FILED. 2008 FEB 13 AM 10: 59 1 Louis V. Traeger - California State Bar No. 38714 CLERK US DESTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 William P. Janulis - IL ARDC No. 1326449 Rosemary Hollinger – IL ARDC No. 03123647 3 Attorneys for Plaintiff Commodity Futures Trading Commission 525 W. Monroe St., Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60661 5 312-596-0563 (Traeger); ltraeger@cftc.gov 312-596-0545 (Janulis); wjanulis@cftc.gov 6 312-596-0520 (Hollinger); rhollinger@cftc.gov 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 **COMMODITY FUTURES** 11 TRADING COMMISSION, 12 Plaintiff. 13 CIVIL ACTION NO: 06 CV 020 BEN MWc 14 CHARLES A. DEFAZIO, and 15 16 GALAXY RESOURCES 2000, LLC, 17 Defendants. 18 19 CONSENT ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE 20 RELIEF AGAINST DEFENDANTS CHARLES A. DEFAZIO AND GALAXY RESOURCES 2000, LLC 21 I. INTRODUCTION 22 On January 5, 2006, Plaintiff Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission" 23 24 or "CFTC") filed the complaint in this action against Defendants Charles A. DeFazio and Galaxy 25 Resources 2000, LLC (collectively, "Defendants") seeking injunctive and other equitable relief 26 for violations of the Commodity Exchange Act, as amended ("Act"), 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. 27

(2002), and the Commission's Regulations ("Regulations") promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R.

10

§§ 1 et seq. (2006). The Court entered an Agreed Order of Preliminary Injunction and Other Ancillary Relief against Defendants on January 10, 2006.

II. CONSENTS AND AGREEMENTS

To effect settlement of the matters alleged in the Complaint against Defendants without a trial on the merits or any further judicial proceedings, Defendants:

- 1. Consent to the entry of this Consent Order of Permanent Injunction and Other Equitable Relief ("Consent Order");
- Affirm that Defendants have agreed to this Consent Order voluntarily, and that no 2. threat, or promise other than as specifically contained herein, has been made by the Commission or any member, officer, agent or representative thereof, or by any other person, to induce consent to this Consent Order;
 - 3. Acknowledge service of the summons and Complaint;
- 4. Admit the jurisdiction of this Court over them and the subject matter of this action pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1;
- Admit that venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1;
 - 6. Waive:
- a. all claims that they may possess under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 5 U.S.C. § 504 (2000) and 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (2000), relating to, or arising from, this action and any right under EAJA to seek costs, fees and other expenses relating to, or arising from, this action;
- b. any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the institution of this proceeding or the entry in this proceeding of any order imposing a civil monetary penalty or any other relief; and
 - c. all rights of appeal from this Consent Order;

- 7. Consent to the continued jurisdiction of this Court for the purpose of enforcing the terms and conditions of this Consent Order and for any other purposes relevant to this case, even if Defendants now or in the future reside outside the jurisdiction;
- 8. Agree that neither the Defendants nor their agents, employees or representatives acting under their control shall take any action or make any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegations in the Complaint, or findings in this Consent Order, or creating or tending to create the impression that the Complaint, and this Consent Order are without factual basis; provided, however, that nothing in this provision shall affect Defendants': i) testimonial obligations, or ii) rights to take legal positions in other proceedings to which the Commission is not a party. Defendants will undertake all steps necessary to assure that their agents, employees and representatives understand and comply with this agreement; and
- 9. In consenting to the entry of this Consent Order, Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations of the Complaint or the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Consent Order, except as to jurisdiction and venue, which they admit. Defendants do not consent to the use of this Consent Order, or the Findings of Fact or Conclusions of Law in Parts III and IV of this Consent Order, as the sole basis for any other proceeding brought by the CFTC, other than a proceeding in bankruptcy relating to Defendants, a Commission registration proceeding relating to Defendants, or to enforce the terms of this Consent Order. Solely with respect to any bankruptcy proceeding relating to Defendants, a Commission registration proceeding related to Defendants and any proceeding to enforce this Consent Order, Defendants agree that the allegations of the Complaint and all of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in Parts III and IV of this Consent Order shall be taken as true and correct and be given preclusive effect, without further proof. Furthermore, Defendants agree to provide immediate

notice to this Court and the CFTC by certified mail, in the manner required by Part VI of this Consent Order, of any bankruptcy proceeding filed by, on behalf of, or against either of them. No provision of this Consent Order shall in any way limit or impair the ability of any other person or entity to seek any legal or equitable remedy against Defendants in any other proceeding.

