirty (30) days of receiving a copy of this Consent Order, each of the financial
 institutions identified in this paragraph are specifically directed to liquidate and release all funds
 in any account number identified below, whether the account is held singly or jointly, or in any
 other capacity, and to convey by wire transfer to an account designated by the Monitor, all funds

1 M

in these accounts, less any amounts required to cover the financial institutions' outstanding 1 administrative or wire transfer fees. At no time during the liquidation, release, and/or wire transfer of these funds pursuant to this Consent Order shall Alliance, Snyder or Wilson be 3 afforded any access to, or be provided with, any funds from these accounts. All Defendants and 4 5 all banks and financial institutions listed in this Consent Order, shall cooperate fully and 6 expeditiously with the Commission and Monitor in the liquidation, release, and wire of these 7 funds. The accounts to be liquidated, released, and transferred are: 8

v				
9	Name	Financial Institution	Account Number	Approx. Balance
10	Tamba Inc.	Velocity Futures	***08	\$511
10	Black Diamond	Velocity Futures	***41	\$669
11	Trading Academy			
	Diamond Jacks	Velocity Futures	***30	\$1,815
12	Chase Financial Group	Wells Fargo	*******68	\$24,296
13	Erik Wilson and	Wells Fargo	*******13	\$4,826
15	Christi Wilson			
14	Christi Wilson	Wells Fargo	*******82	\$4,014
• •	Black Diamond	US Global	*********46	\$3
15	Trading Academy			
	Emini Holding	US Global	*********22-0	\$89
16	Corporation			
17	OM Butler Inc. dba	US Global	****68-0	\$2247
17	Goat			
18	Chase Financial Group	Fidelity	******75	\$1,927
	Private Corporate	Fidelity	*******04	\$6
19	Services			
•••	Alliance Development	Fidelity	******40	\$1
20	Company			
21	Oceans Inc.	Charles Schwab	*****24	\$37,731
41	Christi Wilson	Bank of America	*******17	\$2,147
22	Christi Wilson	Bank of America	*******06	\$221
	Diamond Jack, Ltd.	Flagstar Bank	******31	\$1300
23	William Snyder	Flagstar Bank	******73	\$149
24	Tamba Inc.	Huntington Bank		\$8,548
24				

25 26

Defendants shall make restitution and disgorgement payments under this Consent 73.

Order in the name "Alliance Settlement Fund" and shall send such payments by electronic funds

Univi .

transfer, or by U.S. postal money order, certified check, bank cashier's, or bank money order, to 1 Office of Administration, National Futures Association, 300 Riverside Plaza, Suite 1800, 2 Chicago, Illinois 60606, under cover letter that identifies the paying Defendant and the name and 3 docket number of the proceeding. The paying Defendant shall simultaneously transmit copies of 4 5 the cover letter and the form of payment to: (a) the Director, Division of Enforcement, 6 Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1152 21st Street, N.W., 7 Washington, D.C. 20581, and (b) the Chief, Office of Cooperative Enforcement, at the same 8 address. 9 74. The NFA shall oversee Defendants' restitution and disgorgement obligations and 10 shall have discretion to determine the manner for distribution of funds in an equitable fashion to 11 defrauded pool participants identified in Attachment A, as appropriate, or may defer distribution 12 until such time as it deems appropriate. In the event that the amount of restitution and 13 14 disgorgement payments to the Monitor are of a de minimis nature such that the Monitor 15 determines that the administrative costs of the making of a restitution distribution is impractical, 16 the Monitor may, in its discretion, treat such restitution and disgorgement payments as civil 17 monetary penalty payments, which the Monitor shall forward to the Commission following the 18

19 instructions for civil monetary penalty payments set forth in paragraph 76 below.

75. To the extent that any funds accrue to the U.S. Treasury as a result of either the
 restitution or disgorgement obligations in this Consent Order, such funds shall be transferred to
 the Monitor for disbursement in accordance with the procedures set forth in paragraph 74 above

