

On March 22, 2007, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission") filed a Complaint charging defendant International Energy Exchange ("INTENX") with illegally offering off-exchange futures contracts in violation of Section 4(a) of the Commodity Exchange Act, as amended ("Act"), 7 U.S.C. § 6(a) (2005), and charging INTENX and defendant Vitol Capital Management ("Vitol") (collectively the "Defendants") with options fraud in violation of Section 4c(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6c(b), and Commission Regulation 32.9(a) and (c), 17 C.F.R. § 32.9(a) and (c) (2006) (the "Complaint").

On March 22, 2007, the Court issued an Ex Parte Statutory Restraining Order, which, among other things, prohibited Defendants from altering or destroying books, records, and documents, and barring them from denying access to those books, records, or documents to any representative of the Commission;

Proper service of process has been effected, Defendants have failed to answer or otherwise defend this action, and the Clerk has issued a Certificate of Default;

The Commission has now submitted its Application for Entry of Default Judgment,

Permanent Injunction and Ancillary Relief ("Application") against INTENX and Vitol pursuant

to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(2) and Local Rule 55.2(b). The Court has carefully considered the Complaint, the allegations of which are well-pleaded and hereby taken as true, the Application, and other written submissions of the Commission filed with the Court, and being fully advised in the premises, hereby:

GRANTS the Commission's Application for Entry of Judgment by Default Against INTENX and Vitol and enters findings of fact and conclusions of law finding INTENX and Vitol liable as to all violations as alleged in the Complaint. The Court further grants the Commission's request to assess monetary damages against INTENX and Vitol, including restitution and civil monetary penalties. Accordingly, the Court now issues the following Order for Default Judgment, Permanent Injunction and Ancillary Equitable Relief Against INTENX and Vitol ("Order") on issues of liability and ancillary equitable relief.

I. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Jurisdiction and Venue A.

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and the Defendants pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2002), which authorizes the Commission to seek injunctive relief against any person whenever it shall appear that such person has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of any provision of the Act or any rule, regulation, or order thereunder.

Venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2002), in that the acts and practices in violation of the Act and Commission Regulations occurred within this district, among other places.

Findings of Fact B.

INTENX, through representations made on its website, www.intenx.com, purports to be an exchange for energy products based at 195 Broadway Street, New York, New York that was formed by independent oil and gas traders in the United States in 2002 with trading facilities added in Chicago in 2005. The INTENX website describes: 1) the energy futures contracts and options on energy futures contracts for which INTENX purportedly serves as an exchange; 2) expiry schedules for those futures contracts; and 3) the purported members of INTENX.

A number of the firms that the INTENX website purports to be members of INTENX are not, in fact, members of INTENX and have never heard of INTENX.

INTENX is not a board of trade designated by, or registered with, the Commission as either a contract market or a derivatives transaction execution facility. Moreover, there is no such entity located at either the New York address listed on the INTENX website or the New York address listed for the website registration.

Vitol fraudulently solicited customers to purchase options on futures contracts purportedly traded on INTENX. Vitol purported through its website, www.vitolcapital.com, to be a non-clearing member of both NYMEX and INTENX and to be registered with NYMEX. In fact, Vitol is neither a non-clearing member of nor is registered with NYMEX.

The websites for Vitol and INTENX could be viewed by prospective customers in the United States. Neither the Vitol nor INTENX websites restricted the purported opportunity to trade futures and options on futures contracts on INTENX to persons outside the United States. In fact, account opening documents contained sections to be completed by United States customers.

Vitol solicited customers to trade options on energy futures contracts with INTENX through direct telephone solicitations. These customers were led to believe that INTENX is an exchange and Vitol is its broker, and that both were located in the United States. Customers were given fax numbers ((646) 224-8358, (646) 224-8359 and (646) 224-8511)) and a toll free number to mislead them to believe that Vitol is located in the United States. The fax numbers were registered to a United States communications company, j2 Communications, which maintains servers located in the United States. That company in turn leased the use of those numbers to Vitol.

Once the customers were advised that they had opened an online trading account on INTENX that they could access through the INTENX website, they were instructed to wire their funds to bank accounts located in Hong Kong.

