James H. Holl, III, CA Bar #177885 jholl@cftc.gov
Thomas J. Kelly, pro hac vice
tkelly@cftc.gov 1 2 U.S. COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION 1155 21st Street, NW Washington, DC 20581 Telephone: (202) 418-5000 3 4 Facsimile: (202) 418-5124 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES 6 COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION 7 8 9 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 WESTERN DIVISION 12 UNITED STATES COMMODITY Civil Action No. 8:16-cv-00020-AG-13 FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION. DFM Plaintiff, 14 CONSENT ORDER FOR 15 PERMANENT INJUNCTION. v. CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY 16 AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF AGAINST DEFENDANTS INTELLIGENT TRADES, LLC, and GHASSAN TAWACHI, an individual, 17 INTELLIGENT TRADES, LLC AND GHASSAN TAWACHI 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 Plaintiff United States Commodity Futures Trading Commission 22 ("Commission" or "CFTC") has filed a four-count Complaint against Defendants 23 Intelligent Trades, LLC ("Intelligent Trades") and Ghassan Tawachi ("Tawachi") 24 (collectively "Defendants") seeking injunctive and other equitable relief, as well as the 25 imposition of civil penalties, for violations of the Commodity Exchange Act ("Act"), 26 27

- 1 -

promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1 et seq. (2015).

7 U.S.C. §§ 1-26 (2012), and the Commission's Regulations ("Regulations")

3

4

6

7

9

11

12

18

21

22

24

25

5

8

10

13

14 15

16

17

19

20

23

26

27

28

I. **CONSENTS AND AGREEMENTS**

To effect settlement of all charges alleged in the Complaint against Defendants Intelligent Trades and Tawachi without a trial on the merits or any further judicial proceedings, Intelligent Trades and Tawachi:

- Consent to the entry of this Consent Order for Permanent Injunction, Civil Monetary Penalty and Other Equitable Relief Against Defendants Intelligent Trades, LLC and Ghassan Tawachi ("Consent Order");
- Affirm that they have read and agreed to this Consent Order voluntarily, 2. and that no promise, other than as specifically contained herein, or threat, has been made by the Commission or any member, officer, agent or representative thereof, or by any other person, to induce consent to this Consent Order;
 - 3. Acknowledge service of the summons and Complaint;
- Admit the jurisdiction of this Court over them and the subject matter of 4. this action pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012);
- 5. Admit the jurisdiction of the Commission over the conduct and transactions at issue in this action pursuant to the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1-26 (2012);
- Admit that venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e) (2012);
 - 7. Waive:
- Any and all claims that they may possess under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 5 U.S.C. § 504 (2012) and 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (2012), and/or the rules promulgated by the Commission in conformity therewith, Part 148 of the Regulations, 17 C.F.R. §§ 148.1 et seq. (2015), relating to, or arising from, this action;
- Any and all claims that they may possess under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-121, §§ 201-253, 110

Stat. 847, 857-868 (1996), as amended by Pub. L. No. 110-28, § 8302, 121 Stat. 112, 204-205 (2007), relating to, or arising from, this action;

- (c) Any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the institution of this action or the entry in this action of any order imposing a civil monetary penalty or any other relief, including this Consent Order; and
 - (d) Any and all rights of appeal from this action;
- 8. Consent to the continued jurisdiction of this Court over them for the purpose of implementing and enforcing the terms and conditions of this Consent Order and for any other purpose relevant to this action, even if Defendants now or in the future reside outside the jurisdiction of this Court;
- 9. Agree that they will not oppose enforcement of this Consent Order on the ground, if any exists, that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and hereby waives any objection based thereon;
- 10. Agree that neither they nor any of their agents or employees under their authority or control shall take any action or make any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegation in the Complaint or the Findings of Fact or Conclusions of Law in this Consent Order, or creating or tending to create the impression that the Complaint and/or this Consent Order is without a factual basis; provided, however, that nothing in this provision shall affect their: (a) testimonial obligations, or (b) right to take legal positions in other proceedings to which the Commission is not a party. Defendants shall undertake all steps necessary to ensure that all of their agents and/or employees under their authority or control understand and comply with this agreement;
- 11. By consenting to the entry of this Consent Order, Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations of the Complaint or the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in this Consent Order, except as to jurisdiction and venue, which they admit. Further, Defendants agree and intend that the allegations contained in the