III. FINDINGS OF FACT

A. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 10. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 6c of the Act,
 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1, which authorizes the CFTC to seek injunctive relief against any person who
 has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of
 any provision of the Act or any rule, regulation or order promulgated thereunder.
- 11. Venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e), because DeFazio is a resident of this district and both Defendants transacted business, among other places, in this district, and the acts and practices in violation of the Act have occurred, among other places, within this district.

B. Parties to this Consent Order

12. Plaintiff, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, is an independent federal regulatory agency that is charged with responsibility for administering and enforcing the provisions of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. (2002), and the Regulations promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1 et seq. (2006). The CFTC is authorized by Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2002), to bring a civil action to enjoin any act or practice constituting a violation of the Act, to enforce compliance with the Act, and to seek civil penalties.

- 13. Defendant Charles A. DeFazio resides in Oceanside, California. DeFazio is the manager and person in sole control of defendant Galaxy Resources 2000, LLC, which acted as a commodity pool operator ("CPO"). DeFazio committed the acts alleged herein individually and as an agent and controlling person of Galaxy Resources 2000, LLC. DeFazio previously was registered with the Commission as an associated person ("AP") for brief periods in 1988 and 1989. Since that time, he has not been registered with the Commission in any capacity.
- 14. Defendant Galaxy Resources 2000, LLC ("Galaxy") is a Nevada limited liability company established in July 2000. Galaxy's listed business address is identical to DeFazio's listed residential address in Oceanside, California. DeFazio filed an online application with the National Futures Association ("NFA") for Galaxy to be registered as a CPO and commodity trading advisor ("CTA") on October 6, 2005, but that application has never been approved. Consequently, Galaxy has never been registered with the Commission in any capacity. Additionally, neither Galaxy nor DeFazio is a member of the NFA.
 - C. Defendants Falsely Represented That DeFazio Was A Successful Trader
- 15. From 1999 through February 2005, the period prior to formation and trading of the Galaxy commodity trading pool, DeFazio controlled and traded at least six commodity trading accounts at two futures commission merchants ("FCMs"). DeFazio's trading in those accounts resulted in losses of approximately \$1 million. DeFazio did not disclose his history of prior trading losses when soliciting and accepting funds from prospective Galaxy pool participants. Rather, he knowingly misrepresented to at least two pool participants that he had recent trading successes, and provided to at least five participants a document that claimed that his trading techniques enabled him to "enjoy a very high degree of success in trading commodity futures markets."

24

25

26

.27

28

Defendants Made Other Misrepresentations Of Material Facts To Pool D. **Participants**

Document 19

- From April 2005 through October 2005, DeFazio sent pool participants and 16. prospective pool participants a number of documents and statements, in which he knowingly or recklessly misrepresented:
 - a. that he was "a licensed Series 3 Futures and Options Specialist who is registered with the National Futures Association and Commodity Futures Trading Commission," when he never was so licensed or registered;
 - b. that participants' investments in the pool were profitable when they were not. For example, for the months April through June 2005, DeFazio sent the participants statements showing profits, even though the he knew that the Galaxy trading accounts had net losses in each of those months;
 - c. that in September 2005, "the Galaxy Resources Pool got wiped out completely" and "[t]here is no residual balance left in the accounts," when the Defendants still possessed at least \$96,000 of pool participant funds.

E. **Defendants Misappropriated Pool Participants Funds**

17. DeFazio misappropriated at least \$122,500 of pool participant funds and used those funds for purposes other than trading on participants' behalf, including using some funds to pay a mortgage, credit card charges and other personal expenses.

