CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Christine Ryall, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice) John Dunfee, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice) 1155 21st Street, N.W. 2 Washington, D.C. 20581 202-418-5318 / cryall@cftc.gov (Ryall) CENTRAL D ALIFORNIA 202-418-5396 / jdunfee@cftc.gov (Dunfee) 202-418-5531 (fax) Kent A. Kawakami (CA Bar. No. 149803) Local Counsel Priority US Attorney's Office, Civil Div. 6 Send 300 North Los Angeles St. Enter Los Angeles, CA 90012 Closed (213) 894-4858 JS-5/**I**S 8 FAX (213) 894-2380 JS-2/JS Scan Only Attorneys for Plaintiff Commodity Futures Trading Commission 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT ENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 commodity Eutures Trading Commission, Case No. CV03-8339 AHM (Ex) 13 14 Plaintiff, CONSENT ORDER OF DISGORGEMENT AGAINST RELIEF DEFENDANTS ACE CAPITAL ADVISORY GROUP, INC., LYNNWOOD JEN AND ESTHER 16 Emerald Worldwide Holdings, Inc., PRANOLO et al. 17 DOCKETED ON CM 18 Defendants. NOV 3 0 2006 19 I. 20 INTRODUCTION 21 On May 10, 2004, Plaintiff, Commodity Futures Trading Commission 22

On May 10, 2004, Plaintiff, Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") filed its First Amended Complaint against Defendants Emerald Worldwide Holdings, Inc. (Emerald), City Trust and Investment Co. Ltd. (CTI), Jian Zhuang (Zhuang) and Hao Jan Lu (Lu) alleging that, through the fraudulent solicitation of retail customers to deposit funds in United States bank accounts to trade illegal off-exchange foreign

23

24

25

currency fucures contracts in the onited states, billerard and tri
violated Sections 4(a), 4b(a)(2) and 4h of the Commodity Exchange Act
("Act"), 7 U.S.C. §§ 6(a), 6b(a)(2) and 6h, and CFTC Regulation
1.1(b), 17 C.F.R. §1.1(b). The First Amended Complaint further
alleged that Emerald, CTI, Lu and Zhuang violated Section 4b(a)(2) of
the Act and CFTC Regulation 1.1(b) by misappropriating customer funds
deposited into Emerald's United States bank accounts. Relief
Defendants Lynnwood Jen (Jen), Esther Pranolo (Pranolo), ACE Capital
Advisory Group, Inc. (ACE Capital) and ACE Emerald W. Holding, Inc.
(ACE Emerald) were not charged with any violation of law, but with
having obtained funds to which they were not entitled and which were
derived from the defendants' violations.

The Court entered default judgment against relief defendant ACE Emerald on March 15, 2005, and against defendant CTI on April 19, 2005. On July 5, 2005, the Court issued an order granting summary judgment on liability as to defendants Emerald, Zhuang and Lu and as to relief defendant Pranolo. Partial summary judgment was granted as to relief defendant Jen. Summary judgment was denied as to relief defendant ACE Capital.

II.

CONSENTS AND AGREEMENTS

To effect settlement of the matters alleged in the First Amended Complaint against the Relief Defendants ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo without a trial on the merits or any further judicial proceedings, ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo:

	L.	Conse	ent	to	the	entry	of	this	Cons	ent	Order	of	Equi	table
Reliet	Aga.	inst 1	Reli	ie£	Def	endant.	s A	CE Ca	pital	Ad	visory	Gro	oup,	Inc.,
Lynnwo	od J	en an	d Es	sthe	er P	ranolo	("(order	").					

- 2. Affirm that ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo have agreed to this Order voluntarily, and that no promise or threat has been made by the Commission or any member, officer, agent or representative thereof, or by any person, to induce consent to this Order, other than as set forth specifically herein;
- 3. Admit that this Court has jurisdiction over them and the subject matter of this action pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2002);
- 4. Admit that venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §13a-1 (2002);
 - 5. Waive:

- (a) all claims that they may possess under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 5 U.S.C. § 504 (2000) and 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (2000);
- (b) any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the institution of this proceeding or the entry in this proceeding of any order imposing a civil monetary penalty or any other relief; and
 - (c) all rights of appeal in this action;
- 6. Consent to the continued jurisdiction of this Court for the purpose of enforcing the terms and conditions of this Order and for any other purposes relevant to this case;
- 7. Agree that neither ACE Capital, Jen or Pranolo nor their agents, employees or representatives acting under their control shall

take any action or make any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegations in the Complaint or findings in this Order, or creating or tending to create the impression that the Complaint or findings in this order are without factual basis; provided, however, that nothing in this provision shall affect ACE Capital's, Jen's or Pranolo's: i) testimonial obligations, or ii) right to take legal positions in other proceedings to which the Commission is not a party. ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo will undertake all steps to assure that all of their agents, employees and representatives understand and comply with this agreement.