Customers were promised substantial profits when, in fact, the customers lost nearly all of the money that they had forwarded to Defendants. Customers of Vitol and INTENX lost in excess of \$3,995,823.00.

C. Conclusions of Law

Violations of Section 4(a) of the Act by INTENX 1.

Section 4(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6(a) (2002), provides that, unless exempted by the Commission, "it shall be unlawful for any person to offer to enter into, to execute, to confirm the execution of, or to conduct any office or business anywhere in the United States ... for the purpose of soliciting, or accepting any order for, or otherwise dealing in, any transactions in, or in connection with, a contract for the purchase or sale of a commodity for future delivery..." when: (1) such transactions have not been conducted on or subject to the rules of a board of trade that has been designated or registered by the Commission as a contract market or derivatives

transaction execution facility for such commodity; (2) such contracts have not been executed or consummated by or through a contract market; and (3) such contract is not evidenced by a written record showing the date, parties, property covered, price, and terms of delivery.

INTENX violated of Section 4(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6(a) (2002), because it offered to enter into, executed, confirmed the execution of, for the purchase or sale of a commodity for future delivery when: (1) such transactions have not been conducted on or subject to the rules of a board of trade that has been designated or registered by the Commission as a contract market or derivatives transaction execution facility for such commodity; (2) such contracts have not been executed or consummated by or through such contract market; and (3) such contracts were not evidenced by a written record showing the date, parties, property covered, price, and terms of delivery.

Violations by Defendants of Section 4c(b) of the Act and Section 32.9(a) and (c) of the Regulations: Options Fraud 2.

Section 4c(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6c(b), makes it unlawful to offer to enter into, enter into or confirm the execution of, any transaction involving any commodity regulated under the Act that is of the character of, or is commonly known to the trade as, an "option," "privilege," "indemnity," "bid," "offer," "put," "call," "advance guaranty," or "decline guaranty," contrary to any rule, regulation, or order of the Commission prohibiting any such transaction or allowing any such transaction under such terms and conditions as the Commission shall prescribe.

Commission Regulation 32.9(a) and (c), 17 C.F.R. § 32.9(a) and (c), makes it unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly (a) to cheat or defraud or attempt to cheat or defraud any person, or (c) to deceive or attempt to deceive any other person by any means whatsoever, in or in connection with an offer to enter into, the entry into, or the confirmation of the execution of, any commodity option transaction.

INTENX and Vitol violated Section 4c(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6c(b), and Commission Regulation 32.9(a) and (c), 17 C.F.R. §§ 32.9(a) and (c), because, in connection with offers to enter into, entry into and/or confirmation of the execution of commodity options transactions, they cheated or defrauded or attempted to cheat or defraud customers, and deceived or attempted to deceive customers.

Appropriate Relief 3.

Permanent injunctive relief is warranted in light of the egregious nature of the Defendants' conduct in fraudulently soliciting and receiving over \$3,995,823.00 from customers to invest in options on futures contracts as well as Defendants' high level of scienter in conducting a well-planned scheme to systematically defraud the public. These facts demonstrate a reasonable likelihood of future violations.

Imposition of civil monetary penalties is appropriate in this case because Defendants' violations of the Act and Commission Regulations were intentional and directly impacted the numerous victims of this fraud. Likewise, the remedy of restitution is appropriate to compensate the victims of Defendants' wrongful acts.

ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION Ц.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

INTENX is permanently restrained, enjoined and prohibited from directly or A. indirectly offering to enter into, entering into, executing, confirming the execution of, or conducting an office or business anywhere in the United States, its territories or possessions, for the purpose of soliciting, or accepting any order for, or otherwise dealing in, any transaction in, or in connection with, a contract for the purchase or sale of a commodity for future delivery in violation of Section 4(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6(a);