- 23 24
- 25 26

Mini

1	Civil	Monetary Pen	alties	
2	76.	76. Alliance and Snyder shall pay civil monetary penalties (CMPs), plus post-		
3	judgment inter	rest, in the follo	owing amounts:	
4	•	Alliance	\$1,650,000	
5	•	Snyder	\$1,450,000	
6	77.	Post-judgmen	t interest shall accrue commencing on the date this Consent Order is	
7 8	entered. The p	oost-judgment i	nterest rate shall be determined by using the Treasury Bill rate	
9	prevailing on t	he date of entry	y of this Consent Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961.	
10	78.	Alliance's and	Snyder's CMP obligations are immediately due and owing.	
11	Alliance and S	nyder shall pay	their CMP obligations by electronic funds transfer, U.S. postal	
12	money order, certified check, bank cashier's check, or bank money order. If payment is to be			
13	made other than by electronic funds transfer, the payment shall be made payable to the			
14	Commodity Futures Trading Commission and sent to the address below:			
15 16	Commodity Futures Trading Commission Division of Enforcement			
10	ATTN: Marie Bateman – AMZ-300 DOT/FZZ/MMAC			
18	6500 S. MacArthur Blvd.			
19	Oklahoma City, OK 73169 Telephone: (405) 954-6569			
20	If payment by	electronic trans	fer is chosen, the paying Defendant shall contact Marie Bateman or	
21	her successor a	t the address al	bove to receive payment instructions and shall fully comply with	
22	those instruction	ons. The paying	g Defendant shall accompany payment of the CMP with a cover	
23	letter that identifies the paying Defendant and the name and docket number of this proceeding.			
24	The paying De	fendant shall si	multaneously transmit copies of the cover letter and the form of	
25 26	payment to (a)	the Director, D	ivision of Enforcement, Commodity Futures Trading Commission,	

and and a

1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20581, and (b) the Chief, Office of Cooperative 1 Enforcement, Division of Enforcement, at the same address. 2 **Priority of Monetary Sanctions and Partial Payments** 3 4 79. All payments by Defendants pursuant to this Consent Order shall first be applied 5 to satisfaction of their restitution and disgorgement obligations, consistent with the authority 6 granted the Monitor, above. After satisfaction of their restitution and disgorgement obligations, 7 payments by Defendants pursuant to this Consent Order shall be applied to satisfy their CMP 8 obligations. 9 80. Any acceptance by the Commission and/or Monitor of partial payment of 10 Defendants' disgorgement and restitution obligations and/or CMP obligations shall not be 11 deemed a waiver of the respective requirement to make further payments pursuant to this Consent 12 13 Order, or a waiver of the Commission's and/or Monitor's right to seek to compel payment of any 14 remaining balance. 15 V. 16 **OTHER PROVISIONS** 17 No provision of this Consent Order shall in any way limit or impair the ability of 81. 18 any person to seek any legal or equitable remedy against any Defendant in any other proceeding. 19 82. Pursuant to Rule 71 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, each pool participant 20 of Defendants who suffered a loss is explicitly made an intended third-party beneficiary of this 21 Consent Order and may seek to enforce obedience of this Consent Order to obtain satisfaction of 22 23 any portion of the restitution that has not been paid by Defendants, to ensure continued 24 compliance with any provision of this Consent Order and to hold Defendants in contempt for any 25 violations of any provision of this Consent Order. 26

9KNI

3

4

5

6

7

25

26

1 .

83. <u>Continuing Jurisdiction of This Court</u>: This Court shall retain jurisdiction over Defendants to assure compliance with this Order and for all other purposes related to this action.

84. <u>Notices</u>: All notices required to be given by any provision in this Order shall be sent certified mail, return receipt requested, as follows: Notice to the Commission: Attention, Director of Enforcement, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Division of Enforcement, 1155 21st Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20581.

85. <u>Waiver</u>: The failure of any party to this Consent Order at any time or times to require performance of any provision hereof shall in no manner affect the right of such party at a later time to enforce the same or any other provision of this Consent Order. No waiver in one or more instances of the breach of any provision contained in this Consent Order shall be deemed or construed as a further or continuing waiver of such breach or waiver of the breach of any other provision of this Consent Order.

14 86. Equitable Relief: The equitable relief provisions of this Consent Order shall be 15 binding upon Defendants and any person who is acting in the capacity of officer, agent, 16 employee, servant, or attorney of Defendants, and any person acting in active concert or 17 participation with Defendants and those equitable relief provisions that relate to restitution and 18 disgorgement shall be binding on any financial institutions listed above or holding frozen funds 19 or assets of the Defendants, who receives actual notice of this Consent Order by personal service 20 or otherwise. 21

87. <u>Acknowledgments</u>: Upon being served with a copy of this Consent Order after
 entry by this Court, Defendants shall sign an acknowledgment of service and serve such
 acknowledgment on this Court and the Commission within seven calendar days.

ghn and

88. <u>Invalidation</u>: If any provision or the application of any provision of this Consent
 Order is held invalid, the remainder of the Consent Order and the application of the provision to
 any other person shall not be affected by the holding.

89. Entire Agreement and Amendments: This Consent Order incorporates all of the
terms and conditions of the settlement among the parties hereto. Nothing shall serve to amend or
modify this Consent Order in any respect whatsoever, unless: (1) reduced to writing; (2) signed
by all parties hereto; and (3) approved by further order of this Court.