F. **Defendants Commingled Participant Funds**

From at least May 2005 through September 2005, Galaxy, through DeFazio, 18. maintained two bank accounts in the name of Galaxy at Wells Fargo Bank in which DeFazio deposited participant funds. Galaxy, through DeFazio, commingled participant funds in those bank accounts with funds of unknown origin and used the commingled funds to pay a mortgage, credit card charges and other personal expenses.

G. Galaxy Acted as a CPO Without Registration

From at least March or April 2005 to September 2005, Galaxy acted as a CPO by engaging in a business that is of the nature of an investment trust, syndicate, or similar form of

enterprise and in connection therewith, solicited, accepted or received funds, securities or property from others for the purpose of trading in commodities for future delivery on or subject to the rules of contract markets or derivatives transaction execution facilities.

20. During the relevant time, DeFazio, as principal and manager of Galaxy, directly and indirectly controlled Galaxy and did not act in good faith or knowingly induced, directly or indirectly, Galaxy's actions as a CPO.

H. DeFazio Acted as an AP of a CPO Without Registration

21. From at least March or April 2005 to September 2005, DeFazio acted as an AP of a CPO by associating with Galaxy as a partner, officer, employee, consultant, or agent (or as a person occupying a similar status or performing similar functions), in a capacity that involved (i) the solicitation of funds, securities, or property for a participation in a commodity pool or (ii) the supervision of any person or persons so engaged, without being registered with the Commission as an associated person of such CPO.

IV. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 22. The actions and omissions of DeFazio described herein were done within the scope of his office with Galaxy. Therefore, pursuant to Section 2(a)(1)(B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2(a)(1)(B), Galaxy also is liable for DeFazio's violations of the Act.
- 23. By making material misrepresentations and giving false statements to pool participants and by misappropriating participant funds, Defendants violated Sections 4b(a)(2)(i), (ii), and (iii) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(2)(i), (ii), and (iii), which make it unlawful for any person to cheat or defraud or attempt to cheat or defraud; or willfully make or cause to be made to other persons false reports or statements, or willfully enter or cause to be entered for other persons false records; or willfully deceive or attempt to deceive by any means whatsoever other

persons in or in connection with orders to make, or the making of, contracts of sale of commodities, for future delivery, made, or to be made, for or on behalf of such other persons where such contracts for future delivery were or may have been used for (a) hedging any transaction in interstate commerce in such commodity, or the produce or byproducts thereof, or (b) determining the price basis of any transaction in interstate commerce in such commodity, or (c) delivering any such commodity sold, shipped or received in interstate commerce for the fulfillment thereof.

- 24. By making material misrepresentations and giving false statements to pool participants and by misappropriating participant funds through the use of the Internet and other instrumentalities of interstate commerce, Galaxy, as a CPO, and DeFazio, as the AP of a CPO, also violated Sections 4o(1)(A) and (B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1)(A) and (B), by:

 (i) employing devices, schemes or artifices to defraud clients or prospective clients, and (ii) engaging in transactions, practices or courses of business which operated as a fraud or deceit upon clients or prospective clients.
- 25. By commingling pool funds with other funds of unknown origin, Galaxy, through its agent, DeFazio, violated Regulation 4.20(c), 17 C.F.R. § 4.20(c), and DeFazio is also liable for these violations pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13c(b).
- 26. By engaging in activities as a CPO without the benefit of registration, Galaxy violated Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1), and DeFazio is also liable for these violations pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13c(b).
- 27. By engaging in activities as an AP of a CPO without the benefit of registration, DeFazio violated Section 4k(2) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6k(2).

V. NEED FOR A PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER ANCILLARY RELIEF

Defendants Galaxy and DeFazio engaged in acts and practices that violate Sections 4b(a)(2)(i), (ii), and (iii), and 4o(1)(A) and 4o(1)(B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(2)(i), (ii), and (iii), 6o(1)(A) and 6o(1)(B), and Regulation 4.20(c), 17 C.F.R. § 4.20(c). Additionally, defendants Galaxy and DeFazio engaged in acts and practices that violate Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. 6m(1), and defendant DeFazio engaged in acts and practices that violate Section 4k(2) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6k(2). Unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, there is a reasonable likelihood that defendants will continue to engage in the acts and practices alleged in the Complaint and in similar acts and practices in violation of the Act. Other ancillary equitable relief is imposed to carry out the goals of the Act.

