Plaintiff COMMODITY FUTURES TRAD Timothy J. Mulreany Chief Trial Attorney Md. Fed. Bar No. (08262) Robert J. Hildum Trial Attorney Louisiana Bar No. 21296 Division of Enforcement 1155 21 st Street, NW Washington, D. C. 20581 (202) 418-5000	DING COMMISSION
	TRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE OF ARIZONA
COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION, Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: CIV 03-1826 PHX PGR
v. INTERNATIONAL FUNDING ASSOCIATION, et al. Defendants.	CONSENT ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION, MONETARY JUDGMENT AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF AGAINST DEFENDANTS RONALD STEPHEN HOLT, ET AL.
("IFA"), Cambridge Global Group ("Cartification") (collectively the "Defendants' CONSENT ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION, RELIEF	Holt"), International Funding Association nbridge"), and Global Management Group"), having signed their Consent to this
	COMMODITY FUTURES TRAITIMOTHY J. Mulreany Chief Trial Attorney Md. Fed. Bar No. (08262) Robert J. Hildum Trial Attorney Louisiana Bar No. 21296 Division of Enforcement 1155 21st Street, NW Washington, D. C. 20581 (202) 418-5000 IN THE UNITED STATES DIST DISTRICT COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION, Plaintiff, v. INTERNATIONAL FUNDING ASSOCIATION, et al. Defendants. Defendants.

Order of Permanent Injunction and Ancillary Relief ("Order"), which Consent has been filed with the Court and in incorporated herein by reference, it appears to the

INTRODUCTION

On September 18, 2003, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission") filed a Complaint in this civil action against Ronald Steven Holt ("Holt"), International Funding Association ("IFA"), Cambridge Global Group ("Cambridge"), and Global Management Group ("Global"). The Complaint seeks injunctive and other equitable relief for violations of the antifraud provisions of the Commodity Exchange Act (the "Act"), 7 U.S.C. § 1 et seq. (2002), and the Regulations promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 1.1 et seq. (2004). In a related action, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filed Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ronald Stephen Holt, et al., Case No.: 03 CV 1825, alleging violations of the federal securities laws by Defendant Holt and others. On October 1, 2003, the Court entered Statutory Restraining Orders as to Defendants

CONSENTS AND AGREEMENTS

To effect settlement of the matters alleged in the Compliant without a trial on the merits, Holt individually, and Cambridge, Global and IFA (collectively CONSENT ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION, MONETARY JUDGMENT AND OTHER EQUITABLE

CFTC vs. International Funding Association, et al. pg. 2

- 6. Consent to the continued jurisdiction of this Court for the purpose of enforcing the terms and conditions of this Order and for any other purposes relevant to this case;
- 7. Agree that neither the Defendants nor their agents, employees or representatives acting under their control shall take any action or make any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegations in the Complaint or creating or tending to create the impression that the Complaint is without factual basis; provided, however, that nothing in this provision shall affect the Defendants': i) testimonial obligations, or ii) right to take legal positions in other proceedings to which the Commission is not a party. The Defendants will undertake all steps to assure that all of their agents, employees and representatives understand and comply with this agreement.
- 8. By consenting to the entry of this Order, the Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations of the Complaint or the Findings of Fact contained in this Order, except as to jurisdiction and venue. However, the Defendants agree and intend that the allegations of the Complaint and all of the Findings of Fact made by this Court and contained in Part III of this Order shall be taken as true and correct and be given preclusive effect, without further proof, in the course of any subsequent bankruptcy proceeding filed by, on behalf of, or against any Defendant, or any proceeding to enforce this Order, or any other proceeding relating to the

fitness of the Defendants to act in various capacities governed by the Act. A

Defendant shall provide immediate notice of any bankruptcy filed by, on behalf of,
or against the Defendant in the manner required by Part VI of this Order. No
provision of this Order shall in any way limit or impair the ability of any person to
seek any legal or equitable remedy against Defendants or any other person in any
other proceeding.

