

 $CV12 \cdot 4012 PSG(SSk)$

డ్డు

1

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF AND PENALTIES UNDER THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT. **AS AMENDED**

The United States Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the

"Commission" or the "CFTC"), by and through its attorneys, alleges as follows:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the Commodity Exchange Act, as amended ("Act"), to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.*¹ This Court also has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and the defendant pursuant to Section 6c(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(a) (2006), which authorizes the Commission to seek injunctive relief against any person whenever it shall appear that such person has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of any provision of the Act or any rule, regulation, or order thereunder.

2. Venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e) (2006), in that defendant is found in, inhabits and/or transacts or has transacted business in this District, and defendant's acts and

¹ The Commodity Exchange Act, as amended by the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-246, Title XIII (the CFTC Reauthorization Act of 2008 ("CRA")), §§ 13101-13204, 122 Stat. 1651 (enacted June 18, 2008) and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-203 ("Dodd-Frank Act"), Title VII (the Wall Street Transparency and Accountability Act of 2010), §§701-774, 124 Stat. 1376 (enacted July 16, 2010).

practices in violation of this Act have occurred, are occurring, and/or are about to occur within this District, among other places.

II. INTRODUCTION

3. Defendant Michael J. Leighton ("Leighton") has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in acts and practices that have defrauded and deceived or will defraud or deceive at least 42 participants who invested at least \$1.6 million in a commodity pool (the "Leighton pool") that he operated from at least July 2008 and continuing through the present.

4. Leighton has solicited and accepted pool participant funds in his own name and commingled pool funds intended for commodity trading with his personal funds.

5. Further, Leighton willfully or recklessly has made misrepresentations of material fact to pool participants, including, but not limited to, misrepresentations that: (1) the pool trading was profitable, and (2) a regulatory audit prevented him from making any distributions or payments to pool participants or from returning participant funds upon request, when in fact no such audit had occurred. Leighton also has issued false written statements to pool participants regarding the profitability and value of their respective shares of the Leighton pool.

6. By this conduct, defendant Leighton, has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in acts and practices that violate anti-fraud and other provisions of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.*, and the Commission's Regulations ("Regulations") promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1.1 *et seq.* (2011).

7. Unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, defendant is likely to continue to engage in the acts and practices alleged in this complaint, or in similar acts and practices.

8. Accordingly, the CFTC brings this action pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2006), to enjoin defendant's unlawful acts and practices and to compel his compliance with the Act and the Regulations. In addition, the Commission seeks restitution, disgorgement, civil monetary penalties, and such other equitable relief as this Court may deem necessary and appropriate.

III. PARTIES

9. The U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission is an independent federal regulatory agency charged by Congress with the responsibility for administering and enforcing the provisions of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.*, and the Regulations promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1.1 *et seq.* (2011).

10. Defendant Michael J. Leighton resides in Torrance, California and is employed as a mortgage broker. Leighton was registered with the Commission in various capacities from 1997 to 2003, but has not been registered with the Commission in any capacity since 2003. Leighton is and was at all times the sole general partner and investment manager of two limited partnerships, S&P Index Investment LP ("S&PII") and S&P Investment Partnership LP ("S&P Partnership"), which, in turn, he has used as vehicles to fund and trade futures for the Leighton pool. Leighton was primarily responsible for the solicitation of pool participants and the management and control of the pool's funds and trading accounts.

IV. OTHER RELEVANT ENTITIES

11. S&PII was a California limited partnership created sometime prior to June 2009 and modified on or around June 8, 2009, when Leighton had at least two Leighton pool participants execute the Modification of Existing Partnership and Withdrawing Partners for S&PII (the "S&PII Agreement"). Upon information and belief, S&PII ceased doing business on or before June 11, 2010. Leighton used S&PII as a vehicle to solicit funds from pool participants and prospective participants, and used S&PII's funds to operate the Leighton pool.

12. S&P Partnership is a California limited partnership formed on or around January 1, 2010 with its main office in Torrance, California. In or around

February 2011, Leighton had most, if not all, Leighton pool participants execute the Agreement and Certificate of Limited Partnership of S&P Investment Partnership, LP (the "S&P Partnership Agreement"). Leighton used the S&P Partnership as a vehicle to solicit funds from pool participants and prospective participants, and used S&P Partnership funds to operate the Leighton pool.

13. The National Futures Association ("NFA") is a registered futures association pursuant to Section 17 of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 21 (2006), that performs several regulatory functions on behalf of the Commission, including registration processing pursuant to Regulation 3.2, 17 C.F.R. § 3.2 (2011).

14. The CME Group, Inc. ("CMEG") is a designated contract market as defined in Regulation 1.3(h), 17 C.F.R. § 1.3(h) (2011), whose functions include conducting periodic audits of its clearing members.