Filed 07/23/2007

- INTENX and Vitol are permanently restrained, enjoined and prohibited from B. directly or indirectly:
- cheating or defrauding or attempting to cheat or defraud any persons; or 1. deceiving or attempting to deceive any person by any means whatsoever, in or in connection with an offer to enter into, the entry into, or the confirmation of the execution of any commodity option transaction, in violation of Section 4c(b) of the Act and Commission Regulations 32.9(a) and (c); and
- offering to enter into, entering into, executing, confirming the execution 2. of, or conducting business for the purpose of soliciting, accepting any order for, or otherwise dealing in any transaction in, or in connection with, a commodity option contrary to any rule, regulation, or order of the Commission prohibiting any such transaction or allowing any such transaction under such terms and conditions as the Commission shall prescribe, in violation of Section 4c(b) of the Act;
- C. Defendants are permanently prohibited from engaging, directly or indirectly, in any activity related to trading in any commodity, as that term is defined in Section 1a(4) of the Act, , 7 U.S.C. § 1a(4) ("commodity interest"), including but not limited to, the following:
 - 1. trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, at that term is defined in Section 1a(29) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(29);
 - 2. engaging in, controlling or directing the trading for any commodity interest account for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise;
 - 3. soliciting or accepting any funds from any person in connection with the purchase or sale of any commodity interest contract;
 - 4. applying for registration or claiming exemption from registration with the Commission in any capacity, and engaging in any activity requiring such registration or exemption from registration with the Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14 (a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2004), or acting as a principal, agent or any other officer or employee of any person registered, exempted from registration or required to be registered with the

- Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14 (a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4,14(a)(9) (2004); and
- engaging in any business activities related to commodity interest trading; 5.
- Defendants are further permanently restrained, enjoined and prohibited from D. filing a petition in bankruptcy without providing the Commission with prompt notice by Certified Mail of such filing, as required by Part IV.C of this Order; and
- The injunctive provisions of this Order shall be binding upon INTENX and Vitol, E. upon any person insofar as he or she is acting in the capacity of officer, agent, servant or employee of INTENX or Vitol, and upon any person who receives actual notice of this Order, by personal service, email or facsimile, insofar as he or she is acting in active concert or participation with INTENX or Vitol.

RESTITUTION, CIVIL MONETARY PENALTIES, Ш. AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

Restitution A.

Upon entry of this Order, INTENX and Vitol shall pay, jointly and severally, restitution in the amount of \$3,995,823.00, plus post-judgment interest. Post-judgment interest shall accrue beginning on the date of entry of this Order and shall be determined by using the Treasury Bill rate prevailing on the date of entry of this Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

Appointment of Monitor: To effect payment by Defendants and distribution of restitution, the Court appoints the National Futures Association ("NFA") as Monitor ("Monitor"). The Monitor shall collect restitution payments from Defendants, and make distributions as set forth below. Because the Monitor is not being specially compensated for these services, and these services are outside the normal duties of the Monitor, the Monitor shall not be liable for any action or inaction arising from its appointment as Monitor, other than actions involving fraud.

Defendants shall make restitution payments under this Order in the name "INTENX -Restitution Fund" and shall send such restitution payments by electronic funds transfer, or by U.S. postal money order, certified check, bank cashier's, or bank money order, to Office of Administration, National Futures Association, 200 W. Madison Street #1600, Chicago, Illinois 60606-3447 under cover letter that identifies the paying Defendant and the name and docket number of this proceeding. They paying Defendant shall simultaneously transmit copies of the cover letter and the form of payment to (a) the Director, Division of Enforcement, U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20581, and (b) the Chief, Office of Cooperative Enforcement, at the same address.

The Monitor shall oversee Defendants' restitution obligations, and shall have discretion to determine the manner for distribution of funds in an equitable fashion to defrauded INTENX and Vitol customers, as appropriate, or may defer distribution until such time as it deems appropriate. In the event that the amount of restitution payments to the Monitor are of a de minimis nature such that the Monitor determines that the administrative costs of the making a restitution distribution is impractical, the Monitor may, in its discretion, treat such restitution payments as civil monetary penalty payments, which the Monitor shall forward to the Commission following the instructions for civil monetary penalty payments set forth in Part III.B, below.

The Defendants shall cooperate with the Monitor as appropriate to provide such information as the NFA deems necessary and appropriate to identify INTENX and Vitol customers to whom the Monitor, in the Monitor's sole discretion, may determine to include in any plan for distribution of any restitution payments.