9. The Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that there is good cause for the entry of this Order and that there is no just reason for delay. The Court therefore directs the entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and a permanent injunction and ancillary equitable relief, pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2002), as set forth herein.

III.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action and the allegations in the Complaint pursuant to Section 6c(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(a) (2002).
- 11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants pursuant to Section 6c(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(a) (2002).

- 12. Venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e) (2002), because Defendants resided in and transacted business in the District of Arizona.
- 13. The **Commodity Futures Trading Commission** is an independent federal regulatory agency that is charged with responsibility for administering and enforcing the provisions of the Act, as amended, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.* (2002), and the Regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 14. **International Funding Association, Inc.** ("IFA") is an Arizona corporation which designates its principal place of business as 9201 N. 29th Avenue, Suite 63-336, Phoenix, AZ. IFA has never been registered with the Commission in any capacity.
- 15. Cambridge Global Group, Inc. ("Cambridge") is held out by the defendants as a common law trust formed under the laws of the Commonwealth of the Bahamas. Cambridge has never been registered with the Commission in any capacity.
- 16. Global Management Group ("Global") is held out by the defendants to be an Arizona corporation. Global designates its principal place of business as 4212 W. Cactus Road, Suite 1110, Phoenix, AZ. Global has never been registered with the Commission in any capacity

- 17. Ronald Stephen Holt ("Holt"), of Mesa, Arizona, has held himself out as the manager of IFA, Global and Cambridge since at least 1997. He is also associated with the various trusts as either their beneficiary, trustee or control person. Holt is not registered with the Commission in any capacity.
- 18. Defendant Holt founded defendants IFA, Cambridge and Global to solicit investments in futures contracts on commodities, precious metals and other instruments.
- 19. Since at least 1997, Defendant Holt acted as an officer, director, manager and/or principal broker of IFA, Cambridge, and Global, and had personal knowledge of all of the business activities of IFA, Cambridge and Global.
- 20. At all relevant times, Defendant Holt was a controlling person of IFA, Cambridge, and Global.
- 21. Between 1997 and 2004, Defendants fraudulently solicited approximately 2,500 persons to send funds totaling approximately \$25 million to bank accounts controlled by Defendants for the purpose of engaging in investments in futures contracts on commodities, precious metals and other instruments.

 Defendants made misrepresentations to customers about earning tremendous profits, with little to no risk with guaranteed profits, knowing said misrepresentations were false or made with reckless disregard for the truth of the matter. Defendants intended that each of the 2,500 persons rely on these

fraudulent solicitations regarding misrepresentations of profit and risk of loss as detailed below. Most, if not all, of these 2,500 persons relied on Defendants fraudulent misrepresentations to send funds to bank accounts controlled by Holt and the other Defendants for the purpose of engaging in investments in futures contract on commodities, precious metals and other instruments.

- 22. In addition, Defendants made misrepresentations to customers about past investment performance and issued false account statements to customers.
- 23. Defendants knew that these misrepresentations were false and that customers relied upon these misrepresentations when investing.
- 24. None of the participating customers had any expectation of actually taking delivery of any commodity.
- 25. None of the participating customers had any commercial need for the subject commodities, nor did they have the ability to handle or store any of the subject commodities.
- 26. Defendants intended that customers rely upon the false and fraudulent representations Defendants made to customers.
- 27. Defendants diverted customer funds to a series of trusts, then either transferred those funds to various domestic and offshore accounts controlled by Defendant Holt, or the funds were converted to cash and misappropriated by Defendant Holt for his personal expenses.

- 28. Defendants did not forward funds to any clearing firm and/or investment firm for trading on behalf of customers and have failed to provide any evidence that any foreign currency futures contracts were purchased on behalf of customers.
- 29. Defendants knew that the contracts they purported to offer were illegal futures contracts.
- 30. Funds solicited by Defendants were used to fund the purchase of residential real estate, vehicles and trailers, art works, gold coins and various other personal items, for the personal use and enjoyment of Defendant Holt, his family and friends.
- 31. Defendants also used funds solicited from customers to purchase foreign currency futures contracts solely for the benefit of Defendant Holt, his family and friends.
- 32. Defendants solicited at least \$25 million from customers, between 1997 and 2003.
- 33. At least \$3 million in customer funds were personally transferred to offshore bank accounts by Defendant Holt, and the remaining \$22 million was solicited at the direction of Defendant Holt, as the controlling person of IFA, Cambridge and Global. Of the \$25 million solicited by the Defendants from

customers, at least \$14,406,252.00 has not been returned to customers by the defendants, and remains in the possession and/or control of the Defendants.

B. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 34. Since at least 1997, Defendant Holt made material misrepresentations, and failed to disclose material facts, while soliciting and accepting funds from retail investors to engage in speculative trading of foreign currency futures contracts. By virtue of this fraudulent conduct, Defendant Holt has violated Section 4b(a)(2)(i) and (iii) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §6b(a)(2)(i) and (iii) (2002).
- 35. Because Holt directly controlled all the significant business, marketing and financial aspects of IFA, Global and Cambridge and knowingly induced the acts constituting these violations of Section 4(b)(a)(2)(i) and (iii) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §6b(a)(2)(i) and (iii) (2002), Defendant Holt is the controlling person of IFA, Global and Cambridge for purposes of Section 13(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13c(b) (2002).
- 36. Defendant Holt, as the controlling person of IFA, Global and Cambridge, is liable for IFA, Global and Cambridge's violations of Section 4b(a)(2)(i) and (iii) of the Act, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13c(b) (2002).
- 37. Since at least 1997, Defendants IFA, Global and Cambridge, through Defendant Holt, have offered to enter into, executed, confirmed the execution of,

1	or conducted an office or business in the United States for the purpose of soliciting,
2	accepting any order for, or otherwise dealing in transactions in, or in connection
3	with, a contract for the purchase or sale of a commodity for future delivery when:
4	(a) such transactions have not been conducted on or subject to the rules of a board
5	of trade which has been designated or registered by the Commission as a contract
6	market or derivatives transaction execution facility for such commodity; and (b)
7	such contracts have not been executed or consummated by or through such
8	contract market, in violation of Section 4(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6(a) (2002).
9	38. Because Holt directly controlled all the significant business,
10	marketing and financial aspects of IFA, Global and Cambridge and knowingly
11 12	induced the acts constituting these violations of Section 4(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C.
13	§ 6(a) (2002), Defendant Holt is the controlling person of IFA, Global and
14	Cambridge for purposes of Section 13(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13c(b) (2002).
15	39. Defendant Holt, as the controlling person of IFA, Global and
16	Cambridge, is liable for IFA's, Global's and Cambridge's violations of Section
17	4(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6(a) (2002), pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Act, 7
18	U.S.C. § 13c(b) (2002).
19	
20	IV.

22

ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

CONSENT ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION, MONETARY JUDGMENT AND OTHER EQUITABLE CFTC vs. International Funding Association, et al. pg. 11

- 40. Defendants Holt, IFA, Global and Cambridge are permanently restrained, enjoined, and prohibited from, directly or indirectly, cheating or defrauding or attempting to cheat or defraud other persons and willfully deceiving or attempting to deceive other persons by making false, deceptive or misleading representations or material facts, by failing to disclose material facts, and by misappropriating customer funds in or in connection with orders to make, or the making of, contracts of sale of commodities for future delivery, made or to be made for or on behalf of any other person in violation of Sections 4b(a)(2)(i) and (iii) and 4(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(2)(i) and (iii) and 6(c) (2002).
- 41. Defendants Holt, IFA, Global and Cambridge are permanently restrained, enjoined, and prohibited from, directly or indirectly, offering to enter into, executing, confirming the execution of, or conducting an office or business in the United States for the purpose of soliciting, accepting any order for, or otherwise dealing in transactions in, or in connection with, a contract for the purchase or sale of a commodity for future delivery when: (a) such transactions have not been conducted on or subject to the rules of a board of trade which has been designated or registered by the Commission as a contract market or derivatives transaction execution facility for such commodity; and (b) such contracts have not been executed or consummated by or through such contract market, in violation of Section 4(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6(a) (2002).