V. STATUTORY BACKGROUND

15. A "commodity pool" is defined in Section 1a(10)(A) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 1a(10)(A), as "any investment trust, syndicate or similar form of enterprise operated for the purpose of trading in commodity interests."

16. A "commodity pool operator" or "CPO" is defined in Section 1a(11)(A) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 1a(11)(A), as any person "engaged in a business that is of the nature of a commodity pool,

investment trust, syndicate, or similar form of enterprise, and who, in connection therewith, solicits, accepts or receives from others, funds, securities, or property... for the purpose of trading in commodity interests," including any "commodity for future delivery."

17. A "commodity trading advisor" or "CTA" is defined in Section 1a(12)
of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 1a(12), as any person who,
"for compensation or profit, engages in the business of advising others . . . as to the
value or the advisability of" trading in any commodity futures and options contract.
18. A "participant" is defined in Regulation 4.10(c), 17 C.F.R. § 4.10(c)
(2011), as "any person that has any direct financial interest in a [commodity] pool
(e.g. a limited partner)."

19. A "futures commission merchant" or "FCM" is defined in Section 1a(28) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 1a(28), as any individual, association, partnership, corporation or trust that is engaged in soliciting or accepting orders for the purchase or sale of any commodity for future delivery and, in or in connection with such solicitation or acceptance of orders, "accepts any money, securities or property (or extends credit in lieu thereof) to margin, guarantee, or secure any trades or contracts that result or may result therefrom."

VI. DEFENDANT'S FRAUDULENT ACTIVITIES

A. The Leighton Pool Enterprise and the Solicitation of Pool Participants

20. From at least July 2008 to the present, Leighton has solicited individuals located in California, including individuals in this district, North Carolina and Washington, among other places, through emails and individual solicitation meetings to participate in the Leighton pool. During those solicitations, Leighton has willfully or recklessly misrepresented to pool participants and prospective participants the profitability of the Leighton pool. Leighton also has willfully or recklessly misrepresented that he had a unique trading system that limited each pool participant's risk to 50% of their total investment.

21. Upon information and belief, the Leighton pool has grown to include at least 42 participants and at least \$1.6 million in participant contributions, exclusive of any contribution by Leighton and/or his wife, Patricia F. Leighton ("Patricia").

22. In or before April 2008, Leighton opened a bank account in the name of Michael and Patricia Leighton with First Federal Bank, now known as One West Bank, FSB (the "Leighton bank account"). Leighton and Patricia have at all relevant times maintained exclusive control over the Leighton bank account. Most Leighton pool participants made their funds payable to Leighton, and Leighton

deposited those funds into the Leighton bank account, where they were commingled with funds belonging to Leighton and/or his wife. Leighton transferred participant funds from the Leighton bank account to the futures trading accounts discussed in paragraphs 24 through 37, below.

23. In or before July 2010, Leighton opened a bank account in the name of S&P Investment Partnership LP with City National Bank ("the "S&P bank account"). Leighton has at all relevant times maintained exclusive control over the S&P bank account. After July 2010, certain Leighton pool participants made their funds payable to S&P Partnership, and Leighton deposited those funds into the S&P bank account. Leighton transferred participant funds from the S&P bank account to the futures trading accounts discussed in paragraphs 24 through 37, below.

B. The Leighton Pool's Commodity Futures Trading Accounts and Trading Losses

24. As part of the Leighton pool enterprise, Leighton has opened, managed and controlled at least three commodity futures trading accounts at one FCM, and two other accounts at a second FCM. Leighton opened four of the accounts in his own name, and one account in the name of S&P Partnership.

25. Specifically, in April 2008, Leighton opened a futures trading account in his own name, account number xxx x334A (the "334A Account"). In the account opening documents, Leighton falsely represented that all funds deposited

in the x334A Account were and would be his personal funds, that funds would not be solicited from any third party, and that no third party had or would have any direct or indirect ownership or financial interest in those funds or in any contracts, currencies, financial instruments or other property purchased with or through the use of such funds.

26. In connection with the opening of the 334A Account, Leighton executed an agreement for a license to use the FCM's proprietary software and data for online trading (the "OTS System"). In that agreement, Leighton represented that he was a non-professional subscriber entering into the agreement in his "own individual capacity and not on the behalf of a firm, corporation, partnership, trust or association."

27. Upon information and belief, Leighton began depositing participant funds into the 334A Account in approximately July 2008. Leighton entered trade orders for this account through the OTS System. Leighton last actively traded the 334A Account in June 2009.