- Complaint and all of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Consent Order shall be taken as true and correct and be given preclusive effect, without further proof, in the course of: (a) any current or subsequent bankruptcy proceeding filed by, on behalf of, or against Defendants; (b) any proceeding pursuant to Section 8a of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 12a (2012), and/or Part 3 of the Regulations, 17 C.F.R. §§ 3.1 3.75 (2015); and/or (c) any proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Order. Defendants do not consent to the use of this Consent Order, or the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in this Consent Order, as the sole basis for any other proceeding brought by the Commission.
- 12. Agree to provide immediate notice to this Court and the Commission by certified mail, in the manner required by paragraph 51 of Part VI of this Consent Order, of any bankruptcy proceeding filed by, on behalf of, or against them, whether inside or outside the United States;
- 13. Agree that no provision of this Consent Order shall in any way limit or impair the ability of any other person or entity to seek any legal or equitable remedy against Defendants in any other proceeding.

III. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

The Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that there is good cause for the entry of this Consent Order and that there is no just reason for delay. The Court therefore directs the entry of the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, permanent injunction, civil monetary penalty, disgorgement and equitable relief pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012), as set forth herein.

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS:

A. Findings of Fact

The Parties to This Consent Order

14. Plaintiff Commodity Futures Trading Commission is an independent federal regulatory agency that is charged with the responsibility for administering and

enforcing the provisions of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. (2012), and the Regulations promulgated under it, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1 et seq. (2015).

- 15. **Defendant Ghassan Tawachi, a/k/a "Marco" Tawachi**, is an individual who resides in Irvine, California. Tawachi was registered with the Commission as a Commodity Trading Advisor from July 2010 to April 2012.
- 16. **Defendant Intelligent Trades, LLC** is currently an inactive Florida limited liability company. The firm was registered as a limited liability company on October 14, 2011, and maintained an address at 340 Giraldi Ave. in Coral Gables, Florida. Intelligent Trades was wholly owned and managed by Tawachi. Intelligent Trades has never been registered with the Commission.

The April 2012 Commission Order Prohibited Tawachi from Trading

- 17. On April 26, 2012, the CFTC issued a Commission Order instituting administrative proceedings pursuant to Sections 6(c), 6(d), and 8a of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 9, 13b, and 12a(1) (2012), against Tawachi and simultaneously accepted Tawachi's Offer of Settlement.
- 18. As recited in the Commission Order, in which the CFTC made findings of fact and conclusions of law and imposed remedial sanctions, Tawachi defrauded clients through fraudulent sales of a commodity software trading system he called Bentley trading software. Tawachi touted his Bentley software trading system as the product of his purported expertise as a successful professional commodity trader. In addition, with respect to one client, Tawachi accepted \$40,000, which he misappropriated.
- 19. Tawachi neither admitted nor denied the allegations and conclusions contained in the Commission Order, yet Tawachi consented to the Commission Order which required, among other things, that he cease and desist from violating the provisions of the Act he was found to have violated, required the payment of a civil monetary penalty and restitution, and required him to refrain from certain trading

activity. Specifically, the Commission Order prohibited Tawachi from, directly or indirectly, engaging in trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity as that term is defined in Section 1a(40) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(40) (2012).

Tawachi Violated the Commission Order

- 20. Starting in at least July 2011, Tawachi began promoting his IT Software through licensing agreements offered by his firm Intelligent Trades. Intelligent Trades licensed the software to several entities, but only one entity with multiple clients utilized the IT Software for actual trading. Under the Tawachi licensing agreement, clients using the system paid a monthly fee of approximately ten percent of their account value directly to Intelligent Trades. Clients' commodity futures accounts were then traded through a single master account according to the IT Software system.
- 21. During the relevant period, however, the Intelligent Trades licensee was never given a copy of the software program or autonomous control over the use of the IT Software. Instead, the IT Software, which connected directly to the commodity broker's trading platform, continued to undergo "development" under the control of Tawachi. Acting through his software developer agent, Tawachi directed his agent to start, stop and modify his clients' trading activities. As a result, Tawachi indirectly controlled the trading of the licensee clients' accounts while collecting monthly fees totaling over Four Hundred Seventy Nine Thousand Seven Hundred and Fifty Five dollars (\$479,755).
- 22. Tawachi's indirect trading and control of his licensee clients' accounts continued following the April 2012 Commission Order, which prohibited his commodity futures trading, through June of 2012. In June 2012, trading ceased due to the business failure of the broker/futures commission merchant where the licensees/clients held their trading accounts.