9
 90. <u>Authority</u>: Snyder warrants that he is the President of Alliance, that this Consent
 10
 Order has been duly authorized by Alliance, and that he has been duly empowered to sign and
 11
 submit this Consent Order on behalf of Alliance.

91. <u>Release:</u> As of the date of this Consent Order, Defendants are released from:
(1) any and all claims under the Act and Regulations arising from the conduct that is the subject
of the Complaint; and (2) any previously alleged contempt claims arising under any prior Orders
issued by the Court in this case. Further, Defendants release each other from any and all claims
arising from this action that any Defendant may have against any other Defendant.

There being no just reason for delay, the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed to enter this Consent Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 9th day of December, 2008.

Flin

LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CONSENTED TO AND APPROVED BY:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Main 1

1	Date:
2	William Snyder, Individually and on behalf of Alliance
3	(1, 1, 1, 1)
4	Mustillulon Date: 5/1/2008
5	Christi Wilson, Individually
6	
7	Approved for Entry:
8	
9	Del Hardy. Esq.
10	Hardy Law Group 98 and 96 Winter Street
11	Reno, Nevada 89503
12	Telephone: (775) 322-7422 Facsimile: (775) 322-2303 (facsimile)
13	Attorney for Defendants Alliance and Snyder
14	11 1 days
15	Martin KN/llhc Date: 6-12-08
16	
17	316 South Arlington Ave.
18	Reno, Nevada 89501 Telephone: (775) 322-4008
19	Facsimile: (775) 322-4099 (facsimile)
20	Attorney for Defendant Wilson
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	25

1	Kmf. And Date: 11 foly 2008
1 2	William Snyder, Individually and on behalf of Alliance
3	
4	Date:
5	Christi Wilson, Individually
6	Approved for Entry:
7	Approved for Entry.
8	Data T. 11 2008
9	Det Hardy. Esq. Det Hardy. Esq. Date: June 11 2008
10	Hardy Law Group 98 and 96 Winter Street
11	Reno, Nevada 89503 Telephone: (775) 322-7422
12	Facsimile: (775) 322-2303 (facsimile)
13	Attorney for Defendants Alliance and Snyder
14 15	
15	Date:
17	Martin H. Weiner, Esq.
18	316 South Arlington Ave. Reno, Nevada 89501
19	Telephone: (775) 322-4008 Facsimile: (775) 322-4099 (facsimile)
20	Attorney for Defendant Wilson
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	25

Date: Decemper 2,2008

RACHEL A. HAYES 2 JO METTENBURG CHARLES D. MARVINE 3 Commodity Futures Trading Commission 4 Division of Enforcement Two Emanuel Cleaver II Blvd., Ste 300 5 Kansas City, MO 64112 Tel.: (816) 960-7744 (Mettenburg) 6 Tel.: (816) 960-7741 (Hayes) Tel.: (816) 960-7743 (Marvine) 7 Fax: (816) 960-7750 rhayes@cftc.gov 8 jmettenburg@cftc.gov 9 cmarvine@cftc.gov 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

Exhibit A	Exhibit A - Customer Restitution List	list	
Pool Participant Name	Total Investment	Credits	Net Investment Loss
1st Centura LP	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1.500.00
Aaron L Gerwing	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1.000.00
Al & Kathy Peters	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$10,000.00
Ameer Ladha	\$20,000.00	(\$15,000.00)	\$5,000.00
Andrew Kerr	\$5,000.00	\$0.00	\$5,000.00
Angelo Morizzo	\$1,800.00	\$0.00	\$1,800.00
Arisistides E Makris	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
Billy Massengil	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$300.00
Boulder Chiropractic	\$48,000.00	\$0.00	\$48,000.00
Bruce Alexander Sidor	\$2,400.00	(\$1,200.00)	\$1,200.00
Carol Joy Rashford	\$15,600.00	\$0.00	\$15,600.00
Carolyn F Workman	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
Charlene Riddick	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
Charles C. White	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$300.00
Cheryl Earl	\$5,395.00	\$0.00	\$5,395.00
Christmas Tree Land (Dick Miller)	\$40,000.00	\$0.00	\$40,000.00
Cornell Hinton	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
Dale Stephen Davis	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
Dan Anthony	\$7,155.00	\$0.00	\$7,155.00
Danish Daca	\$300.00	(\$150.00)	\$150.00
David Reed	\$4,900.00	\$0.00	\$4,900.00
Dean Graves	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
Deborah Burtt	\$8,000.00	(\$3,000.00)	\$5,000.00
Dennis G & Paulette B Dunkle	\$1,300.00	\$0.00	\$1,300.00
Dennis Michayluk	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
Dollie B Gage	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
Donald Radford	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
Duane & Margaret Collins	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
E Lauer Enterprises	\$2,500.00	\$0.00	\$2,500.00
E. Lowe McIntyre	\$2,100.00	\$0.00	\$2,100.00