Civil Monetary Penalties B.

Upon entry of this Order, Defendants shall pay civil monetary penalties in the following amounts, plus post-judgment interest: INTENX \$260,000; and Vitol \$130,000. Post-judgment interest shall accrue beginning on the date of entry of this Order and shall be determined by using the Treasury Bill rate prevailing on the date of entry of this Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

The Defendants shall pay their civil monetary penalties by making electronic funds transfer, U.S. postal money order, certified check, bank cashier's check, or bank money order. If payment is to be made by other than electronic funds transfer, the payments shall be made payable to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission and sent to the address below:

> Commodity Futures Trading Commission Division of Enforcement ATTN: Marie Bateman - AMZ-300 DOT/FAA/MMAC 6500 S. MacArthur Blvd. Oklahoma City, OK 73169 Telephone: 405-954-6569

If payment by electronic transfer is chosen, the paying Defendant shall contact Marie Bateman or her successor at the above address to receive payment instructions and shall fully comply with those instructions. The paying Defendant shall accompany payment of the penalty with a cover letter that identifies the paying Defendant, and the name and docket number of this proceeding. The paying Defendant shall simultaneously transmit copies of the cover letter and the form of payment to 1) the Director, Division of Enforcement, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20581, and 2) the Chief, Office of Cooperative Enforcement, at the same address.

Priority Of Monetary Sanctions And Partial Payments C.

All payments by Defendants pursuant to this Order shall first be applied to satisfaction of their restitution obligations, consistent with the authority granted the Monitor in Part III.A. above. After satisfaction of their restitution obligations, payments by Defendants pursuant to this Order shall be applied to satisfy Defendants' civil monetary penalty obligations.

Any acceptance by the Commission and/or Monitor of partial payment of Defendants' restitution obligations and/or civil monetary penalty obligations shall not be deemed a waiver of the respective requirement to make further payments pursuant to this Consent Order, or a waiver of the Commission's and/or Monitor's right to seek to compel payment of any remaining balance.

D. Accounting

INTENX and Vitol shall provide an accounting to the Court within thirty (30) days hereof of all of INTENX's and Vitol's assets and liabilities, together with all funds it received from and paid to clients and other persons in connection with commodity interest transactions or purported commodity interest transactions, and all disbursements for any purpose whatsoever of funds received from commodity interest transactions, including salaries, commissions, interest, fees, loans and other disbursements of money and property of any kind, from, but not limited to, January 2006 through and including the date of such accounting.

E. Cooperation

Defendants shall cooperate fully with the Commission, the Monitor, and/or any government agency seeking to enforce the restitution and civil monetary provisions of this Order by providing any requested information relating to their financial status including, but not limited to, income and earnings, assets, financial statements, asset transfers, and tax returns.

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS IV

- Partial Lifting of Freeze: Any order entered in this proceeding freezing A. Defendants' assets or prohibiting the Defendants' transfer of funds or other property shall remain in full force and effect, except that Defendants shall be permitted to use such assets, funds, or property to satisfy their restitution and/or civil monetary penalty obligations as set forth in Parts III.A and III.B, above;
- Third-Party Beneficiaries: Pursuant to Rule 71 of the Federal Rules of Civil В. Procedure, each of the defrauded customers of INTENX and Vitol is explicitly made an intended third-party beneficiary of this Order and may seek to enforce obedience of this Order to obtain satisfaction of any portion of the restitution amount which has not been paid by Defendants;
- C. Notices: All notices required to be given by any provision in this Order shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, as follows:

Notice to Commission:

Stephen J. Obie, Regional Counsel

U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission Division of Enforcement - Eastern Regional Office

140 Broadway, 19th floor New York, New York 10005

Notice to the NFA:

Office of Administration National Futures Association 200 W. Madison St., #1600 Chicago, IL 60606-3447

All such notices to the Commission shall reference the name and docket number of this proceeding; and

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this case to assure compliance with this D. Order and for all other purposes related to this action. <u>.</u>

so ordered, at ν , New York on this $\frac{23}{2}$ day of

Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

THIS DOCUMENT WAS ENTERED ON THE DOCKET ON