Defendants' Made Fraudulent Solicitations

23. In connection with the promotion of his IT Software, Tawachi made a

7

5

1

8 9 10

12 13

11

15 16

14

17 18

20 21

19

22

23 24

25

26

27

- series of materially false claims to bolster the credibility of his trading system. He fictitiously maintained to one or more clients or prospective clients that he formulated his IT Software based upon his purported substantial professional commodity futures trading experience. Tawachi further falsely stated that he earned a living using his IT Software to conduct commodity futures trading, and that he earned profits for clients through accounts he managed using his IT Software.
- Tawachi, acting as an agent or officer of Intelligent Trades, marketed the 24. IT Software with untrue claims to support its alleged profitability. Tawachi maintained that testing had established that the IT software had the ability to provide high trading returns ranging from 15% to 20% a month, after fees. Defendants provided charts for IT Software that showed an unbroken line of increasing profits from the hypothetical application of the software. In fact, the actual trading using the IT Software failed to produce any profits for clients.
- While falsely touting the profits that IT Software could allegedly 25. produce, Tawachi acting both individually and as an agent or officer of Intelligent Trades, downplayed the risk of trading losses with the use of the software system by making invalid claims of its safety such as: "We have never seen a sequence that hasn't ultimately resulted in a win," and "...the risk of absolute loss is minimized to virtually zero."
- To gain additional revenues from the trading of clients' funds, Tawachi 26. falsely maintained that the successful operation of the IT Software effectively required an account size of \$1.4 million; thereby requiring additional deposits from clients. In further effort to boost the amount of money under his management, Tawachi guaranteed one client against any loss of funds caused by trading pursuant to IT Software, provided the client placed \$1.1 million in her trading account for a one-year period. After the client added substantial funds to her account, Tawachi failed to sign the written guarantee.

their funds from his IT Software enterprise by giving them a phony account of the

then-active CFTC investigation into his commodity futures related activities, which

issued, described his fraudulent sales of trading software and proscribed him from any

commodity trading. In his deceptive disclosure, Tawachi maintained he was under

investigation by a non-government agency, the National Futures Association, and

made no mention of his fraudulent sales claims regarding his trading software and

Futures Association would not "hinder or hurt any portion of what we are doing now,"

when he knew that his settlement with the CFTC would ban his trading of commodity

trading background. Further, he maintained that a settlement with the National

resulted in the Commission Order of April 2012. The Commission Order, once

Tawachi also deceived his clients in order to prevent their withdrawal of

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

futures.

1

2

3

27.

Tawachi Acted Without Registration

- 28. Following the Commission Order of April 2012, Tawachi individually, and through his employees and agents, acted as a Commodity Trading Advisor ("CTA") when, for compensation or profit, he advised others through his agents and software trading system as to the advisability of trading in commodity futures contracts, by controlling the trading in clients' accounts.
- 29. Following the April 2012 Commission Order Tawachi was not registered in any capacity with the Commission. Accordingly, during the trading period following the Commission Order, Tawachi acted as a CTA without the required Commission registration.

B. Conclusions of Law

30. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and all parties hereto pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012), which authorizes the Commission to seek injunctive relief in district court against any person whenever it shall appear to the Commission that such person has engaged, is

engaging, or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of the Act or any rule, regulation, or order thereunder.

31. Venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e) (2012), because the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business alleged to have violated the Act have occurred within this District and the Defendant Tawachi resides in this District.

Tawachi Violated the Commission Order

- 32. Beginning on April 26, 2012, section VI, paragraphs B and F 3(c) of the Commission Order prohibited Tawachi, from directly or indirectly, trading for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise, in any account involving commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options, security futures products, and/or forex contracts.
- 33. Beginning on the day following the issuance of the Commission Order, Tawachi violated Section VI, paragraphs B and F 3(c) of the Commission Order when he continued to indirectly trade the commodity futures accounts of his licensee clients through his control of the IT Software through the purchase and sale of commodity futures contracts through July 2012, when the trading stopped.
- 34. Tawachi's indirect trading of commodity futures contracts directly violated Section VI, paragraphs B and F 3(c) of the Commission Order.