28. Between April 24, 2008 and June 30, 2009, Leighton deposited \$175,962.70 into the 334A Account. Upon information and belief, most if not all of those deposits were funds contributed by Leighton pool participants. During that same period, Leighton lost \$146,799.66, including commissions and fees,

trading the 334A Account, and withdrew a total of \$29,163.04 from the account. Leighton lost money in 10 of the 11 months that he actively traded the account.

29. In June 2009, Leighton opened a second futures trading account in his own name, account number xxx x203A (the "203A Account"). In the account opening documents, Leighton represented that the 203A Account was identical in all respects to the 334A Account. Leighton also entered trade orders for this account through the OTS System. Leighton last actively traded the account in June 2010.

30. Between June 9, 2009 and June 30, 2010, Leighton deposited \$586,846.45 into the 203A Account. Upon information and belief, most if not all of those deposits were funds contributed by Leighton pool participants. During that same period, Leighton lost \$379,100.40, including commissions and fees, trading the account, and withdrew a total of \$216,307.30 from the account. Leighton lost money in 11 of the 12 months that he actively he traded the 203A Account.

31. In January 2010, Leighton opened a futures trading account in the name of S&P Partnership, account number xxx x6483 (the "6483 Account"). In the account opening documents, Leighton represented that the S&P Partnership was an investment club with net assets between \$500,000 and \$999,999. Leighton identified himself as the general partner of the S&P Partnership and primary authorized representative for the account, and identified his wife, Patricia, as a trustee and partner of S&P Partnership and an associated person for the account. Leighton also provided the FCM with a copy of the S&PII Agreement. Leighton again entered trade orders for the account on behalf of the Leighton pool through the OTS System.

32. Leighton did not deposit funds into, or begin trading, the 6483 Account until July 2, 2010, and last actively traded the account in January 2012. During that period, Leighton deposited \$747,205 into the 6483 Account. Upon information and belief, most if not all of those deposits were funds contributed by Leighton pool participants. Leighton also lost \$661,727.72, including commissions and fees, trading the 6483 Account, and withdrew a total of \$85,477.28 from the account. Leighton lost money in 18 of the 19 months that he actively traded the 6483 Account.

33. In addition to the three trading accounts discussed above, Leighton also opened, managed and controlled two additional trading accounts at a second registered FCM. Specifically, in October 2008, Leighton opened a futures trading account in his own name, account number xx2100 (the "2100 Account"). In the account opening documents, Leighton falsely represented that the 2100 Account was an individual account. Leighton entered trade orders for the 2100 Account

through a proprietary software and data for online trading (the "RT System"). Leighton last actively traded the 2100 Account in August 2009.

34. Between October 27, 2008 and August 3, 2009, Leighton deposited \$125,863 into the 2100 Account. Upon information and belief, most if not all of those deposits were funds contributed by Leighton pool participants. During that same period, Leighton lost \$110,788.40, including commissions and fees, trading the 2100 Account, and withdrew a total of \$15,075.00 from the account. Leighton lost money in 4 of the 5 months that he actively traded the 2100 Account.

35. In January 2012, Leighton opened a futures trading account in his own name, account number xx7805 (the "7805 Account"). In the account opening documents, Leighton falsely represented that the 7805 Account was an individual account. Leighton entered trade orders for the 7805 Account through the RT System. Leighton last actively traded the 7805 Account in February 2012.

36. Between January 12, 2012 and February 29, 2012, Leighton deposited \$22,150.00 into the 7805 Account. Upon information and belief, most if not all of those deposits were funds contributed by Leighton pool participants. During that same period, Leighton lost \$21,028.58, including commissions and fees, trading the 7805 Account, and withdrew a total of \$1,121.42 from the account. Leighton lost money in both of months that he actively traded the account.

37. Through the OTS System, Leighton had constant access to information regarding the 334A, 203A and 6438 Accounts, including all open positions and account balances. Similarly, through the RT System, Leighton had constant access to information regarding the 2100 and 7805 Accounts, including all open positions and account balances. Additionally, Leighton received or had access to monthly statements from both FCMs which showed, among other things, all open positions, the profits or losses resulting from trading, and the month-end trade balances for those accounts.

38. Overall, during the lifetimes of the five trading accounts described in paragraphs 24 through 37, above, Leighton deposited at least \$1,658,027.50 into the accounts and lost \$1,319,444.76, including commissions and fees, through trading.