- 8. ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo do not consent to the use of this Order, or the findings of fact or conclusions of law, as the sole basis for any other proceeding brought by the CFTC, other than a proceeding in bankruptcy relating to ACE Capital, Jen or Pranolo, to enforce the terms of this Order. Solely with respect to any bankruptcy proceeding relating to ACE Capital, Jen or Pranolo or any proceeding to enforce this Order, ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo agree that the allegations of the Complaint and the findings in this Order shall be taken as true and correct and be given preclusive effect, without further proof. Furthermore, ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo agree to provide immediate notice to this Court and the CFTC by certified mail of any bankruptcy proceeding filed by, on behalf of, or against them.
- 9. The Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that there is good cause for the entry of this Order and that there is no just reason for delay. The Court therefore directs the entry of

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and a permanent injunction and ancillary equitable relief, pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7

U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2002), as set forth herein.

III.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

- 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action and the allegations in the Complaint pursuant to Section 6c(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(a) (2002).
- 11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo pursuant to Section 6c(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(a) (2002).
- 12. Venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e) (2002), because ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo resided in and transacted business in the Central District of California.
- 13. Plaintiff Commodity Futures Trading Commission is an independent federal regulatory agency that is charged with responsibility for administering and enforcing the provisions of the Act, as amended, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. (2002), and the Regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 14. Relief Defendant ACE Capital is a California corporation whose registered business address is 8855 Valley Blvd. #205, Rosemead, California 91770. ACE Capital has never been registered with the CFTC in any capacity.

15. Relief Defendant Jen is an individual who resides in Los Angeles County, California. Jen has never been registered with the CFTC in any capacity.

- 16. Relief Defendant Pranolo is an individual who resides in Los Angeles County, California. Jen has never been registered with the CFTC in any capacity.
- 17. From at least December 1, 2003, through April 14, 2004, ACE Capital had generated no earnings from its own business.
- 18. From at least December 1, 2003, through April 14, 2004, Emerald and CTI began to direct customers to deposit funds into U.S. bank accounts in the names of relief defendants ACE Capital and ACE Emerald (some of which were transferred to ACE Capital). These customer funds were obtained as a result of Emerald's and CTI's violations of the anti-fraud provision of the Act.
- 19. From at least December 1, 2003, through April 14, 2004, ACE Capital received thirty-nine thousand, one hundred ninety-four dollars and ninety six cents (\$39,194.96) of Emerald/CTI customers' funds.
- 20. ACE Capital did not have and does not have any legitimate entitlement or claim, as an investor or otherwise, to that thirty-nine thousand, one hundred ninety-four dollars and ninety six cents (\$39,194.96) of customers' funds.
- 21. Jen and Pranolo are or were officers of ACE Capital and are or were signatories to its bank accounts.
- 22. Jen received ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) of Emerald/CTI customers' funds, as found in this Court's Order of Summary Judgment entered July 5, 2005.

23.	Jen	did	not	ha	ve	and	does	not	have	any	legi	timat	:e
entitleme	nt or	cla	im,	as	an	inve	estor	or	otherv	vise,	to	that	ten
thousand	dolla:	rs (\$10,	000	1) (of ci	ustome	ers'	funds	ð.			

- 24. Pranolo received fifty-five thousand, eight hundred ninety-seven dollars and seventy-nine cents (\$55,897.79) of Emerald/CTI customers' funds, as found in this Court's Order of Summary Judgment entered July 5, 2005.
- 25. Pranolo did not have and does not have any legitimate entitlement or claim, as an investor or otherwise, to that fifty-five thousand eight hundred ninety-seven dollars and seventy-nine cents (\$55,897.79) in customers' funds.
- 26. A relief defendant should disgorge those funds and assets, or the value of the benefit he received from those funds or assets, which are traceable to the defendants' fraud. CFTC v. Kimberlynn Creek Ranch, Inc., 276 F.3d 187 (4th Cir. 2002) (concluding that once a district court has subject matter jurisdiction over an underlying enforcement action, the district court has the authority to recover tainted funds that have been traced to relief defendants).