Defendants Made Fraudulent Solicitations

35. By the conduct described above, Defendants violated Section 4b(a)(1)(A) and (C) of the Act, 7 U.S.C § 6b(a)(1)(A), (C) (2012), which makes it unlawful for any person, in or in connection with any order to make, or the making of, any contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce or for future delivery that is made, or to be made, on or subject to the rules of a designated contract market for or on behalf of, or with, any other person: (A) to cheat or defraud or attempt to cheat or defraud

3 4

> 5 6

7 8

9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

the other person; or (C) willfully to deceive or attempt to deceive the other person by any means whatsoever in regard to any order or contract or the disposition or execution of any order or contract, or in regard to any act of agency performed, with respect to any order or contract for or . . . with the other person[.]

- As set forth above, during the relevant period in connection with 36. contracts for future delivery made on or subject to the rules of a designated contract market for or on behalf of other persons, Tawachi knowingly or recklessly made the material misrepresentations set forth above, to induce members of the public to use through licensing, or to purchase, commodity trading software, that generates specific buy and sell signals for commodity futures trading, including but not limited to: (1) misrepresenting Tawachi's purported substantial professional commodity futures trading experience; (2) misrepresenting that Tawachi earned profits for clients through accounts he managed using his IT Software; (3) misrepresenting IT Software had the ability to provide high trading returns ranging from 15% to 20% a month, after fees; (4) misrepresenting that the risk of absolute loss using IT Software as virtually zero; (5) misrepresenting the fact that operation of the IT Software effectively required an account size of \$1.4 million; (6) falsely guaranteeing one client against any loss of funds caused by trading pursuant to IT Software; and (7) misrepresenting the facts and circumstances regarding the CFTC investigation into Tawachi's commodity futures software trading system activities.
- 37. Tawachi, acting both individually and as agent and officer of Intelligent Trades, engaged in the acts and practices described above knowingly, willfully or with reckless disregard for the truth using instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including but not limited to, the use of interstate wires for the transfer of funds and other electronic communication devices.
- 38. The forgoing fraudulent acts of Tawachi occurred within the scope of his employment, office or agency with Intelligent Trades. Therefore, pursuant to Section

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

27

28

26

2(a)(1)(B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2(a)(1)(B) (2012) and Regulation 1.2, 17 C.F.R. § 1.2 (2015), Intelligent Trades is liable for Tawachi's violations of Sections 4b(a)(1)(A) and (C) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(1)(A), (C) (2012).

39. Each act of fraudulent misrepresentation during the relevant period constituted a separate and distinct violation of Section 4b(a)(1)(A) and (C) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(1)(A),(C) (2012).

Acting as a Commodity Trading Advisor Without Registration

- 40. Section la (12) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § la (12) (2012), defines a Commodity Trading Advisor ("CTA") as any person who, for compensation or profit, engages in the business of advising others, either directly or through publications, writings, or electronic media, as to the value of or the advisability of trading in any contract of sale of a commodity for future delivery made or to be made on or subject to the rules of a contract market.
- Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1) (2012), makes it unlawful for 41. any CTA, unless registered with the Commission, to make use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce in connection with his business as a CTA.
- 42. Following the Commission Order prohibiting trading, Tawachi individually, and through his employees and agents, acted as a CTA when for compensation or profit, he advised others through his software trading system as to the advisability of trading in commodity futures contracts by controlling the trading in their accounts, while failing to register with the Commission as a CTA, in violation of Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1) (2012).

Fraud by a Commodity Trading Advisor

Section 4o(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1) (2012), makes it unlawful for a 43. CTA, or an associated person of a CTA, by use of the mails or any means or

- 44. Through the foregoing conduct described above Tawachi, while acting as a CTA, by using the mails or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, (i) employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud clients or prospective clients; and (ii) engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operated as a fraud or deceit upon clients, in violation of Section 4o(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1) (2012).
- 45. During the relevant period, Tawachi, acting both individually and as agent and officer of Intelligent Trades, acted as a CTA, when for compensation or profit, he engaged in the business of advising others, either directly or through the control of his Intelligent Trading software, as to the value of or the advisability of trading in commodity futures contracts.
- 46. During the relevant period, the foregoing fraudulent acts, misrepresentations, and other unlawful conduct of Tawachi occurred within the scope of his employment, office, or agency of Intelligent Trades. Therefore, pursuant to Section 2(a)(1)(B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2(a)(1)(B) (2012), and Regulation 1.2, 17 C.F.R. § 1.2 (2015), Defendant Intelligent Trades is liable for Tawachi's violations of Sections 4o(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §6o(1) (2012).
- 47. Each fraudulent act and misrepresentation during the relevant period constituted a separate and distinct violation of Section 4o(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. $\S 6o(1)$ (2012).

```
25 || ///
```