39. Despite these actual losses, Leighton either willfully or recklessly issued false written statements to pool participants regarding the profitability and value of their respective shares of the Leighton pool. Leighton made these false statements through emails or other written statements issued by himself and/or S&PII. Among the more egregious false statements Leighton issued to pool participants are the following:

(a) that the Leighton pool had a 10.84% or 11.56% return, and a 10 to 2 winning trade ratio, for the month of November 2009, when in fact Leighton had lost \$82,696.10 through trading the 203A account, resulting in a negative return;

- (b) that the Leighton pool had a 14.07% return, and a 12 to 3 winning trade ratio, for the month of March 2010, when in fact Leighton had lost \$54,622.20 through trading the 203A resulting in a negative return; and
- (c) that the Leighton pool had a 3.85% return, and a 8 to 3 winning trade ratio, for the month of January 2011, when in fact Leighton had lost \$28,822.26 through trading the 6483 account, resulting in a negative return.

40. Further, Leighton distributed to certain pool participants written monthly statements purportedly issued by the FCM carrying the 334A, 203A and 6438 Accounts, which were in fact total fabrications. For example, in February 2012 and during an in person meeting, Leighton provided at least two participants with a monthly statement for the 6483 Account for the period of December 2011 (the "Fake FCM Statement"), and falsely represented that the FCM had prepared and issued the statement. The Fake FCM Statement reflected an account ending balance of \$4,674,581.28, when, in fact, the ending balance for the 6483 Account as of December 30, 2011 was only \$3,902.54. Upon information and belief, Leighton prepared the Fake FCM Statement and other similar documents not specifically identified herein with knowledge that the account balance was a total fabrication.

41. Upon information and belief, Leighton also utilized a component of the OTS System commonly known as a "Simulator Account" to falsely represent the value and performance of at least one Leighton pool trading account. A Simulator Account is designed to allow customers to practice trading on the OTS System, and therefore does not reflect actual trades or funds. In an email dated January 5, 2012 and accompanying attachment that purported to be a screen shot of the 6483 Account (and was in fact a screen shot of a Simulator Account), Leighton falsely represented to at least one participant that the account had a real-time cash balance and beginning day account equity of \$2,614,547.60. In fact, the 6483 Account had a balance of less than \$3,000 on January 5, 2012.

42. In sum, Leighton, either willfully or recklessly, has continuously failed to disclose his actual trading losses to pool participants, and has continuously made false statements to pool participants regarding the profitability and value of their respective shares of the Leighton pool.

C. The Fake NFA Audit, Fabricated CMEG Review and Formation of the S&P Partnership

43. Beginning on or before July 12, 2010 and continuing through at least January 17, 2012, Leighton misrepresented to pool participants, in emails, phone calls, and in-person meetings, that the NFA was conducting an audit of Leighton and the Leighton pool, when in fact the NFA did not conduct any such audit of Leighton or any commodity pool that he operated. Leighton further falsely asserted that the ongoing audit prevented him from making any distributions or cash withdrawals to pool participants, but generally did allow Leighton to continue trading the futures accounts. 44. Beginning in or before June 2011 and continuing through at least January 25, 2012, Leighton also made a number of misrepresentations to pool participants about purported efforts by Leighton to file for CMEG membership on behalf of the Leighton pool and a related review of the Leighton pool by CMEG staff. Leighton further misrepresented to certain pool participants that he could not trade the futures trading accounts during the months of September through November 2011 due to the CMEG review. In fact, Leighton never filed an application for membership with the CMEG, and the CMEG did not conduct any such audit of Leighton or any commodity pool that he operated.

45. Specific egregious written misrepresentations regarding the NFA and

CMEG include the following:

- (a) In a series of emails dated May 9 and 11, 2011, Leighton falsely represented to pool participants that his attorney had filed an injunction against the "auditor" to compel the release of participant funds and closing of the trading account until S&P is approved as a CPO and Leighton as a CTA;
- (b) In emails dated June 9 and 10, 2011, Leighton falsely represented to pool participants that the "powers that be" are amicable to the some release of funds if S&P Partnership became a member of the CMEG and "back[ed] away from the filing of the arbitration for financial damages." In a subsequent email, dated June 28, 2011, Leighton falsely represented to pool participants that the CMEG "head of business" had confirmed that the CMEG would complete S&P Partnership's registration within two weeks, and allow Leighton to access pool funds within two days of registration;
- (c) In an email dated July 28, 2011, Leighton falsely represented to pool participants that "the NFA has not released the funds and is

requiring a hearing . . . to close the audit." Leighton subsequently and falsely represented that he attended a series of meetings with the NFA and his attorney in Chicago; and

(d) In an email dated November 30, 2011, Leighton falsely represented to pool participants that he was "going through" the participants' numbers with the CMEG. In an email dated December 9, 2011, Leighton falsely represented to pool participants that the CMEG required review of all customer funds accounts in the futures industry, and that the CMEG "account processor" hoped to be able to release participant funds by Christmas. In an email dated January 25, 2012, Leighton falsely represented to pool participants that the CMEG review continued.