VI. PERMANENT INJUNCTION

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

A. PROHIBITIONS AGAINST VIOLATIONS OF THE ACT

- 29. Defendants Galaxy and DeFazio, and all persons who act in the capacity of agents, employees, successors and assigns of either of them, and all persons who actively participate in concert with them, who receive actual notice of this Consent Order by personal service or otherwise, including facsimile transmission, are prohibited and permanently enjoined from directly or indirectly:
 - a. cheating or defrauding, or attempting to cheat, or defraud other persons in or in connection with an offer to enter into, the entry into, the confirmation of the execution of, or the maintenance of, any commodity futures transaction, in violation of Section 4b(a)(2)(i) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(2)(i);
 - b. making or causing to be made to any other person any false report or statement thereof or causing to be entered for any person any false record thereof, in violation of Section 4b(a)(2)(ii) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(2)(ii);
 - c. willfully deceiving or attempting to deceive by any means whatsoever other persons by any means whatsoever in regard to any order or contract, or in regard to

Case 3:06-cv-00020-BEN-WMC

7

10

15

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

> 27 28

any act of agency performed with respect to any order or contract, in violation of Section 4b(a)(2)(iii) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(2)(iii);

- employing any device, scheme or artifice to defraud any client or participant or prospective client or participant or engaging in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates as a fraud or deceit upon any client or participant or prospective client or participant by use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, in violation of Sections 40(1)(A) and (B)of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6o(1)(A) and (B);
- engaging in activities as a CPO without the benefit of registration, in violation of Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1); and
- commingling the property of any pool that they operate or that they intend to operate with the property of any other person in violation of Regulation 4.20(c), 17 C.F. R: § 4.20(c).
- Defendant DeFazio, and all persons who act in the capacity of agents, employees, successors and assigns of him, and all persons who actively participate in concert with him, who receive actual notice of this Consent Order by personal service or otherwise, including facsimile transmission, are prohibited and permanently enjoined from directly or indirectly from engaging in activities as an AP of a CPO without the benefit of registration, in violation of Section 4k(2) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6k(2).
- 31. Defendants DeFazio and Galaxy also are permanently prohibited from engaging, directly or indirectly, in any activity related to trading in any commodity, as that term is defined in Section 1a(4) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(4) ("commodity interest"), including but not limited to, the following:
 - trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, as that term is defined in Section 1a(29) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(29);
 - engaging in, controlling or directing the trading for any commodity interest account for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise:
 - soliciting or accepting any funds from any person in connection with the purchase or sale of any commodity interest;
 - applying for registration or claiming exemption from registration with the Commission in any capacity, and engaging in any activity requiring such registration or exemption from registration with the Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14 (a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9), or acting as a principal, agent or any other officer or employee of any person registered, exempted from registration or required to be

registered with the Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14 (a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9);

- e. entering into any commodity interest transactions for their own personal accounts, for any account in which they have a direct or indirect interest and/or having any commodity interests traded on their behalf; and
 - f. engaging in any business activities related to commodity interest trading.

B. RESTITUTION

- 32. Upon entry of this Order, Defendants DeFazio and Galaxy are jointly and severally liable for and a judgment is entered against them in the amount of \$1,035,470 plus post-judgment interest for restitution to pool participants (the "Restitution Amount"). The Restitution Amount shall be reduced by the amount of any distribution ordered by this Court as partial restitution of funds already deposited into the Registry of this Court. Post-judgment interest shall accrue commencing on the date of entry of this Consent Order and shall be determined by using the Treasury Bill rate prevailing on the date of entry of this Consent Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961.
- 33. Appointment of Monitor: To effect payment by Defendants and distribution of restitution to defrauded customers, the Court appoints Daniel Driscoll, Executive Vice-President of the National Futures Association ("NFA") or his successor, as Monitor ("Monitor"). The Monitor shall collect restitution payments from Defendants; compute *pro rata* allocations to injured customers identified in Attachment A to this Consent Order, and make distributions as set forth below. Because the Monitor is not being specially compensated for these services, and these services are outside the normal duties of the Monitor, he shall not be liable for any action or inaction arising from his appointment as Monitor, other than actions involving fraud.
- 34. Defendants shall make restitution payments under the Consent Order in the name "DeFazio Galaxy International Settlement Fund" and shall send such restitution payments by electronic funds transfer, or by U.S. postal money order, certified check, bank cashier's, or bank