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that:

- 42. Defendants Holt, IFA, Global and Cambridge are permanently restrained, enjoined, and prohibited, directly or indirectly, from engaging in the following trading activities:
 - A. Trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, as that term is defined in Section 1a(29) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(29);
 - B. Engaging in, controlling, or directing the trading of any futures or options accounts for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise;
 - C. Soliciting or accepting any funds from any person in connection with the purchase or sale of any commodity interest contract;
 - D. Placing orders or giving advice or price quotations, or other information in connection with the purchase or sale of commodity interest contracts for themselves and others;
 - E. Introducing clients to any other person engaged in the business of commodity interest trading;
 - F. Issuing statements or reports to others concerning commodity interest trading; and
 - G. Applying for registration or claiming exemption from registration with the Commission in any capacity, and engaging in any activity requiring such registration or exemption from registration with the Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9), or acting as a principal, agent, officer or employee of any person registered, required to be registered, or exempted from registration, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9).

43. The injunctive provisions of this Order shall be binding upon
Defendants Holt, IFA, Global and Cambridge, and any person insofar as he or she
is acting in the capacity of officer, agent, servant, or attorney of Defendants Holt,
IFA, Global and Cambridge, and any person who receives actual notice of this
Order by personal service or otherwise insofar as he or she is acting in active
concert or participation with Defendants Holt, IFA, Global and Cambridge.

V.

ORDER FOR OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:

44. PAYMENT OF RESTITUTION: Defendants Holt, IFA, Global and Cambridge be and hereby are jointly and severally liable to make restitution in the amount of \$14,406,252.00, representing funds fraudulently obtained from customers as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint, together with prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$1,557,181.00, for a total of \$15,963,433.00, plus post-judgment interest at the interest rate set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1961 ("Restitution Amount"). The Commission may enforce the Court's judgment for restitution and prejudgment interest by moving for civil contempt (and/or through other collection procedures authorized by law) at any time after ten days following entry of this Order.

5

8

7

10

9

11

1213

14

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

22

45. **PAYMENT OF CIVIL MONETARY PENALITY:** Defendants Holt, IFA, Global and Cambridge be and hereby are jointly and severally liable to pay a civil monetary penalty of \$15,963,433.00. Defendants shall make such payment by electronic funds transfer, or by U.S. postal money order, certified check, bank cashier's check, or bank money order, made payable to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, and sent to Dennese Posey, Division of Enforcement, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20581, under a cover letter that identifies Holt, IFA, Global and Cambridge and the name and docket number of the proceedings. The Commission may enforce the Court's judgment for civil monetary penalty by moving for civil contempt (and/or through other collection procedures authorized by law) at any time after ten days following entry of this Order.

46. **APPOINTMENT OF MONITOR**: The National Futures Association is hereby appointed as the Monitor for the receipt and distribution of the Judgment of Restitution. The National Futures Association shall be the Monitor for this action until the complete satisfaction of the Judgment of Restitution and the complete distribution of all funds paid or collected in satisfaction of this Judgment of Restitution.

CFTC vs. International Funding Association, et al. pg. 15

47. **COLLECTION OF RESTITUTION**: Defendants shall make payments in satisfaction of the judgment of restitution by electronic funds transfer, or by U.S. postal money order, certified check, bank cashier's check, or bank money order, made payable to the National Futures Association, and sent to Daniel A. Driscoll, Esq., Executive Vice President, Chief Compliance Officer, or his successor, at the National Futures Association, 200 West Madison Street, Chicago, Illinois 60606. All such payments shall be sent under a cover letter that identifies Holt, IFA, Global and Cambridge and the name and docket number of the proceedings.

48. <u>DISTRIBUTION OF RESTITUTION</u>: As Monitor, the National Futures Association shall distribute restitution payments according to a list of known customers and calculation of each customer's known loss, provided to the Monitor by the Commission. In addition, the Commission shall provide the National Futures Association with the last known address for each customer.