46. In an email dated February 11, 2011, Leighton further misrepresented to pool participants that the NFA had fined the Leighton pool \$50,000 for acting outside the guidelines for an exempt CPO. Leighton proposed that this fine be "split evenly amongst the 21 partners (\$2,380/each)." Leighton used the audit as a vehicle to delay the release of pool participant funds, and, upon information and belief, may have used the audit to misappropriate participant funds.

47. In February 2011, Leighton distributed to at least one participant a purported audit report issued by the NFA dated February 16, 2011 (hereinafter the "Fake NFA Audit Report"), which was in fact a total fabrication. Leighton provided the Fake NFA Audit Report to at least one additional participant in October 2011. The Fake NFA Audit Report contains purported audit findings, issues a "summary judgment" against S&P Partnership allegedly filed with the

state of California on March 1, 2010, and imposes a fine of \$50,000 against Leighton individually.

48. In or around February 2011, Leighton had most, if not all, Leighton pool participants execute the Agreement and Certificate of Limited Partnership of S&P Investment Partnership, LP (the "S&P Partnership Agreement"), and become limited partners in the S&P Partnership. As discussed in paragraph 12, above, Leighton had formed the S&P Partnership approximately 13 months earlier, on or around January 1, 2010. The S&P Partnership Agreement identifies Leighton as the sole general partner authorized to arrange all financing, enter contracts, and complete all other arrangements needed to affect the purpose of the partnership. It incorporates by reference, but does not identify, the limited partners.

VII. VIOLATIONS OF THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT AND COMMISSION REGULATIONS

Count I

Violations of Section 4b(a)(1)(A), (C) of the Act, as amended: Fraud by Misrepresentations

49. Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

50. Section 4b(a)(1)(A), (C) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(1)(A), (C), makes it unlawful for any person, in or in connection with any order to make, or the making of, any contract of sale of any commodity in

interstate commerce or for future delivery that is made, or to be made, on or subject to the rules of a designated contract market, for or on behalf of any other person: (A) to cheat or defraud or attempt to cheat or defraud such other person; or (C) willfully to deceive or attempt to deceive such other person by any means whatsoever in regard to any order or contract or the disposition or execution of any order or contract, or in regard to any act of agency performed, with respect to any order or contract for such other person.

51. As set forth above, from at least July 2008, Leighton cheated, defrauded or deceived, and/or attempted to cheat, defraud or deceive Leighton pool participants by, among other things, willfully or recklessly making false representations of material fact to pool participants and prospective participants, including:

- (a) various oral representations touting the profitability of the Leighton pool to prospective pool participants;
- (b) various representations about the existence of and the results of the Fake NFA Audit, including but not limited to the representations that it occurred, that Leighton pool participant funds could not be released due to it, and that Leighton was fined as a result of it; and
- (c) various representations about the existence and the results of a purported application for CMEG membership and review of the Leighton pool by CMEG staff.
- 52. By this conduct, defendant violated Section 4b(a)(1)(A), (C) of the

Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(1)(A), (C).

53. Leighton engaged in the acts and practices described above knowingly or with reckless disregard for the truth.

54. Each misrepresentation of material fact, including but not limited to those specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Section 4b(a)(1)(A), (C) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(1)(A), (C).

Count II

Violations Section 4b(a)(1)(B) of the Act, as amended: Fraud by False Statements

55. Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

56. Section 4b(a)(1)(B) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(1)(B), makes it unlawful for any person, in or in connection with any contract of sale of any commodity for future delivery or other agreement, contract or transaction "willfully to make or cause to be made to the other person any false report or statement or willfully to enter or cause to be entered for the other person any false record."

57. As set forth above, from at least July 2008, Leighton willfully caused false written statements to be made to Leighton pool participants touting the profitability and value of participants' shares of the Leighton pool. Leighton also willfully caused false written statements to be made regarding the balance and