IV.

ORDER OF DISGORGEMENT

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

27. ACE Capital shall disgorge the amount of thirty-nine thousand one hundred ninety-four dollars and ninety six cents (\$39,194.96).

29. Pranolo shall disgorge the total amount of fifty-five thousand eight hundred ninety-seven dollars and seventy-nine cents (\$55,897.79), as ordered in this Court's Order of Summary Judgment entered July 5, 2005.

- 30. ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo shall pay these disgorgement amounts to the Clerk of this Court within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. Payment shall be accompanied by a cover letter identifying the payer by name, setting forth the title and civil action number of this action and the name of this Court, and specifying that payment is made pursuant to this Order. The payer shall simultaneously transmit photocopies of such payment and letter to the CFTC's counsel in this action.
- 31. Citibank is hereby authorized and directed to transfer all funds held, pursuant to this Court's May 11, 2004, asset freeze order, in account number *****7993 in the name of ACE Capital Advisory Group Inc. to the Clerk of this Court within thirty (30) days of service of this Order. Payment shall be accompanied by a cover letter identifying Citibank by name, the title and civil action number of this action and the name of this Court and specifying that payment is made pursuant to this Order. Citibank shall simultaneously transmit photocopies of such payment and letter to the CFTC's counsel in this action. ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo shall provide full cooperation in ensuring that the Clerk of Court receives any such funds. The

dis	gorgen	nent	obli	igati	ion	of	ACE	: Ca	pital	shal	.l be	offset	bу	the	amount
of	funds	paid	l to	the	Cle	erk	of	the	Court	by:	Citi	bank.			

- 32. The Clerk of this Court shall deposit the funds paid by Citibank, ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo into an interest bearing account with the Court Registry Investment System ("CRIS"). These funds, together with any interest and income earned thereon (collectively, the "Fund"), shall be held by the CRIS until further order of the Court. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1914 and the guidelines set by the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, the Clerk is directed, without further order of this Court, to deduct from the income earned on the money in the Fund a fee equal to ten percent of the income earned on the Fund. Such fee shall not exceed that authorized by the Judicial Conference of the United States.
- 33. Beginning thirty (30) days from the date of this Order, ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo shall each pay post-judgment interest until their respective disgorgement is paid in full, at the Treasury Bill rate prevailing on the date of this Order, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a).
- 34. Distribution of the disgorged funds to Emerald/CTI customers shall determined by further order of the Court.

٧.

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

35. Except as provided in paragraph 31 above, upon the entry of this Order the provisions of the Court's May 11, 2004, Statutory

Restraining Order and June 2, 2004, Order of Preliminary Injunction

entered against ACE Capital, Jen and Pranolo, imposing a freeze on their assets, shall no longer be in effect.

- 36. Entire Agreement and Amendments: This Order incorporates all of the terms and conditions of the settlement among the parties hereto. Nothing shall serve to amend or modify this Order in any respect whatsoever, unless: (1) reduced to writing; (2) signed by all parties hereto; and (3) approved by order of this Court.
- 37. <u>Invalidation</u>: If any provision of this Order, or the application of any provisions or circumstances is held invalid, the remainder of the Order and the application of the provision to any other person or circumstance shall not be affected by the holding.
- 38. Waiver: The failure of any party hereto or of any customer at any time or times to require performance of any provision hereof shall in no manner affect the right of such party at a later time to enforce the same or any other provision of this Order. No waiver in one or more instances of the breach of any provision contained in this Order shall be deemed to be or construed as a further or continuing waiver of such breach or waiver of the breach of any other provision of this Order.
- 39. Acknowledgements: Upon being served with copies of this
 Order after entry by the Court, the Defendants shall sign
 acknowledgments of such service and serve such acknowledgments on the
 Court and the Commission within seven (7) calendar days.
- 40. <u>Continuing Jurisdiction of this Court</u>: This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this cause to assure compliance with this Order and for all other purposes related to this action.

. .