money order, to Daniel Driscoll, Monitor, National Futures Association, 200 W. Madison Street #1600, Chicago, Illinois 60606-3447 under cover letter that identifies the paying Defendant and the name and docket number of the proceeding. The paying Defendant shall simultaneously transmit copies of the cover letter and the form of payment to Gregory Mocek, Director, Division of Enforcement, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1152 21st Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20581, and to the Chief, Office of Cooperative Enforcement, at the same address. The NFA shall oversee Defendants' restitution obligation, shall make periodic distribution of funds to customers as appropriate, or may defer distribution until such time as it deems appropriate. Restitution payments shall be made in an equitable fashion as determined by the NFA to the persons identified on Exhibit A.

- 35. All payments by the Defendants pursuant to this Consent Order shall first be applied to satisfaction of the Restitution Amount. After satisfaction of the Restitution Amount, payments by the Defendants pursuant to this Consent Order shall be applied to satisfy the civil monetary penalty described below.
- 36. The amounts payable to each investor identified in Exhibit A shall not limit the ability of any investor from proving that a greater amount is owed from Defendants or any other person or entity, and nothing herein shall be construed in any way to limit or abridge the rights of any investor that exist under state or common law.
- 37. Pursuant to Rule 71 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, each customer of Defendants is explicitly made an intended third-party beneficiary of this Consent Order and may seek to enforce obedience of this Consent Order to obtain satisfaction of any portion of the restitution that has not been paid by Defendants, to ensure continued compliance with any

provision of this Consent Order and to hold Defendants in contempt for any violations of any provision of this Consent Order.

C. CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY

- 38. Upon entry of this Order, Defendants DeFazio and Galaxy are jointly and severally liable for and a judgment is entered against them for a civil monetary penalty in the amount of \$400,000 plus post-judgment interest. Post-judgment interest shall accrue beginning on the date of entry of this Consent Order and shall be determined at the Treasury Bill rate prevailing on the date of entry of this Consent Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961.
- 39. Defendants shall pay this civil monetary penalty by making electronic funds transfer, U.S. postal money order, certified check, bank cashier's check, or bank money order, made payable to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission and sent to the address below:

Commodity Futures Trading Commission Division of Enforcement ATTN: Marie Bateman – AMZ-300 DOT/FZZ/MMAC 6500 S. MacArthur Blvd. Oklahoma City, OK 73169 Telephone: (405) 954-6569

If payment by electronic transfer is chosen, the paying Defendant shall contact Marie Bateman or her successor at the address above to receive payment instructions and shall fully comply with those instructions. The paying Defendant shall accompany payment of the civil monetary penalty with a cover letter that identifies the paying Defendant and the name and docket number of this proceeding. The paying Defendant shall simultaneously transmit a copy of the cover letter and the form of payment to:

Office of Cooperative Enforcement
Division of Enforcement
Commodity Futures Trading Commission
1155 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20581.

D. LIMITATIONS ON TRANSFER OF RESIDENCE

40. Defendant DeFazio acknowledges that he has an ownership interest in a residence commonly known as 1584 Via Botero, Oceanside, California 920056-6005. Defendant DeFazio, and all persons who act in the capacity of agents, employees, successors and assigns of him, and all persons who actively participate in concert with him, who receive actual notice of this Consent Order by personal service or otherwise, including by facsimile transmission, are prohibited and permanently enjoined from voluntarily transferring his ownership interest in this residence for less than fair market value.