1	results of	the pool trading accounts, including the Fake FCM Statement and
2	Simulator A	Account screen shot.
3	58.	By this conduct, defendant violated Section 4b(a)(1)(B) of the Act, as
4 5	amended to	o be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(1)(B).
6		5 be confided at 7 0.5.C. 9 00(a)(1)(D).
7	59.	Leighton engaged in the acts and practices described above knowingly
8	or with reck	cless disregard for the truth.
9	60.	Each false statement or report, including without limitation those
10		
11	specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Sect	aneged herein, is aneged as a separate and distinct violation of Section
12	4b(a)(1)(B)	of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(1)(B).
13		Count III
14		
15	Violations of Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, as Amended, and Regulation 180.1(a): Fraud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devices or Contrivances	
16		
16 17	Fr	aud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devices or Contrivances
17		
17 18	Fr	aud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devices or Contrivances
17	F r 61.	aud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devices or Contrivances
17 18 19	Fr 61. reference. 62.	raud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devices or Contrivances Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §
17 18 19 20	Fr 61. reference. 62.	raud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devices or Contrivances Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Fr 61. reference. 62.	 raud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devices or Contrivances Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § des, in relevant part: It shall be unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly,
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Fr 61. reference. 62.	 raud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devices or Contrivances Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § des, in relevant part: It shall be unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly, to use or employ or attempt to use or employ, in
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Fr 61. reference. 62.	 raud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devices or Contrivances Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § des, in relevant part: It shall be unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly,
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Fr 61. reference. 62.	 Fraud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devices or Contrivances Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § des, in relevant part: It shall be unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly, to use or employ or attempt to use or employ, in connection with any swap, or a contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce, or for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, any
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Fr 61. reference. 62.	 Fraud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devices or Contrivances Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § les, in relevant part: It shall be unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly, to use or employ or attempt to use or employ, in connection with any swap, or a contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce, or for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, any manipulative or deceptive device or contrivance, in
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Fr 61. reference. 62.	 Fraud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devices or Contrivances Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § des, in relevant part: It shall be unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly, to use or employ or attempt to use or employ, in connection with any swap, or a contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce, or for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, any

П

1	63. Regulation 180.1(a) provides, in relevant part:	
2	It shall be unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly,	
3	in connection with any swap, or contract of sale of any	
4	commodity in interstate commerce, or contract for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any registered entity,	
5	to intentionally or recklessly:	
6 7	(1) Use or employ, or attempt to use or employ, any manipulative device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;	
8	(2) Make, or attempt to make, any untrue or	
9	misleading statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements	
10	made not untrue or misleading;	
11	(3) Engage, or attempt to engage, in any act, practice, or course of business, which operates or would operate as	
12	a fraud or deceit upon any person	
13	64. Since August 15, 2011 ² and continuing through the present, Leighton	
14		
15	has used or employed manipulative or deceptive devices or contrivances in	
16 17	connection with the Leighton pool including, but not limited to, making untrue or	
18	misleading statements of material facts to pool participants and/or prospective	
19	participants such as the following:	
20		
21	(a) written statements touting the profitability and value of participants' shares of the Leighton pool	
22		
23	(b) written statements regarding the balance and results of the pool trading accounts, including the Fake FCM Statement and Simulator Account	
24	screen shot	
25	(c) various oral representations touting the profitability of the Leighton pool;	
26		
27	² The amendment to Section 6(c)(1) and Regulation 180.1 both became effective on August 15,	
28	2011, and therefore Count III only applies to conduct on or after that date. See 76 F.R. 41,398, July 14, 2011 (¶31,990).	

1 2 3	(d) various representations about the existence of and the results of the Fake NFA Audit, including but not limited to the representations that is occurred, that Leighton pool participant funds could not be released due to it, and that Leighton was fined as a result of it; and			
4				
5 6	(e) various representations about the existence and the results of a purported application for CMEG membership and review of the Leighton pool by CMEG staff.			
7	65. By this conduct, defendant violated Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, as			
8	amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 9, and Regulation 180.1(a),			
9				
10	17 C.F.R. § 180.1(a) (2011).			
11	66. Leighton engaged in the acts and practices described above knowingly			
12	or with reckless disregard for the truth.			
13				
14	67. Each manipulative or deceptive device or contrivance used or			
15	employed on or after August 15, 2011, including but not limited to those			
16 17	specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Section			
18	6(c)(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 9, and Regulation			
19	180.1(a), 17 C.F.R. §180.1(a) (2011).			
20				
21	Count IV			
22	Violations of Section 40(1)(A), (B) of the Act:			
23	Fraud by a CPO			
24	68. Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by			
25	ob. Taragraphs I unough 40 are realleged and meorporated herein by			
26	reference.			
27				
28				

.

69. Section 4o(1)(A), (B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1)(A), (B) (2006), in relevant part, makes it unlawful for any commodity trading advisor, commodity pool operator, or associated person directly or indirectly "to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud any client or participant or prospective client or participant" or "to engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates as a fraud or deceit upon any client or participant or prospective client or participant."

70. During all relevant times, Leighton acted as a CPO by engaging in a business that is the nature of an investment trust, syndicate or similar form of enterprise and by soliciting, accepting and receiving from others funds, securities and/or property for the purpose of trading commodities.