26 | | ///

27 | ///

$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$

V. ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 48. Based upon and in connection with the foregoing conduct, pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-l (2012), Tawachi is permanently restrained, enjoined and prohibited from directly or indirectly:
 - a. Violating Section VI, paragraphs B and F 3(c) of the 2012 Commission Order by trading "commodity interests" (as that term is defined in Regulation 1.3(yy), 17 C.F.R. § 1.3(yy) (2015), on behalf of any other person; and
 - b. Violating Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1) (2012) by acting as a Commodity Trading Advisor without being registered as such by the Commission.
- 49. Based upon and in connection with the foregoing conduct, pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-l (2012), Defendants are permanently restrained, enjoined and prohibited from directly or indirectly:
 - a. Violating Section 4b(a)(1)(A) and (C), of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(1)(A), (C), by cheating or defrauding, or attempting to cheat or defraud, other persons, or by willfully deceiving or attempting to deceive other persons by any means whatsoever, in or in connection with any order to make, or the making of, any contract of sale of any commodity for future delivery that is made, or to be made, for or on behalf of, or with, any other person by making material misrepresentations or omissions; and
 - b. Violating 4o(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1) (2012) when acting as a commodity trading advisor, or associated person of a commodity trading advisor by use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, by directly or indirectly employing any device, scheme, or

- artifice to defraud any client or prospective client, or by engaging in any practice, or course of business which operates as a fraud or deceit upon any client or prospective client by making fraudulent misrepresentation and omissions.
- 50. Defendants are permanently restrained, enjoined and prohibited from directly or indirectly:
 - a. Trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity (as that term is defined in Section 1a(40) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(40) (2012));
 - Entering into any transactions involving "commodity interests" for
 Defendants' personal or proprietary account or for any account in which
 Defendants have a direct or indirect interest;
 - c. Having any commodity interests traded on any Defendants' behalf;
 - d. Controlling or directing the trading for, or on behalf of, any other person or entity, whether directly or indirectly, by power of attorney or otherwise, in any account involving commodity interests;
 - e. Soliciting, receiving, or accepting any funds from any person for the purpose of purchasing or selling any commodity interests;
 - f. Applying for registration or claiming exemption from registration with the Commission in any capacity, and engaging in any activity requiring such registration or exemption from registration with the Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2015); and
 - g. Acting as a principal (as that term is defined in Regulation 3.1(a), 17 C.F.R. § 3.1(a) (2015)), agent or any other officer or employee of any person (as that term is defined in Section 1a(38) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(38) (2012)), or entity registered, exempted from registration or required to be registered with the Commission

- 51. If Defendant Tawachi acts as a commodity trading advisor as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9) (*i.e.* a commodity trading advisor, as that term is defined in Section 1a(12) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(12) (2012) ("CTA") that does not direct client accounts or provide commodity trading advice based on, or tailored to, the commodity interest or cash positions or other circumstances or characteristics of particular clients):
 - a. Defendant Tawachi shall first:
 - Provide a copy of this Consent Order, along with a copy of the Commission's April 26, 2012 Order to any prospective client; and
 - ii. Obtain and keep a record of an acknowledgement signed and dated by the prospective client stating that the client received the Disclosure.
 - b. Defendant Tawachi shall produce such written acknowledgements to any Commission representative upon the representative's request.

VI. DISGORGEMENT, CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY, AND ANCILLARY RELIEF

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:

Disgorgement

52. Defendants shall pay, jointly and severally, disgorgement in the amount of four hundred seventy nine thousand, seven hundred fifty-five dollars [\$479,755.00] ("Disgorgement Obligation"), plus post-judgment interest, within ten days of the date of the entry of this Consent Order. If the Disgorgement Obligation is not paid in full within ten (10) days of the date of entry of this Consent Order, then post-judgment interest shall accrue on the Disgorgement Obligation beginning on the date of entry of this Consent Order and shall be determined by using the Treasury Bill rate prevailing on the date of entry of this Consent Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 (2012).