E. OTHER PROVISIONS

41. Notices: All notices required to be given by any provision in this Consent Order to the Commission shall be sent certified mail, return receipt requested, as follows:

Notice to Plaintiff Commission:
Director of the Division of Enforcement
Commodity Futures Trading Commission
Commodity Futures Trading Commission
1155 21st Street NW, Washington, DC 20581

Notice to Defendant DeFazio: Charles A. DeFazio 1584 Via Botera Oceanside, CA 92056

- 42. Entire Agreement and Amendments: This Consent Order incorporates all of the terms and conditions of the settlement among the parties hereto. Nothing shall serve to amend or modify this Consent Order in any respect whatsoever, unless: (1) reduced to writing; (2) signed by all parties hereto; and (3) approved by order of this Court.
- 43. Invalidation: If any provision of this Consent Order, or if the application of any provisions or circumstances is held invalid, the remainder of the Consent Order and the

. 28

application of the provisions to any other person or circumstance shall not be affected by the holding.

- 44. Waiver: The failure of any party hereto at any time or times to require performance of any provision hereof shall in no manner affect the right of such party at a later time to enforce the same or any other provision of this Consent Order. No waiver in one or more instances of the breach of any provision contained in this Consent Order shall be deemed to be or construed as a further or continuing waiver of such breach or waiver of the breach of any other provision of this Consent Order.
- 45. Authority: DeFazio hereby warrants that he is the principal and manager of Galaxy, that this Consent Order has been duly authorized by Galaxy, and that he has been duly empowered to sign and submit this Consent Order on behalf of Galaxy.
- 46. Continuing Jurisdiction of this Court: This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action in order to implement and carry out the terms of this Consent Order and any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of the Court.
- 47. Counterparts and Facsimile Execution. This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, all of which shall be considered one and the same agreement and shall become effective when one or more counterparts have been signed by each of the parties and delivered (by facsimile or otherwise) to the other party, it being understood that all parties need not sign the same counterpart. Any counterpart or other signature to this Agreement that is

IT IS SO ORDERED on this 2008

and delivery by such party of this Agreement.

CONSENTED TO AND APPROVED BY:

Charles A. DeFazio, Defendant, individually and

on behalf of Galaxy Resources 2000, LLC

Beck, DeCorso, Daly, Kreindler & Harris

Andrew B. Holmes, Esq.

601 West Fifth St., 12th Floor

Attorney for Defendants

Los Angeles, CA 90071

213-683-2007

delivered by facsimile shall be deemed for all purposes as constituting good and valid execution