71. As set forth above, Leighton violated Section 40(1) (A), (B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 60(1) (A), (B) (2006), by defrauding and deceiving pool participants by, among other things, making:

(a) written statements touting the profitability and value of participants' shares of the Leighton pool;

(b) written statements regarding the balance and results of the pool trading accounts, including the Fake FCM Statement and Simulator Account screen shot;

(c) various oral representations touting the profitability of the Leighton pool;

(d) various representations about the existence of and the results of the Fake NFA Audit, including but not limited to the representations that it occurred, that Leighton pool participant funds could not be released due to it, and that Leighton was fined as a result of it; and

(e) various representations about the existence and the results of a purported application for CMEG membership and review of the Leighton pool by CMEG staff.

72. Leighton engaged in the acts and practices described above knowingly or with reckless disregard for the truth.

73. The aforementioned uses of mails or other instrumentalities of interstate commerce include, but are not limited to: (1) making wire transfers between bank accounts; and (2) using e-mail to send investment solicitation and account statements to participants in California, North Carolina and Washington, among other places.

74. By the conduct set forth in this count, Leighton violated Section 40(1)(A), (B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 60(1)(A), (B) (2006).

75. Each fraudulent or deceptive act, including without limitation those specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Section 4o(1) (A), (B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1)(A), (B) (2006).

Count V

Violations of Section 4m(1) of the Act, as Amended: Failure to Register as a CPO

76. Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

77. With certain exemptions and exclusions not applicable here, all CPOs operating a commodity pool are required to be registered with the Commission

pursuant to Section 4m(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1).

78. Leighton has engaged in activities as a CPO without the benefit of registration as a CPO, and in connection therewith used the mails or other means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, in violation of Section 4m(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1).

79. By this conduct, defendant violated Section 4m(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1).

80. Each use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce in connection with its business as a CPO without proper registration during the relevant time period, including but not limited to those specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Section 4m(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1).

Count VI

Violations of Regulation 4.20: Commingling of Pool Funds

81. Paragraphs 1 through 48 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

82. Regulation 4.20(a), 17 C.F.R. § 4.20(a) (2011), requires that a "commodity pool operator must operate its pool as an entity cognizable as a legal entity separate from that of the pool operator."

83. Regulation 4.20(b), 17 C.F.R. § 4.20(b) (2011), requires in part that all funds, securities or other property received by a CPO from an existing or prospective pool participant for the purchase or an interest in a pool that it operates or that it intends to operate must be received in the pool's name.

84. Regulation 4.20(c), 17 C.F.R. § 4.20(c) (2011), prohibits any CPO from commingling the property of any pool that it operates or that it intends to operate with the property of any other person.

85. As set forth above, Leighton accepted and traded pool participant funds in his own name and, at least prior to February 2011, failed to operate the pool as a separate legal entity. Leighton had most, if not all, pool participants make their funds payable to Leighton, and Leighton deposited most, if not all, of those funds into the Leighton bank account, where they were commingled with funds belonging to Leighton and/or his wife.

86. By this conduct, defendant violated Regulation 4.20, 17 C.F.R. § 4.20 (2011).

87. Each occasion that Leighton accepted funds, securities or other property in his own name and deposited those funds into his personal bank account where they were commingled with funds belonging to Leighton and/or his wife, traded pool participant funds in his own name, or failed to operate the pool as a separate legal entity is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Regulation 4.20, 17 C.F.R. § 4.20 (2011).

VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court, as authorized by Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2006), and pursuant to its own equitable powers:

A. Find defendant Leighton liable for violating Sections 4b(a)(1)(A)-(C), 4m(1) and 6(c)(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(A)-(C), 6(m) and 9; Section 4o(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 4o(1)(A), (B) (2006); and Regulations 4.20 and 180.1(a), 17 C.F.R. §§ 4.20 and 180.1(a)(2011).

B. Enter a statutory restraining order with notice and/or order of preliminary injunction pursuant to Section 6c(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(a) (2006), restraining defendant Leighton, and all persons insofar as they are acting in the capacity of defendant's agents, servants, successors, employees, assigns and attorneys, and all persons insofar as they are acting in active concert or participation with defendant, who receive actual notice of such order by personal service or otherwise, from directly or indirectly:

 withdrawing, transferring, removing, dissipating, concealing or disposing of, in any manner, any funds, assets or other property, wherever situated, including, but not limited to, all funds, personal property, money or securities held in safes or safety deposit boxes and all funds on deposit in any financial institution, bank or savings and loan account held by, under the actual or constructive control of, or in the name of Leighton, the Leighton pool, S&P Partnership, and/or S&P II;

- 2. destroying, mutilating, concealing, altering or disposing of any books and records, documents, correspondence, brochures, manuals, electronically stored data, tape records or other property of Leighton, wherever located, including all such records concerning defendant's business operations; and
- refusing to permit authorized representatives of the Commission to inspect, when and as requested, any books and records, documents, correspondence, brochures, manuals, electronically stored data, tape records or other property of defendant Leighton, wherever located, including all such records concerning defendant's business operations;