41. Auth	ority: Jen hereby warrants that he is the
Manager/Managin	g Director of ACE Capital, that this Order has been
duly authorized	by ACE Capital and he has been duly empowered to sign
and submit it o	n behalf of ACE Capital.
42. Ther	e being no just reason for delay, the Clerk of the
Court is hereby	directed to enter judgment as to relief defendants ACE
Capital, Jen an	d Pranolo as set forth in this Order.
Consented to:	Lynnwood Jen, individually, and for and on behalf of ACE Capital Advisory Group, Inc. Relief Defendants
Consented to:	Esther Prancio Relief Defendant
Approved by:	Date: 6/30/06 Steven Krongold, Esq. Counsel for Relief Defendants ACE Capital Advisory Group Inc., Lynnwood Jen and Esther Pranolo
Approved by:	Christine M. Ryall, Esq. Counsel for Plaintiff Commodity Futures Trading Commission
of Manual	A. Howard Matz UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

I

2	Chustone M Ryall.
	Christine M. Ryall (Pro Hac Vice)
3	John Dunfee (Pro Hac Vice)
	Commodity Futures Trading Commission
4	Division of Enforcement
	1155 21st Street NW
5	Washington, DC 20581
	(202) 418-5318 (Ryall)
6	(202) 418-5396 (Dunfee)
	(202) 418-5520 (fax)
7	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION
9	
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
10	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
	I hereby certify that on November 2, 2006 a true and correct
11	copy of the CONSENT ORDER OF DISGORGEMENT AGAINST RELIEF DEFENDANTS
	ACE CAPITAL ADVISORY GROUP, INC., LYNNWOOD JEN AND ESTHER PRANOLO was
12	served by U.S. Mail, pre-paid, on the following persons:
13	Paul Cass David Wiechert
T-3	3055 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 107 Avenida 1 Miramar, Ste. A
14	Los Angeles, CA 90010 San Clemente, CA 92672
T. 42	Counsel for Defendant Thomas Hao Co-counsel for Defendants
15	Jan Lu Emerald Worldwide Holdings, Inc.
LJ	and Jian Zhuang
16	
	Thomas H. Bienert Jr.
17	Bienert & Krongold
	145 Avenida Miramar #2
18	San Clemente, CA 92672
	Counsel for Relief Defendants
19	Lynnwood Jen, Ester Pranolo and
	ACE Capital Advisory Group Inc.
20	
	(Must me MIK-OA
21	By: Christing M. Break
	LOTISCINE M. KVALI

NOTICE PARTY SERVICE LIST

Case No. CV03 - \$339 - AHM Case Title COMMONIA FVANES V. EMENALI).

Title of Document CONSENT ORDER

Atty Sttlmnt Officer Panel Coordinator BAP (Bankruptcy Appellate Panel) Beck, Michael J (Clerk, MDL Panel) BOP (Bureau of Prisons) CA St Pub Defender (Calif. State PD) CAAG (California Attorney General's Office -Keith H. Borjon, L.A. Death Penalty Coordinator) Case Asgmt Admin (Case Assignment Administrator) Catterson, Cathy (9th Circuit Court of Appeal) Chief Deputy Admin Chief Deputy Ops Clerk of Court Death Penalty H/C (Law Clerks) Dep In Chg E Div Dep In Chg So Div Federal Public Defender **Fiscal Section** Intake Section, Criminal LA Intake Section, Criminal SA Intake Supervisor, Civil Interpreter Section PIA Clerk - Los Angeles (PIALA) PIA Clerk - Riverside (PIAED) PIA Clerk - Santa Ana (PIASA) PSA - Los Angeles (PSALA) PSA - Riverside (PSAED) PSA - Santa Ana (PSASA) Schnack, Randall (CJA Supervising Attorney)

Statistics Clerk	(د
US Attorneys Office - Civil Division -L.A.	
US Attorneys Office - Civil Division - S.A.	
US Attorneys Office - Criminal Division -L.A.	
US Attorneys Office - Criminal Division -S.A.	
US Bankruptcy Court	
US Marshal Service - Los Angeles (USMLA)	
US Marshal Service - Riverside (USMED)	
US Marshal Service -Santa Ana (USMSA)	
US Probation Office (USPO)	
US Trustee's Office	
Warden, San Quentin State Prison, CA	

	ADD NEW NOTICE PARTY (if sending by fax, mailing address must also be provided)
Name:	
Firm:	
Addre	SS (include suite or floor);
*E-ma	il:
*Fax 1	No.:
* For	CIVIL cases only

JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE (list bel	ow):
 and Billion.	<u></u>
	,

Initials of Deputy Clerk