# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

	X
In the Matter of	: CFTC Docket No. 08-08
Steven Karvellas,  Respondent.	OFFICE OF PROCEED
THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE AC	GS PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 6(c) AND 6(d) OF TAND MAKING FINDINGS AND TOPOSING ANCTIONS

I.

The U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission") has reason to believe that Steven Karvellas (the "Respondent") has violated Sections 4b(a)(1)(i) and (iii) of the Commodity Exchange Act, ("the Act"), as amended, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(i) and (iii) (2002). Therefore, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and they hereby are, instituted to determine whether the Respondent engaged in the violations set forth herein and to determine whether any order should be issued imposing remedial sanctions.

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these administrative proceedings, the Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer"), which the Commission accepts. Without admitting or denying the findings herein, the Respondent acknowledges service of this Order Instituting Proceedings Pursuant to Sections 6(c) and 6(d) of the Act and Making Findings And Imposing Sanctions (the "Order"). The Respondent consents to the use of the findings contained in this Order in this proceeding and in any other proceeding brought by the Commission or to which the Commission is a party. \(^1\)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Respondent consents to the use of the findings in this proceeding and in any other proceeding brought by the Commission or to which the Commission is a party; provided, however, the Respondent does not consent to the use of the Offer, or the findings consented to in this Order, as the sole basis for any other proceeding brought by the Commission, other than a proceeding in bankruptcy or to enforce the terms of this Order. Nor does the Respondent consent to the use of the Offer or this Order, or the findings consented to in the Offer or this Order, by any other party in any other proceeding.

The Commission finds the following:

## A. <u>SUMMARY</u>

Between September 2002 and May 2003 (the "Relevant Period"), the Respondent engaged in several instances of fraudulently allocating trades in the natural gas ring of the New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX"), thereby denying customers with opportunities to profit. By this conduct, Respondent violated Sections 4b(a)(1)(i) and (iii) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(i) and (iii) (2002).

## **B. RESPONDENT**

Steven Karvellas resides in Allendale, New Jersey and has been registered with the Commission as a floor broker since 1984. Respondent, a former NYMEX board member, is presently a member of NYMEX and was a member of NYMEX during the Relevant Period.

## C. FACTS

In several instances during the Relevant Period, the Respondent, acting as a dual trader in the natural gas ring of NYMEX, acted on behalf of himself to the disadvantage of his customers in trading natural gas futures contracts. In these instances, after he received orders from customers, the Respondent filled the orders but did not always immediately allocate those orders to the customers' accounts. Instead, the Respondent would delay allocating the filled orders and, if the market price moved in a direction that favored the filled order, he allocated the profitable fills to his own accounts. Accordingly, Respondent deprived his customers of the reasonable opportunity to profit by fraudulently allocating profitable trades to his own accounts. The Respondent also attempted to conceal this scheme by ordering the destruction of an order ticket requested by the Commission.<sup>2</sup>

IV.

### **LEGAL DISCUSSION**

Fraudulent trade allocation violates Sections 4b(a)(1)(i) and (iii) of the Act. Under the Act, a broker has an obligation to act in the best interests of his customers.<sup>3</sup> A floor broker violates that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In accepting Respondent's Offer, the Commission took into consideration that Respondent is being separately charged and sanctioned by the New York County District Attorney's office in a parallel criminal proceeding for this very same misconduct. Those charges include the felony crimes of Tampering with Evidence and violating the Martin Act, a New York State statute prohibiting, among other things, the fraudulent sale of commodities contracts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In re Murphy, [1984-1986 Transfer Binder] Comm. Fut. L. Rep. (CCH)  $\P$  22,798, at 31,351-52 (CFTC Sept. 25, 1985).

duty when he chooses instead to act on behalf of himself (or someone other than his customer) to the disadvantage of his customer.<sup>4</sup>

Under the Act, violations of Section 4b require a showing of scienter.<sup>5</sup> Scienter is established when a respondent commits a wrongful act intentionally or with reckless disregard.<sup>6</sup> A reckless act is one where there is so little care that it is "very difficult to believe the [actor] was not aware of what he was doing." Scienter cannot be avoided by ignorance brought about by willfully or carelessly ignoring the truth.<sup>8</sup>