Attorney for Plaintiff

Commodity Futures Trading Commission

EXHIBIT A TO TALLARICO DECLARATION DATED 1/30/08

NAME	T	OTAL AMOUNT	T	OTAL AMOUNT RETURNED	то	TAL RESTITUTION OWED	PRO RATA % of RESTITUTION
Andrews, Florence E.	\$	2,000.00	ļ		\$	2,000.00	0.18%
Berg, Beverly	\$	20,000.00	†		\$	20,000.00	1.79%
Bollock, Stephen L.	\$	20,000.00			\$	20,000.00	1.79%
Boswell, Paul	\$	4,000.00			\$	4,887.40	0.44%
Brewer, Ruth *	\$	500.00			\$		0.00%
Brion Capital Group	\$	2,000.00			\$	2,000.00	0.18%
Bullock, Bernice	\$	3,500.00			\$	3,500.00	0.31%
Chambers, James & Teresa	\$	5,000.00			\$	5,000.00	0.45%
Clayton, Phil	\$	2,000.00	\$	2,000.00	\$	-	0.00%
Cobb, Bud	\$:-	10,000.00			\$	10,000.00	0.89%
Corrales, Jolene	\$	2,000.00			\$	2,000.00	0.18%
Cross, John	\$	2,000.00			``\$	2,000:00	0.18%
Dawson, Gregory A.	\$	9,479.47	\$	2,759.47	\$	6,720.00	0.60%
Dotson, Keith		2,000.00	\$	2,000.00	\$		0.00%
Emard, Bill	\$	10,000.00			\$	10,000.00	0.89%
	\$		 		\$	2,000.00	0.18%
Enos, Lon		2,000.00	-	4 200 00		4,400.00	0.39%
Feinberg, Marlene	\$	8,600.00	\$	4,200.00	\$		
Flansaas, Arturo	\$	10,000.00	3	500.00	\$	9,500.00	0.85%
Forte, Dija	\$	2,007.00	ļ		\$	2,007.00	0.18%
Forte, James	\$	5,000.00	├		\$	5,000.00	0.45%
Forte, Louis	\$	5,507.00	<u> </u>		\$	5,507.00	0.49%
Fundacion La Mission a/k/a Gabino Palafox	\$	59,000.00	 		\$_	59,000.00	5.28%
Garcia, Imelda	\$	4,500.00	ļ		\$	4,500.00	0.40%
Garcia, Leobardo	\$	5,000.00	- <u>-</u> -		\$	5,000.00	0.45%
Gonzales, Christopher	\$	30,000.00	\$	12,500.00	\$	17,500.00	1.57%
Gumm Family Trust	\$	50,000.00	\$	10,000.00	\$	40,000.00	3.58%
Gumm, Bill	\$	5,000.00	L		\$	5,000.00	0.45%
Gumm, Preston	\$	5,000.00	ļ <u> </u>		\$	5,000.00	0.45%
Halbert, Clifford B.	\$	5,000.00			\$	5,000.00	0.45%
Haury, Daryl & Karen	\$	5,000.00			\$	5,000.00	0.45%
Haury, Eric	\$	17,704.21	\$	5,000.00	\$	12,704.21	1.14%
Haury, Ken & Cheryl	\$	2,511.99	\$	66.00	\$	2,445.99	0.22%
Haury, Lorica*	\$	2,000.00			\$	•	0.00%
Hennington, Audie	\$	2,000.00	L		\$	2,000.00	0.18%
Hohler, Eric	\$	50,000.00	\$	12,500.00	\$	37,500.00	3.35%
Howell, Tim	\$	2,000.00			\$	2,000.00	0.18%
Huyett, Barbara	\$	5,000.00			\$	5,000.00	0.45%
Iracleanos, Sonia	\$	2,000.00			\$	2,000.00	0.18%
Jekel, Richard	\$	2,000.00	<u>L_</u>		\$	2,000.00	0.18%
Johnson, Val & Greg	\$	4,000.00			\$	4,000.00	0.36%
Jordan, Darwyn	\$	20,000.00	L		\$	20,000.00	1.79%
Keller, Michael	\$	5,000.00			\$	5,000.00	0.45%
Kelly, Rosalie	\$	15,000.00			\$	15,000.00	1.34%
Kingdom Advancement Ministry	\$	19,000.00			\$	19,000.00	1.70%
Kraemer, Shirley c/o Kathy Hoaglund	\$	60,000.00			\$	60,000.00	5.37%
Landon, Thomas	\$	14,000.00			\$	14,000.00	1.25%
LeatherBury, Allan & Patric	\$	2,500.00			\$	2,500.00	0.22%
Loomis, Jerry & D'Ann	\$	22,200.00			\$	22,200.00	1.99%
Luttrell, David & Ray	\$	2,500.00	ļ —		\$	2,500.00	0.22%
Luttrell, Emmitt	\$	41,300.00			\$	41,300.00	3.69%
Luttrell, Jeremy*	\$	2,500.00	 		\$	-	0.00%
Luttrell, Jerry & Karen	\$	6,500.00			\$	6,500.00	0.58%
Luttrell, Ray & Mary	\$	15,000.00			\$	15,000.00	1.34%
McAffee, Rick	\$	40,000.00	\$	10,000.00	\$	30,000.00	2.68%
McKee, Jerry	\$	25,000.00	Ψ	10,000.00	\$	25,000.00	2.24%
McKee, Scott	\$	7,000.00	\$	475.00	\$	6,525.00	0.58%
McKinney, Kimberly	\$	65,000.00	<u> </u>	773.00	\$	65,000.00	5.81%
Morales, Carlos	\$	10,350.00	\$	10,350.00	\$		0.00%
Wioralds, Carlos	Ψ	10,000.00	ب ا	10,000.00	Ψ	<u>.</u>	0.0070