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- To effect payment by Defendants and the distribution of disgorgement to Defendants' clients, the Court appoints the National Futures Association ("NFA") as Monitor ("Monitor"). The Monitor shall collect payments of the Disgorgement Obligation from Defendants and make distributions as set forth below. Because the Monitor is acting as an officer of this Court in performing these services, the NFA shall not be liable for any action or inaction arising from NFA's appointment as Monitor, other than actions involving fraud
- Defendants shall make their payments of the Disgorgement Obligation 54. under this Consent Order to the Monitor in the name of the "Intelligent Trades, LLC and Ghassan Tawachi Settlement Fund" and shall send such payments by electronic funds transfer, or U.S. postal money order, certified check, bank cashier's check, or bank money order, to the Office of Administration, National Futures Association, 300 South Riverside Plaza, Suite 1800, Chicago, Illinois 60606, under a cover letter that identifies the paying Defendants and the name and docket number of this proceeding. Defendants shall simultaneously transmit copies of the cover letter and the form of payment to the Chief Financial Officer, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20581, as well as to Senior Trial Attorney Thomas J. Kelly, CFTC, 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20581.
- 55. The Monitor shall oversee the Defendants' Disgorgement Obligation and shall have the discretion to determine the manner of distribution of such funds in an equitable fashion to Defendants' clients identified by the Commission or may defer distribution to eligible clients until such time as the Monitor deems appropriate. In the event that the amount of Disgorgement Obligation payments to the Monitor are of a de minimis nature, such that the Monitor determines that the administrative cost of making a disgorgement distribution is impractical, the Monitor may, in its discretion, treat such disgorgement payments as civil monetary penalty payments, which the

- 56. Defendants shall cooperate with the Monitor as appropriate to provide such information as the Monitor deems necessary and appropriate to identify Defendants' clients to whom the Monitor, in its sole discretion, may determine to include in any plan for distribution of any Disgorgement Obligation payments. Defendants shall execute any documents necessary to release funds that they have in any repository, bank, investment or other financial institution, wherever located, in order to make partial or total payment toward the Disgorgement Obligation.
- 57. The Monitor shall provide the Commission at the beginning of each calendar year with a report detailing the disbursement of funds to Defendants' clients during the previous year. The Monitor shall transmit this report under a cover letter that identifies the name and docket number of this proceeding to the Chief Financial Officer, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20581.
- 58. The amounts payable to each client shall not limit the ability of any client from proving that a greater amount is owed from Defendants or any other person or entity, and nothing herein shall be construed in any way to limit or abridge the rights of any client that exist under state or common law.
- 59. Pursuant to Rule 71 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, each client of Defendants who suffered a loss is explicitly made an intended third-party beneficiary of this Consent Order and may seek to enforce obedience of this Consent Order to obtain satisfaction of any portion of the disgorgement that has not been paid by Defendants to ensure continued compliance with any provision of this Consent Order and to hold Defendants in contempt for any violations of any provision of this Consent Order.

60. To the extent that any funds accrue to the U.S. Treasury for satisfaction of Defendants' Disgorgement Obligation, such funds shall be transferred to the Monitor for disbursement in accordance with the procedures set forth above.

Civil Monetary Penalty

- 61. Defendants shall pay, jointly and severally, a civil monetary penalty ("CMP Obligation") in the amount of one million dollars (\$1,000,000), plus post-judgment interest, within ten (10) days of the date of the entry of this Consent Order. Post-judgment interest shall accrue on the CMP Obligation beginning on the date of entry of this Consent Order and shall be determined by using the Treasury Bill rate prevailing on the date of entry of this Consent Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 (2012).
- 62. Defendants shall pay the CMP Obligation by electronic funds transfer, U.S. postal money order, certified check, bank cashier's check or bank money order. If payment is to be made other than by electronic funds transfer, then the payment shall be made payable to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission and sent to the address below:

Commodity Futures Trading Commission Division of Enforcement

ATTN: Accounts Receivables DOT/FAA/MMAC/AMZ-341

CFTC/CPSC/SEC 6500 S. MacArthur Blvd. Oklahoma City, OK 73169

(405) 954-7262 office (405) 954-1620 fax nikki.gibson@faa.gov

If payment by electronic funds transfer is chosen, Defendants shall contact Nikki Gibson or her successor at the address above to receive payment instructions and shall fully comply with those instructions. Defendants shall accompany payment of the CMP Obligation with a cover letter that identifies Defendants and the name and

of partial payment of Defendants' CMP Obligation and/or civil monetary penalty shal not be deemed a waiver of their respective obligation requirement to make further payments pursuant to this Consent Order, or a waiver of the Commission's and/or Monitor's right to seek to compel payment of any remaining balance.