Fraudulent trade allocation occurs when a floor broker knowingly misappropriates and wrongfully allocates profitable customer trades to his own account. In several instances, Respondent fraudulently allocated profitable customer trades to his own account, therefore, Respondent violated Sections 4b(a)(1)(i) and (iii) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *In re Murphy*, ¶ 22,798 at 31,351-52.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In re Staryk, [1996-1998 Transfer Binder] Comm. Fut. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 27,206, at 45,810 (CFTC Dec. 18, 1997). See also Reddy v. CFTC, 191 F.3d 109, 119 (2d Cir. 1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Hammond v. Smith Barney, Harris Upham & Co., [1987-1990 Transfer Binder] Comm. Fut. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 24,617 at 36,659 (CFTC Mar. 1, 1990).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Do v. Lind-Waldock & Co., [1994-1996 Transfer Binder] Comm. Fut. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 26,516, at 43,321 (CFTC Sept. 27, 1995); Drexel Burnham Lambert, Inc. v. CFTC, 850 F.2d 742, 748-49 (D.C. Cir. 1988).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See CFTC v. Savage, 611 F.2d 270, 283 (9th Cir. 1979); see also Do v. Lind-Waldock & Co., ¶ 26,516, at 43,321 (an employee acted recklessly by failing to ascertain the status of an order prior to advising the customer that it was too late to cancel).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See In re GNP Commodities, Inc., [1990-1992 Transfer Binder] Comm. Fut. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 25,360 (CFTC August 11, 1992), aff'd sub nom. Monieson v. CFTC, 996 F.2d 852 (7th Cir. 1993) (associated persons defrauded their customers by directing profitable fills to favored accounts). See also Commission Speaking Orders In re Maddox, CFTC Docket No. 05-10 (CFTC filed June 9, 2005) (trader and a NYMEX floor broker and his clerk engaged in a scheme to misappropriate funds by allocating profitable natural gas futures trades to accounts they controlled, while placing losing trades in trader's employer's account); In re Hucko, CFTC Docket No. 05-01 (CFTC filed Oct. 26, 2004) (portfolio manager for registered futures commission merchant ("FCM") engaged in a fraudulent trading scheme whereby he assigned profitable trades to a proprietary account he traded on behalf of the FCM and assigned unprofitable trades to proprietary accounts traded by other traders at the FCM); In re Chapman, CFTC Docket No. 03-08 (CFTC filed March 25, 2003) (trader employed by PG&E Energy Trading-Gas Corporation directed losing natural gas futures trades to PG&E's proprietary account and profitable trades to another account he opened and controlled); In re DeMarco, CFTC Docket No. 00-01 (CFTC filed Dec. 13, 1999) (NYMEX order clerk participated in and profited from a scheme in which he and others misappropriated a customer's profitable commodity futures trades in heating oil, crude oil, and unleaded gasoline futures contracts and wrongfully allocated them to accounts controlled by other participants in the scheme).

# **OFFER OF SETTLEMENT**

The Respondent has submitted an Offer in which he, without admitting or denying the findings herein:

- A. Admits the jurisdiction of the Commission with respect to the matters set forth in this Order;
- B. Acknowledges service of this Order;
- C. Waives: (1) the filing and service of a complaint and notice of hearing; (2) a hearing; (3) all post-hearing procedures; (4) judicial review by any court; (5) any and all objections to the participation by any member of the Commission's staff in consideration of the Offer; (6) any and all claims that he may possess under the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), 5 U.S.C. §504 (2000) and 28 U.S.C. §2412 (2000), and the rules promulgated by the Commission in conformity therewith, Part 148 of the Regulations, 17 C.F.R. §§ 148.1-30 (2007), relating to or arising from this proceeding; (7) any and all claims that he may possess under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Act, Pub. L. 104-121, Subtitle B, Section 223, 110 Stat. 862-63 (March 29, 1996), relating to or arising from this proceeding; and (8) any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon institution of this proceeding or the entry in this proceeding of any order imposing a civil monetary penalty or any other relief;
- D. Stipulates that the record basis upon which this Order is entered shall consist solely of the findings contained in this Order to which Respondent has consented; and
- E. Consents solely on the basis of the Offer, to the entry of this Order that:
  - 1. makes findings by the Commission that Respondent violated Sections 4b(a)(1)(i) and (iii) of the Act;
  - 2. orders Respondent to cease and desist from violating Sections 4b(a)(1)(i) and (iii) of the Act;
  - 3. permanently prohibits Respondent from directly or indirectly: (a) trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, as that term is defined in Section 1a(29) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(29) (2002); (b) entering into any commodity futures transactions and/or options on commodity futures transactions for his own personal account, for any account in which he has a direct or indirect interest and/or having any commodity futures and/or options on commodity futures traded on his behalf; (c) engaging in, controlling or directing the trading for any commodity futures account and/or options on commodity futures account for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise; and (d)

soliciting, receiving, or accepting any funds from any person for the purpose of purchasing or selling any commodity futures contracts and/or options on commodity futures contracts;