EXHIBIT A TO TALLARICO DECLARATION DATED 1/30/08

NAME	TOTAL AMOUNT INVESTED	TOTAL AMOUNT RETURNED	TOTAL RESTITUTION OWED	PRO RATA %
Moritz, Frederick & Judith	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 2,000.00	0.18%
Mosier, Joel & Tara			\$ 2,000.00	0.18%
Mott, Richard	\$ 2,000.00 \$ 2,000.00		\$ 2,000.00	0.18%
Mueller, Craig & Laura	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 2,000.00	0.18%
Mueller, Scott & Janel	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 2,000.00	0.18%
Murphy, Darci & Leo	\$ 40,000.00		\$ 40,000.00	3.58%
Murrieta, Joseph Alex	\$ 15,000.00		\$ 15,000.00	1.34%
Neff, John	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 2,000.00	0.18%
Neff, Miriam	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 2,000.00	0.18%
Nelson, Ed & Guyla	\$ 8,000.00		\$ 8,000.00	0.72%
Newby, Tom	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 2,000.00	0.18%
O'Day, Edward	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ -	0.00%
Okken, John	\$ 5,000.00	20,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	0.45%
Okken, Monty	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 2,000.00	0.18%
Ostrover, Lewis	\$ 10,000.00		\$ 10,000.00	0.89%
Perez, Abelardo & Juanita	\$ 5,000.00	 	\$ 5,000.00	0.45%
Phillips, Sandy	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 2,000.00	0.43%
Phillips, Sandy Phillips, William	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 4,000.00	0.16%
			\$ 4,000.00	0.38%
Pina, Jose & Doris	\$ 2,000.00 \$ 5,000.00	ļ. ————————————————————————————————————	\$ 2,000.00	0.16%
K Schrader Trust(aka Carol Schrader)			\$ 5,000.00	0.43%
Pompa, Frank*	\$ 2,000.00			0.00%
Ponce, Ysidro	\$ 2,000.00	A 050 00	\$ 2,000.00	
Richardson, Barbara	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 6,250.00	\$ 3,750.00	0.34%
Rosemont Mission	\$ 147,500.00	1	\$ 147,500.00	13.19%
Salas, Bina	\$ 5,000.00		\$ 5,000.00	0.45%
Saulet, Gerald	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 12,722.36	-	0.00%
Schultz, John*	\$ 8,800.00	\$ 1,268.71	\$ -	0.00%
SeeWest Inc (Seeman, Marvin)	\$ 9,930.00		\$ 9,930.00	0.89%
Sloan, Bobby & Veronica	\$ 2,500.00		\$ 2,500.00	0.22%
Smith, Mark	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 25,106.03	\$	0.00%
Stroh, Stephanie	\$ 4,000.00		\$ 4,000.00	0.36%
Sweeney, Elizabeth J	\$ 3,000.00		\$ 3,000.00	0.27%
Tanalski, Joe	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 2,000.00	0.18%
Thompson, James & Lillian	\$ 5,000.00		\$ 5,000.00	0.45%
Tomlin, Jeff	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ -	0.00%
Towe, Marilyn & Frederick	\$ 7,500.00		\$ 7,500.00	0.67%
Trinity Horizon Ltd	\$ 15,000.00		\$ 15,000.00	1.34%
Tydelski, Paul	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	2.24%
Van Kolken, Joyce	\$ 4,000.00		\$ 4,000.00	0.36%
Vermillion, Greg	\$ 25,000.00		\$ 25,000.00	2.24%
Wehn, Donald	\$ 2,000.00		\$ 2,000.00	0.18%
White, Warwick	\$ 2,000.00	·	\$ 2,000.00	0.18%
Willet, Nick	\$ 5,000.00		\$ 5,000.00	0.45%
Willet, Monique	\$ 7,000.00		\$ 7,000.00	0.63%
Wolfson, Dr. Rick	\$ 20,000.00	<u> </u>	\$ 20,000.00	1.79%
Yates, Darrell & Rowena	\$ 25,000.00		\$ 25,000.00	2.24%
Young, Jonathan*	\$ 750.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 25,000.00	0.00%
Young, Lester C	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	0.00%
Tesoro,Peter	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ -	0.00%
TOTALS	\$ 1,284,139.67	\$ 160,997.57	\$ 1,117,876.60	100.00%
*THE INDIVIDUALS ASTERISI INFORMATION WITH REGAI				

Page 2 of 2