C. Enter orders of preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting defendant Leighton, along with any of his affiliates, agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, attorneys and persons in active concert with him who receive actual notice of such order by personal service or otherwise, from:

- engaging in conduct in violation of Sections 4b(a)(1)(A)-(C), 4m(1) and 6(c)(1) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(A)-(C), 6(m) and 9; Section 4o(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 4o(1)(A), (B) (2006); and Regulations 4.20 and 180.1(a), 17 C.F.R. §§ 4.20 and 180.1(a)(2011).
- 2. trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, as that term is defined in Section 1a of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 1a;
- 3. entering into any transactions involving commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options (as that term is defined in Regulation 1.3 (hh), 17 C.F.R. § 1.3(hh) (2011)) ("commodity options"), security futures products, and/or foreign currency (as described in Sections 2(c)(2)(B) and 2(c)(2)(C)(i) of the Act, as amended, 7 U.S.C. §§ 2(c)(2)(B) and 2(c)(2)(C)(i))

("forex contracts") for his own personal account or for any account in which he has a direct or indirect interest;

- having any commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options, security futures products, and/or forex contracts traded on his behalf;
- 5. controlling or directing the trading for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise, in any account involving commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options, security futures products, and/or forex contracts;
- soliciting, receiving, or accepting any funds from any person for the purpose of purchasing or selling any commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options, security futures products, and/or forex contracts;
- 7. applying for registration or claiming exemption from registration with the Commission in any capacity, and engaging in any activity requiring such registration or exemption from registration with the Commission, except as provided for in Commission Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2011); and

8. acting as a principal (as that term is defined in Commission Regulation 3.1(a), 17 C.F.R. § 3.1(a) (2011)), agent or any other officer or employee of any person or entity registered, exempted from registration or required to be registered with the Commission, except as provided for in Commission Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2011);

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

D. Enter an order directing that defendant make an accounting to the Court within thirty (30) days of the date of the Court's order of all of defendant's assets and liabilities, together with all funds defendant received from and paid to Leighton pool participants, customers, investors and/or other persons in connection with commodity futures and options transactions or purported commodity futures and options transactions, including the names, mailing addresses, email addresses and telephone numbers of any such persons from whom they received such funds from at least January 2008 to the date of such accounting, and all disbursements for any purpose whatsoever of funds received from Leighton pool participants, S&P customers, investors and/or other persons including salaries, commissions, fees, loans and other disbursements of money and property of any kind, from at least January 2008 to and including the date of such accounting;

- E. Enter an order directing defendant Leighton to make restitution by making whole each and every Leighton pool participant whose funds were received or used by him in violation of the provisions of the Act as described herein, including pre- and post-judgment interest;
- F. Enter an order directing defendant, as well as any of his successors and/or agents, to disgorge, pursuant to such procedure as the Court may order, all benefits received from the acts or practices that constitute violations of the Act, as described herein, and pre- and post-judgment interest thereon from the date of such violations;
- G. Enter an order directing defendant to pay civil monetary penalties in amounts not more than the greater of: (1) \$130,000 for each violation occurring from October 23, 2004 through October 22, 2008 and \$140,000 for each violation occurring after October 22, 2008 or (2) triple the monetary gain to defendant for each violation of the Act;
- H. Enter an order directing defendant and any successors thereof, to rescind, pursuant to such procedures as the Court may order, all contracts and agreements, whether implied or express, entered into between him and any of the participants or customers whose funds were received by him as a result of the acts and practices which constituted violations of the Act, as amended, as described herein;

Date: 1/24 8,2012

I. Enter an order requiring defendant to pay costs and fees as permitted by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1920 and 2412 (2006); and

J. Enter an order providing such further relief as this Court may deem necessary and appropriate under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission

Elizaty, n. Veul-

Elizabeth N. Pendleton (pro hac vice admission pending) Senior Trial Attorney 525 West Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60661 (312) 596-0629 ependleton@cftc.gov

William P. Janulis (pro hac vice admission pending) Chief Trial Attorney 525 West Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60661 (312) 596-0545 wjanulis@cftc.gov

Rosemary Hollinger (*pro hac vice* admission pending) Associate Director 525 West Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60661 (312) 596-0520 *rhollinger@cftc.gov*

Kent Kawakami, CA Bar No. United States Attorney's Office Central District of California -Civil Division 300 North Los Angeles Street Room 7516 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-4858 Facsimile: (213) 894-2380 *Kent.Kawakami@usdoj.gov*