49. MONITOR'S DISCRETION TO MAKE PRO RATA

<u>DISTRIBUTIONS</u>: In the event that the Judgment of Restitution is only partially satisfied, the Monitor is authorized, in its sole discretion, to make one or more partial distributions. Any partial distribution shall be made on a *pro rata* basis to those customers who can be located at the time of the distribution.

1	V 1.	
2	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	
3	50. Notices: All notices required to be given by any provision in this	
4	Consent Order shall be sent certified mail, return receipt requested, as follows:	
5	Notice to Commission:	
6	Director of Enforcement Timothy J. Mulreany Commodity Futures Trading Division of Enforcement	
7	Commission Commodity Futures Trading 1155 21 st Street N.W. Commission	
8	Washington, DC 20581 1155 21 st Street N.W. Washington, DC 20581	
9		
10	Notice to Defendants: Michael S. Reeves, Esq.	
11	1212 East Osborne Phoenix, Arizona 85014	
12	Telephone: (602) 604-7577 Facsimile: (602) 604-7555	
13		
14	Notice to Monitor Daniel A. Driscoll, Esq., Executive Vice President	
15	National Futures Association	
16 17	200 West Madison Street Chicago, Illinois 60606	
1.7	51. Entire Agreement and Amendments: This Order incorporates all of the	
19	terms and conditions of the settlement among the parties hereto. Nothing shall	
20	serve to amend or modify this Order in any respect whatsoever, unless:	
21	(1) reduced to writing; (2) signed by all parties hereto; and (3) approved by order	
22	of this Court.	
- -		
	CONSENT ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION, MONETARY JUDGMENT AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF CFTC vs. International Funding Association, et al. pg. 17	

52. <u>Invalidation</u>: If any provision of this Order, or the application of any provisions or circumstances is held invalid, the remainder of the Order and the application of the provision to any other person or circumstance shall not be affected by the holding.

53. Waiver: The failure of any party hereto or of any customer at any time or times to require performance of any provision hereof shall in no manner affect the right of such party at a later time to enforce the same or any other provision of this Order. No waiver in one or more instances of the breach of any provision contained in this Order shall be deemed to be or construed as a further or continuing waiver of such breach or waiver of the breach of any other provision of this Order.

- 54. <u>Acknowledgements</u>: Upon being served with copies of this Order after entry by the Court, the Defendants shall sign acknowledgments of such service and serve such acknowledgments on the Court and the Commission within seven (7) calendar days
- 55. <u>Continuing Jurisdiction of this Court</u>: This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this cause to assure compliance with this Order and for all other purposes related to this action.
- 56. <u>Authority</u>: Holt hereby warrants that he is the Manager/Managing Director of IFA, Global and Cambridge, that this Order has been duly authorized

by IFA, Global and Cambridge and he has been duly empowered to sign and 1 2 submit it on behalf of IFA, Global and Cambridge. 3 There being no just reason for delay, the Clerk of the Court is hereby 4 directed to enter this Order of Permanent Injunction. 5 6 7 8 9 CONSENTED TO AND APPROVED BY: 10 11 12 Ronald Stephen Holt, Individually and on behalf of International Funding Association, 13 Cambridge Global Group, and Global Management Group. 14 Date: *October 27 20*5 15 Approved for Entry: 16 17 Michael S. Reeves, Esq. 1212 East Osborne 18 Phoenix, Arizona 85014 Telephone: (602) 604-7577 19 Facsimile: (602) 604-7555 Date: October 27 2005 20 21 22

1	
2	Timethy J. Chilo Trial Adams
3	Timothy J. Mulreany, Chief Trial Autorney Paul G. Hayeck, Associate Director Commodity Futures Trading Commission
4	Date: 02/13/06
5	Date. OZ/107
6	SO ORDERED, at Phoenix, Arizona on this day of 2006.
7	SO ORDERED, at Phoenix, Arizona on this day of 2000.
8	(Sauce 3)
9	THE HONORABLE PAUL G. ROSENBLATT
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	cc: All Counsel of Record
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

CONSENT ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION, MONETARY JUDGMENT AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

CFTC vs. International Funding Association, et al. pg. 20