Exhibit A Page 1

Pool Participant Name	Total Investment	Credits	Net Investment Loss
Elisabeth & George Wagner	\$18,000.00	\$0.00	\$18,000.00
Enhancing Quality	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
Eugene Timkin	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
Ferwerda & Associates (David Ferwerda)	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
Florence Rini	\$5,000.00	\$0.00	\$5,000.00
Floyd H Stebbins	\$1,800.00	\$0.00	\$1,800.00
Frank Brown	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
Gary L Alloian	\$5,000.00	\$0.00	\$5,000.00
Gerard Boland	\$1,400.00	(\$450.00)	\$950.00
Glen O Krahenbuhl	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
Glennis Bell	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
Harry & Betty Middleton	\$5,600.00	\$0.00	\$5,600.00
Henry & Laura Gundelach	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00
Horst A. & Ursula Scharoll	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$3,000.00
J A Barker & Associates Inc	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
James D. & Linda F. Tobin	\$10,500.00	\$0.00	\$10,500.00
James T. & Sally L. Brown	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00
Jessica F. & Allyn Ross	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
John & Charlotte Barker	\$18,000.00	\$0.00	\$18,000.00
John Harger	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
Kenneth Rose	\$14,000.00	\$0.00	\$14,000.00
Lambert R. Abeyatunge, M.D.	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$300.00
Leo W & Rita L Wever	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
Leonard F. Stegman	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
Leonard Tremi	\$750.00	\$0.00	\$750.00
Lyn Daunheimer	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$300.00
Mario Mastropieri	\$10,600.00	\$0.00	\$10,600.00
Marion E. Woodmansee	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
Mary Ann Sumney	\$687.00	\$0.00	\$687.00
Mary Nell & Timothy M Flood	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$300.00
Mary Thiessen	\$11,000.00	\$0.00	\$11,000.00
Maxine M & William R Smith	\$6,700.00	\$0.00	\$6,700.00

Exhibit A Page 2

	>>>>>	°	
Milton & Myrna Nelson	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00
N. Carl & Linda Tenney	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
National Management Systems LLC	\$25,000.00	\$0.00	\$25,000.00
Noah Yoder Enterprises	\$1,455.00	\$0.00	\$1,455.00
O.M. Butler, Inc	\$200.00	\$0.00	\$200.00
P.A. Reynolds	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
Perry Brown	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00
Peter Carasiti	\$1,600.00	\$0.00	\$1,600.00
Ralph Erskine	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$300.00
Raymond Calladine	\$800.00	\$0.00	\$800.00
Richard E Horch	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
Richard G. Miller	\$4,500.00	\$0.00	\$4,500.00
Richard P. Plant	\$2,755.00	\$0.00	\$2,755.00
Robert & Joyce Karbowski	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$3,000.00
Robert Holstein Jr.	\$2,500.00	\$0.00	\$2,500.00
Robert J & Judy M Diver	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$3,000.00
Robert Kiesselbach	\$600.00	\$0.00	\$600.00
Robert L Smith	\$24,500.00	\$0.00	\$24,500.00
Ron Jost	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
Rudy Wellsand	\$5,500.00	\$0.00	\$5,500.00
Scott Freeze	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
Stanley & Ida Rosen	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
Steve & Glenda Snyder	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$10,000.00
Swendeman Hardware Building Materials Inc	\$6,500.00	\$0.00	\$6,500.00
T R Maintenance	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
Terry T.A. Norman	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
Tobin Floor Covering Inc	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$10,000.00
Unknown	\$37,500.00	\$0.00	\$37,500.00
Victor & Donna Hubbard	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
W. Norwood Stanley	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$300.00

Case 3:06-cv-00512-LRH-RAM Document 107 Filed 12/10/2008 Page

\$2,000.00 \$500.00

\$0.00 \$0.00

\$2,000.00 \$500.00

Net Investment Loss

Credits

Total Investment

Pool Participant Name

Michael D. & Robbin E. Smith

Michael Zillante

Page 30 of 31

Pool Participant Name	Total Investment	Credits	Net Investment Loss
Walt Zimmerman	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$300.00
Walter A. Stembridge	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
Walter Werner	\$52,100.00	\$0.00	\$52,100.00
Wayne E. & Dorothy M. Burmeister	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
William Conder	\$31,000.00	\$0.00	\$31,000.00
Willis & Georgian Kreider	\$8,388.75	\$0.00	\$8,388.75
TOTALS	\$569,785.75	\$569,785.75 (\$19,800.00)	\$549,985.75

Exhibit A Page 4