VII. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

64. Notice: All notices required to be given by any provision in this Consent Order shall be sent certified mail, return receipt requested, as follows:

Notice to Commission:

Aitan Goelman Director, Division of Enforcement Commodity Futures Trading Commission 1155 21st Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20581

Notice to Defendants Intelligent Trades, LLC and Ghassan Tawachi:

c/o Ron Cordova, Esq. 16520 Bake Parkway, Suite 280 Irvine, CA 92618

All such notices to the Commission shall reference the name and docket number of this action.

65. Change of Address/Phone: Until such time as Defendants satisfy in full their Disgorgement Obligation and CMP Obligation as set forth in this Consent Order, Defendants shall provide written notice to the Commission by certified mail of any change to their telephone number and mailing address within ten (10) calendar days of the change.

2728

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 66. Entire Agreement and Amendments: This Consent Order incorporates all of the terms and conditions of the settlement among the parties hereto to date.

 Nothing shall serve to amend or modify this Consent Order in any respect whatsoever, unless: (a) reduced to writing; (b) signed by all parties hereto; and (c) approved by order of this Court.
- 67. Invalidation: If any provision of this Consent Order or if the application of any provision or circumstance is held invalid, then the remainder of this Consent Order and the application of the provision to any other person or circumstance shall not be affected by the holding.
- 68. Waiver: The failure of any party to this Consent Order or of any client at any time to require performance of any provision of this Consent Order shall in no manner affect the right of the party or client at a later time to enforce the same or any other provision of this Consent Order. No waiver in one or more instances of the breach of any provision contained in this Consent Order shall be deemed to be or construed as a further or continuing waiver of such breach or waiver of the breach of any other provision of this Consent Order.
- 69. Continuing Jurisdiction of this Court: This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action to ensure compliance with this Consent Order and for all other purposes related to this action, including any motion by Defendants to modify or for relief from the terms of this Consent Order.
- 70. Injunctive and Equitable Relief Provisions: The injunctive and equitable relief provisions of this Consent Order shall be binding upon Defendants, upon any person under their authority or control, and upon any person who receives actual notice of this Consent Order, by personal service, e-mail, facsimile or otherwise insofar as he or she is acting in active concert or participation with Defendants.
- 71. Authority: Ghassan Tawachi hereby warrants that he is the Principal and owner of Intelligent Trades LLC, and that this Consent Order has been duly

d	ase 8:16-cv-00020-AG-DFM Document 22 Filed 07/29/16 Page 21 of 22 Page ID #:115
1	authorized by Intelligent Trades LLC and he has been duly empowered to sign and
2	submit this Consent Order on behalf of Intelligent Trades LLC.
3	72. Contempt: Defendants understand that the terms of the Consent Order
4	are enforceable through contempt proceedings, and that, in any such proceedings they
5	may not challenge the validity of this Consent Order.
6	73. Agreements and Undertakings: Defendants shall comply with all of the
7	undertakings and agreements set forth in this Consent Order.
8	
9	There being no just reason for delay, the Clerk of the Court is hereby ordered to
10	enter this Consent Order for Permanent Injunction, Civil Monetary Penalty and Other
11	Equitable Relief against Defendants Intelligent Trades LLC and Ghassan Tawachi
12	forthwith and without further notice.
13	
14	
15	DONE and ORDERED in chambers in Los Angeles, California this 29th day of
16	July, 2016.
17	Confe
18	
19	A 1 Y C '1C 1
20	Andrew J. Guilford UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

- 21 -

Date:

CONSENTED TO AND APPROVED BY:

Ghassan Tawachi, Defendant

q	ase 8:16-cv-00020-AG-DFM Document 22 Filed 07/29/16 Page 22 of 22 Page ID #:116
1	Ghassan Tawachi, on behalf of Defendant Intelligent Trades, LLC
2	Date:
3	
4	PLAINTIFF
5	
6	Date: James H. Holl, III,
7	Thomas J. Kelly Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission Three Lafayette Centre
9	James H. Holl, III, Thomas J. Kelly Attorneys for Plaintiff U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st N.W. Washington, D.C. 20581
10	
11	
12	Approved as to form:
13	
14	Ron Cordova, Esq.
15	Ron Cordova, Esq. Attorney for Defendants Ghassan Tawachi and Intelligent Trades, LLC
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 22 -
- 1	I .