- 4. orders Respondent to pay a civil monetary penalty in the amount of \$375,000 within ten days of the entry of this Order; and
- 5. orders Respondent to comply with the undertakings consented to in his Offer and set forth in this Order.

Upon consideration, the Commission has determined to accept Respondent's Offer.

#### VI.

## **FINDINGS OF VIOLATIONS**

Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds that Respondent violated Sections 4b(a)(1)(i) and (iii) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(i) and (iii) (2002).

#### VII.

# <u>ORDER</u>

# Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Respondent shall cease and desist from violations of Sections 4b(a)(1)(i) and (iii) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(i) and (iii) (2002);
- 2. The Respondent is permanently prohibited from directly or indirectly: (a) trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, as that term is defined in Section 1a(29) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(29) (2002); (b) entering into any commodity futures transactions and/or options on commodity futures transactions for his own personal account, for any account in which he has a direct or indirect interest and/or having any commodity futures and/or options on commodity futures traded on his behalf; (c) engaging in, controlling or directing the trading for any commodity futures account and/or options on commodity futures account for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise; and (d) soliciting, receiving, or accepting any funds from any person for the purpose of purchasing or selling any commodity futures and/or options on commodity futures;
- 3. The Respondent shall pay a civil monetary penalty in the amount of \$375,000 plus post-judgment interest, within ten days of the date of entry of this Order. Post judgment interest shall accrue beginning eleven days after the date of entry of this Order and shall be determined by using the Treasury Bill rate prevailing on the date of entry of this Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961. The Respondent shall pay this civil monetary penalty by electronic funds transfer, U.S. postal money order, certified check, bank cashier's check, or bank money order. If payment is to be made other than by electronic funds transfer, the Respondent shall make the payment payable to the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, and send to the following address:

U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission Division of Enforcement ATTN: Marie Bateman - AMZ-300 DOT/FAA/MMAC 6500 S. Macarthur Blvd. Oklahoma City, OK 73169 Telephone: 405-954-6569

- 4. If the payment of the civil monetary penalty is to be made by electronic funds transfer, the Respondent shall contact Marie Bateman, or her successor, at the above address to receive payment instructions and shall fully comply with those instructions. The Respondent shall accompany the payment of the penalty with the cover of a letter that identifies the Respondent and the name and docket number of this proceeding. The Respondent shall simultaneously transmit copies of the cover letter and the form of payment to (1) Regional Counsel, U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Eastern Regional Office, 140 Broadway, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, NY 10005 and (2) Director, Division of Enforcement, U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 1155 21<sup>st</sup> Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20581, and (3) Chief, Office of Cooperative Enforcement, Division of Enforcement at the same address; and
- 5. The Respondent acknowledges that failure to comply with this Order shall constitute a violation of the Order and may subject him to administrative or injunctive proceedings, pursuant to the Act.
- 6. Respondent is directed to comply with the following undertakings set forth in his Offer:
  - a. Neither the Respondent nor any of his agents, employees or representatives shall take any action or make any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any findings or conclusions in the Order, or creating, or tending to create, the impression that the Order is without a factual basis; provided, however, that nothing in this provision affects the Respondent's: (i) testimonial obligations; or (ii) right to take legal positions in other proceedings to which the Commission is not a party. The Respondent shall take all steps necessary to ensure that his agents, employees and representatives, if any, understand and comply with this agreement; and
  - b. Respondent shall never apply for registration or seek exemption from registration with the Commission in any capacity, shall never engage in activity requiring registration or exemption from registration with the Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14 (a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9), and shall not act as a principal, agent or any other officer or employee of any person registered, exempted from registration or required to be registered with the Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14 (a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9).

The provisions of this Order shall be effective on this date.

By the Commission

David A. Stawick

Secretary to the Commission

Commodity Futures Trading Commission

Dated: April 8, 2008